

## Resilience of organic pig producers

### Description

Organic pig farmers, whether large or small, are exposed to the risk of external shocks. External shocks can be economic, environmental, institutional or social changes that challenge the farms' production. The capacity to cope with such types of shocks is called "resilience". The POWER project assessed the resilience of selected best practices and innovative farms in order to understand how they cope with shocks. Here, we describe the resilience strategies of these farms.

### Methods

- Eighteen innovative and best practice farms were interviewed about their strategies to cope with six shocks that could threaten their farm: increased input costs, decreased pork prices, disease outbreaks, climate change, legislation change or labour shortages.
- The narratives of the farmers were analysed using a so-called "farming system resilience framework". This framework suggests that farmers can cope with shock in three different ways called "resilience capacities", these are:
  - 1) Robust**, which means being able to continue production without any change.
  - 2) Adaptable**, which means being able to change some of the production practices.
  - 3) Transformable**, which means being able to change the farm's production activities.
- When a farm has to stop all of its farming activities, it is considered **non-resilient**.

### Applicability box

#### Theme

Resilience of pig producers

#### Production stage

Sows + piglets, weaners, growing-finishing pigs

#### Farm type

Combined system (indoor housing with outdoor run or access to pasture) and free-range system

### Resilience strategies of pig producers

For all interviewed pig producers, the attitude, personal vision, beliefs and social capital played an important role in insuring resilience to shocks. Apart from these, producers had differing strategies to cope with shocks, depending on their resilience capacity (robustness, adaptability or transformability). Examples of these three resilience strategies are described in the following table for the six different shocks.

**Table 1: Six external shocks and organic pig farmers' strategies to cope**

Shock	Robustness	Adaptability	Transformability
Increased input costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sufficient margin on price</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increasing home-grown feed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Switch to other livestock</li> </ul>
Decreased pork prices	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sufficient margin on price</li> <li>• Diverse sale channels</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Direct marketing allows adjusting prices</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Switch to other livestock</li> </ul>
Disease outbreaks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insurance</li> <li>• Indoor production system</li> <li>• Good double fencing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexible infrastructure allowing to move indoor</li> <li>• Home mixing of feed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convert to cash crop</li> </ul>
Climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cooling infrastructure</li> <li>• Build up savings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create microclimate</li> <li>• Decrease pig production</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Switch to other livestock</li> </ul>
Legislation change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce number of pigs if legislation requires more space per pig</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Build partnerships with other farmers if outdoor system is abolished</li> </ul>	
Labour shortages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relying on family labour or volunteer network</li> <li>• Mechanisation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social media campaigns</li> <li>• Young professional program</li> </ul>	

Producers differed not only with regards to their resilience strategies but also with regards to their inherent characteristics. The characteristics of a farm can limit its ability to respond to shocks, and therefore determine the producer's resilience strategy. Each farm type and resilience strategy furthermore proved to be non-resilient towards certain types of shock. The characteristics, strategies and points of non-resilience are summarised in the figure below.

### Further Information

- **Meuwissen M.P.M. et al. (2019).** A framework to assess the resilience of farming systems. *Agricultural Systems* 176, 102656. ([Link](#))

**Table 2: Robust, adaptable and transformable farms**

<b>Robust: safety oriented</b>	<b>Adaptable: limited by an outdoor system</b>	<b>Transformable: flexible through diversification</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mostly specialised, large-scale pig breeders and fatteners.</li> <li>• Have invested into good permanent infrastructure and tend to rely on capital reserves to handle shocks.</li> <li>• Non-resilience is the result of a lock-in from the high initial investment, as producers cannot transform until they have paid-off the investment.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Farms are mostly specialised on pig production.</li> <li>• Produce in outdoor systems and rely on external feed.</li> <li>• Non-resilience is due to legislation changes that could ban full outdoor systems and to disease outbreak, such as african swine fever.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very diverse farms with, among others, pigs that are mostly fed on home-grown feed.</li> <li>• Farms mostly use a combination of indoor and outdoor system.</li> <li>• Non-resilience is related to high dependence on skilled labour. The diversity requires broad skills and the ability to pay decent wages.</li> </ul>

## Imprint

**Publisher:**

Research Institute of Organic Agriculture FiBL, Switzerland  
Ackerstrasse 113, Postfach 219, CH-5070 Frick  
Phone +41 62 865 72 72, info.suisse@fibl.org, www.fibl.org

**Author:** Catherine Pfeifer, Simon Moakes (both FiBL, CH)

**Contact:** catherine.pfeifer@fibl.org

**Revision:** Rennie Eppenstein (FiBL, CH)

**Proofreading:** Lauren Dietemann, Andreas Basler (both FiBL, CH)

**Editors:** Rennie Eppenstein, Sophie Thanner (both FiBL, CH)

**Layout:** Brigitta Maurer, Sandra Walti (both FiBL, CH)

**Permalinks:** [orgprints.org](https://orgprints.org) → power, [projects.au.dk](https://projects.au.dk) → power

1. Edition 2022 © FiBL



The project "POWER - Proven welfare and resilience in organic pig production" is one of the projects initiated in the framework of Horizon 2020 project CORE Organic Co-fund (<https://projects.au.dk/coreorganiccofund/>) and it is funded by the Funding Bodies being partners of this project (Grant Agreement no. 727495). The opinions expressed and arguments employed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the official views of the CORE Organic Cofund Funding Bodies or the European Commission. They are not responsible for the use which might be made of the information provided in this publication.



