AGRONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF N- AND P-BBFs

Lærke Wester-Larsen^{1a}, Benedikt Müller^{2b}, Andrea Bauerle^{2c}, Tapio Salo^{3d}, Mustapha Arkoun^{4e}, Aurélien D'Oria^{4f}, Antonio Delgado^{5g}, Ramiro Recena Garrido^{5h}, Sarah Symanczik⁶ⁱ, Zoltán Toth^{7j}, Lars Stoumann Jensen^{1k}, Hanna Frick^{6l}, Else K. Bünemann^{6m}, Alicia Hernández Mora⁸ⁿ, Olivier Duboc^{8o}, Jakob Santner^{8p}, Herbert Eigner^{9q}, Kari Ylivainio^{3r}

¹University of Copenhagen, Denmark; ²University of Hohenheim, Germany; ³Natural Resources Institute (Luke), Finland; ⁴Roullier Group, France; ⁵University of Sevilla, Spain; ⁶Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL), Switzerland; ⁷Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences (MATE), Hungary; ⁸University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU), Austria; ⁹AGRANA Research & Innovation Center (ARIC), Austria

alwl@plen.ku.dk; benedikt.mueller@uni-hohenheim.de; andrea.bauerle@uni-hohenheim.de; dtapio.salo@luke.fi; Mustapha.Arkoun@roullier.com; aurelien.doria@roullier.com; adelgado@us.es; hrecena@us.es; sarah.symanczik@fibl.org; Toth.Zoltan@uni-mate.hu; klsj@plen.ku.dk; hanna.frick@fibl.org; melse.buenemann@fibl.org; alicia.hernandez-mora@boku.ac.at; Olivier.duboc@boku.ac.at; jakob.santner@boku.ac.at; herbert.eigner@agrana.com; Kari.ylivainio@luke.fi

Substituting conventional inorganic fertilisers with novel biobased fertilisers (BBFs) produced from various organic waste and side streams promotes the circular economy and can potentially reduce environmental and climate impacts of fertiliser production and use. For the substitution to be beneficial for both farmers, environment and food security, the BBFs need to be effective and reliable. We investigated the agronomic performance of seven commercial BBFs supplying nitrogen (N-BBFs) at four different field sites across Europe covering different climates, soil types and crop rotations. In addition, two or three local BBFs were tested at each site, resulting in a total of 18 tested N-BBFs. For phosphorus-supplying BBFs (P-BBFs), P plant availability was assessed through pot and field experiments. Thirty P-BBFs either commercially available or at a high developmental stage were tested in three pot trials conducted under different conditions. A subset of eight fertilizers was also evaluated at five field sites across Europe.

Average first year N fertiliser replacement value was 71%, and most of the 18 N-BBFs can be considered suitable substitutes for mineral N fertilisers. Incorporation rather than surface application tended to increase agronomic performance. Fertilisation efficiency of the P-BBFs could be best explained by the dominant P species as determined via x-ray absorption nearedge spectroscope. On average, struvites and BBFs classified as inorganic soluble (in neutral ammonium citrate) were as effective as TSP, while fertiliser efficiency for pyrolysed products, inorganic insoluble and organic fertilisers was about 55 %. In the field trials, none of the tested P-BBFs had a significantly lower agronomic efficiency than TSP. From the farmer's perspective, a number of trade-offs must be accounted for when choosing between conventional synthetic or mined inorganic fertilisers and BBFs, i.e. fertiliser cost, yield expectation, agronomic

efficiency, other nutrients, soil fertility benefits, application costs and logistics. Other incentives may be needed for farmers to choose the BBF alternative.

Acknowledgments: LEX4BIO has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 818309.