

Call identifier: H2020-SFS-2017-2 BRESOV Grant agreement N°: 774244



Breeding for Resilient, Efficient and Sustainable Organic Vegetable production

Deliverable No. D5.2

List of crop performance measurements to be collected at all location and a questionnaire for the farmers involved

Contractual delivery date: M24

> Actual delivery date: M26: 10/06/2020 Revision date: M40: 31/08/2021

> > Lead partner: P6-FiBL



BRESOV has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No. 774244.

| Grant agreement no. | H2020 - 774244 |
|---------------------|--|
| Project full title | BRESOV - Breeding for Resilient, Efficient and Sustainable Organic Vegetable production |

| Deliverable number | D5.2 |
|---------------------|---|
| Deliverable title | List of crop performance measurements to be collected at all |
| | location and a questionnaire for the farmers involved |
| Nature | Report |
| Dissemination level | PU |
| Work package number | WP5 |
| Work package leader | P6-FiBL |
| Author(s) | Joelle Herforth-Rahmé, Patricia Schwitter, Samuel Hauenstein |
| | (FiBL), Giuseppe Timpanaro, Mariarita Cammarata, Alessandro |
| | Scuderi and Ferdinando Branca (UNICT) |
| Keywords | Variety trial, on-farm, broccoli, tomato, bean, survey, agronomic |
| | evaluation, crop rotation, LCA, socio-economic. |

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 774244.

The author is solely responsible for its content, it does not represent the opinion of the European Commission and the Commission is not responsible for any use that might be made of data appearing therein.

Table of contents

| 1 | Intr | oduct | tion | 4 |
|---|------|--------|--|----|
| 2 | Des | cripti | on of Activities | 4 |
| | 2.1 | Agro | onomic evaluation of the varieties | 4 |
| | 2.2 | Farn | ner's simple evaluation of the tested material | 5 |
| | 2.3 | Cult | ivation data for the socio-economic study | 5 |
| 3 | Res | ults | | 6 |
| | 3.1 | Agro | onomic evaluation of the varieties | 6 |
| | 3.1. | 1 | Broccoli | 7 |
| | 3.1. | 2 | Tomato | 11 |
| | 3.1. | 3 | Beans | 15 |
| | 3.2 | Farn | ner's simple evaluation of the tested material | 17 |
| | 3.3 | Cult | ivation data for the socio-economic study | 18 |
| 4 | Con | clusio | ons | 22 |
| 5 | Refe | erenc | es | 22 |
| 6 | Ann | ex 1: | Soil sampling procedure | 23 |
| 7 | Ann | ex 2: | Generic Informed Consent BRESOV template (cf. BRESOV D7.2) | 24 |
| 8 | Ann | ex 3: | Confirmation of compliance of non EU partners with GDPR (cf. D7.3) | 26 |

1 Introduction

The main activity of WP5 is to evaluate breeding lines in multiple locations on-farm, this way providing farmers with new materials to be tested alongside commercial organic varieties. The selection process of the cultivation material for these trials is described in Deliverable 5.1. In each trial location, the cultivation is carried according to the usual practices of the organic farmer hosting the trial. While the cultivation might differ between locations, the evaluation of the trials will follow a common protocol. This will allow to homogenize the results across locations and will result in a better assessment of the varieties.

In this report, we present i) the evaluation tables that were developed for each of the three crops of interest, ii) a short and simple questionnaire aimed at farmers, for their opinion on how the test material compares to the standard/usual varieties for each crop and finally, iii) a questionnaire related to the cultivation practices, the conduction of the trial and the production outcome, and the soil parameters at each location for the socio-economic study of Task 5.4. This last part serves another objective of WP5, which is to promote crop rotations.

These tables and questionnaires will be completed by each partner involved in the multi-location trials of T5.2 and their collaborating farmer.

2 Description of Activities

To fully exploit the multi-location on-farm trials and reach the objectives of this work package, 3 types of questionnaires were designed:

- Agronomic variety evaluation sheets allowing the comparison of varieties at each location: these will be completed during the cultivation and harvest of each crop (1 Excel file per crop).
- A simple farmer survey about their experience with the new material in comparison to their reference varieties.
- A table to collect the crop inputs and outputs, completed by soil analyses at each location for a socio-economic analysis of the cultivation of the three crops.

2.1 Agronomic evaluation of the varieties

The crop(s) cultivated at each location depend on the expertise of the task partner as well as on the cultures grown by the trial hosting farmer at this location. The on-farm trials are conducted under the usual local production practices. The trials are however designed in a way that makes the variety evaluation scientifically and statistically valid. Trials follow the experimental conditions described in Lindner et al., 2006, such as – depending on the crop – the minimal core plot size and the number of repetitions per variety. The experimental designs differ between location to cope with differences in field sizes, number of trialled varieties and different usual crop cultivation (such as distance between and within rows). For each of broccoli, beans and tomato, a list of relevant traits and their respective measurements was established. The basis of this list is the book of Lindner et al., 2006 as well as the Guidelines for experimental practice in organic greenhouse horticulture (Koller et al., 2016). The elaboration of the tables used also the UPOV Brassica oleracea L. var. italica Plenck descriptors (UPOV 2018) and input from the crop experts in BRESOV. Thanks to a multi-actor approach, the list of evaluation criteria could be narrowed down to these variables which were relevant to the farmers, to the breeders and to the distributers. This was done during meetings with organic farmers' advisor from the association of organic vegetable and fruits producers Terraviva – one of BRESOV stakeholders, after taking knowledge of criteria included in the data collection of sister project LIVESEED as well as marketing criteria as given by Qualiservice (Swiss regulation for the quality of vegetables). While the list of traits finally included in the tables might seem long, these are all essential to the description of the new varieties, and the assessment of their potential to compete or replace standard varieties. The evaluation data is to be acquired by the scientific project partners during regular visits to the farm while the daily harvest can be recorded by the farmer following initial instruction from the responsible scientist. Partners report on their trial every three months when giving input to the WP leader for the quarterly project short update (a.k.a. BRESOV Pre-reads) and are advised by the WP leader whenever a question or issue arise.

2.2 Farmer's simple evaluation of the tested material

The varieties and lines cultivated in the on-farm production trials of task 5.2 include for each crop, the material commonly tested in different on-farm locations, experimental material which has received promising results in other tasks or projects, and a control reference which is either the usual variety cultivated on this farm or in this region, or any variety already established as good at this location (cf. Deliverable 5.1). In this questionnaire, the farmer is asked whether the test variety is much better, better, same, worse or much worse than the reference variety for a set of relevant criteria. The criteria were selected with the farmers' advisor from the association of organic vegetable and fruits producers Terraviva – one of BRESOV stakeholders in order to truly reflect what matters most to the producer when selecting a variety to grow.

In accordance with the ethical requirements as describes in deliverables 7.2 and 7.3 of BRESOV, the **farmers will be given a consent form (Annex 2) – translated in the national language – to sign** prior to filing the questionnaire; and **non-EU partners will fill in the confirmation of compliance with GDPR** prior to sharing the data collected with the task leader P6-FiBL.

2.3 Cultivation data for the socio-economic study

The trials of task 5.2 are conducted under different pedo-climatic conditions and different cultivation parameters. The crops are cultivated in farms that already produce this specific crop (e.g. open field determinate tomato for P11-VRDS and P12-CREA instead of greenhouse tomato, broccoli only for P4-UTAD and P9-UNILIV, bean only for P16-SERIDA), and in the season which is favourable for its cultivation. Only the trial of P5-VURV produces Broccoli despite it not being a common crop in the region, due to the partner's involvement in all other broccoli activities in BRESOV. Broccoli, tomato and beans are usually cultivated within a rotation in organic production, but rotation schemes differ between locations. Crop rotation schemes were collected at the beginning of the project, but are continually adapted as most farmers decide before each season and according to the current conditions what to plant next. P1-UNICT collects the actual detailed crop rotations in a common format from each partner during the trial periods. P1-UNICT established a list of Indicators and parameters with the aim to analyse the farm inputs and outputs regarding the crops of interest at each location and produce a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of the crop production. The soil being one of the cultivation parameters, it is sampled and analysed at each location and at several time points during the two years of T5.2 (usually before the beginning of a crop cultivation, and at the end). A sampling protocol – distributed to Task 5.2 partners – is attached in Annex 1 and allows for quality sampling. Samples are then sent to laboratories specialized in soil analyses while some analyses are carried by the scientific staff at partner's institution following their usual protocol or the soil extraction protocol used by P6-FiBL and made available upon request. We decided against performing all soil analysis in one laboratory. While this would lead to results of same quality, shipping may deteriorate the samples making the analysis less reliable.

For this study, 4 phases are carried-out according to the available literature on similar analysis and in particular Falcone *et al.* 2019:

- Identification of the Functional Unit (FU) or unit of measure to which all input and output data are related. 1 hectare of land is usually chosen as FU. Here, we will collect the data of a smaller experimental particle and then report them in proportion to the reference hectare.
- 2. The level of detailing of the protocol was defined according to the system boundary approach "farm from cradle to gate". The scheme of the cultivation cycle at each location is collected to define the aspects of input/output collection and economic and financial data (cf. Falcone et al. 2019, particularly in figure 1).
- 3. Data on processes such as seeds, fuels, fertilizers, herbicides and water consumption must be collected directly from field tests. Case studies will be collected in each region, when available, to provide the typical average values (i.e. inputs and outputs) of production per type of crop such as the <u>Proficost</u> in Switzerland (which gives the calculation of the complete costs and the brutto margins for vegetable cultures and where standard values can be adapted to the operation processes of a specific production) or through experts and producers organisations in each country.
- 4. The Life Cycle Assessment. Data on basic processes such as fuel, fertilizers and pesticide production steps will be collected from existing databases, such as the Ecoinvent database linked to SimaPro, the trials will be geolocalized and an analysis of the production at each location will be carried using the Life Cycle Assessment software SimaPro (PRÉ CONSULTANTS B.V).

3 Results

3.1 Agronomic evaluation of the varieties

In the following sections, prints of the tables developed for the evaluation of the three crops are presented. Partners involved in task 5.2 and supervising each trial receive the forms as Excel files. The evaluation forms for each crops are divided in several Excel sheets, covering mainly pre-harvest /crop growth evaluation/field observations, observations at harvest, and harvest/production data. Documents listing the most common diseases for each crop along with pictures of their symptoms are uploaded on the project's collaborative space "Project Angel" to facilitate the disease evaluation of the crops.

3.1.1 Broccoli

Field observations

| Broccol Tria | als 2020 | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
|---------------|------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|----------|
| | | | | | FOT | | | | | | | | | - |
| FIELD UBS | ERVATIONS | | ING AND BE | FURE HARV | /EST | | | | | | | | | - |
| FarralOrgania | ation: | | | | Tupe of soil | | | | | | | | | - |
| Responsible r | auuri. Derson | | | | Previous cror | , , | | | | | | | | - |
| Site | 5013011 | | | | Fertilisation | , | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | | | Irrigation | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Before plar | nting <i>(can be</i> | reported per | | General a | spects of | Diseases (| upon emerg | ence) <i>* plea</i> . | se see list | | |
| | | | ะสก | iety instead of _i | alati | | pla | nts | | of dis | eases | | | |
| Plot number | Variety | Replicate (rep 1, 2, 3 or rep 4) | Number of seeds seeded | Number of seedlings germinated | Remarks on seeds | Number of plants planted | Vigori vegetative development (1-9 vigorous 1= very bad, 3=bad, 5= normal, 7= good, 9=very | Leaf shape / Terected to Sspreadit; see pioture Ad.3Leaf below | Description | Average % of leaf surface affected on 10 random plants/plot | Description | Average % of leaf surface affected on 10 random plants/plot | | |
| | RASMUS | rep1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CN-BRO-09 | rep1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ref [name] | rep1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rep2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rep2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ren2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rep3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rop 2 | | | | | Ad. 3: Leaf: a | ttitude (at begin | ning of head for | mation) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | C | | - | | | (PA | | |
| | | reps | | | | | 08 | S dy | $\sum ($ | 30 0 | S | | A AM | |
| | | rep 4 | | | | | 24/2 | Later's | in X | AL TO | Light |) 5 | | |
| | | гер 4 | | | | | GRG | Charles of | SP C | 200 | | IN | 150 3 | 3 |
| | | гер 4 | | | | | 26 | A S | | S | | L' | 2 | / |
| | | | | | | | | 3 semi-erect | | horiz | 5 Iontal | 9 | 7 emi pendulous | |

Overview of harvest timeline:

| | | | | General asp | ects of harves | t | |
|-------------|---------|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Plot number | Variety | Replicate (rep 1 , 2, 3 or 4) | Beginning of harvest [date] | Spread in days of main harvesting period of harvest[(when 80% are harvested, in nb. of days) | End of harvest [date] | Nb of harvests | Representative pictures (recommended at 50% of harvest) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Individual observations at main of each variety: these observations are carried on 10 random plants with marketable heads per plot at the main harvest date of each variety (where \geq 50% of the plants are harvested, once per plot)

| | | | , | | | Head (p | rincipal) | | | Only for spra (brocolo ner | uting variety o @ VRDS) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Plant number | Plot number | Variety | Replicate (rep 1, 2, 3 or 4) | Colour (light, middle, dank green, with shade of blue or grey) | Shape (1 to 4 , refer to pic. Ad. 17 below) | Regularity (Tinegular, like 10 ping pong balls to 9 very regular, like one balloon) | Length of branching (1 short to 9 very long; see picture Ad. 15 below) | Buds (1 very small to 3 very big) | Weight [g] | Number of secondary sprouts | Average weight/ sprout [g] | Pictures (plant and head) | Homogeneity of heads (1-9 homogeneous; 1 answer per plot) |
| 1 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 2A | Rasmus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 10 | [Ref. name] | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1C | [Ref. name] | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Ad | 15: Head: length of | branching at base (e | voluding stem) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Stall and a | 5 | | | | |

Harvest data: collected at every date of harvest. Harvests are usually 2-3 days apart. Heads at harvest are normally between 8 and 18 cm high (including stem)

| | | | | | Total | N.L | Ard - Laboration | Marshara | Art - Labor | Marghan of | Autorial and | | | | | Reason for re | jection [Num | ber of heads] | | | | |
|----------------|------|------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Plot number | Name | Replicate (rep 1, 2, 3 or 4) | Date | Number of heads harvested | Weight of heads harvested (g) | marketable heads (1st choice*) | weight or marketable heads (1st choice*) (g) | marketable heads (2nd choice*) | weight or marketable heads (2nd choice*) (g) | non- marketable heads | mon- marketable heads (g) | Colour (yellowish) | caveous stem | Rot (on head) | Not compact | Head too flat | Buds too big | Sprouting (buds growing out of head) | Scarring (scarified from pests) | Weirdłunco mmon shape | Too small | Too large |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*1st choice = Supermarket criteria in trial location, 2nd choice = acceptable at direct marketing in trial location)

3.1.2 Tomato

Pre-harvest observations:

| FIELD OBSER | VATIONS DU | JRING GROW | VTH AND BEF | ORE HARVES | Т | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------|------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|-------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm/Organisatio | in: | | | | | | Type of soil | | | | | | | |
| Responsible per: | son | | | | | | Previous crop | | | | | | | |
| Site | | | | | | | Fertilisation | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Irrigation | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Physiologi | cal damage | Pest/anim | al damage | Dise | ases | Viru | ises |
| | | | Growth | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | Genotype | Repetition | strength //- g: Indication on the speed of growth. Before reaching the tension wire, the growth strength is assessed 1= very. Jow 3= Jow 5= medium, 7= strong, 9= very. strongl | Uniformity of stock /1- 9: Before reaching the lension wire, the uniformity of stock is assessed 1= very/low 3- low 5= medium, 7= strong, 9= very strong) | Aerated or compact architectur e (indicates susceptiblity to fungal diseases) | Tendency to produce double heads /1to 9: 9 = all plants do it) | Description (leaf rolling, nutrient defficiency etc.) | Severity Javarage % Jeaf area affected estimated on 10 randon plantsJ | Description | Severity Javaragie % Jeaf area affected estimated on 10 randon plants or nb of plants in case of nematods, mammifer] | Description | Severity Javerage % Jeaf area affected estimated on 10 randon plantsJ | Description | Severity Javaragie % Jeaf area affected estimated on 10 randon plants] |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Observations at harvest:

The following assessments are carried out one to three times maximum per plot on a crate of fruit during the main harvesting time. Make sure, that you observe the harvest of all the repetitions.

| | | - | | | | | | | | lu open field to | Imatoes loss Kirk | | Fruit shape |
|------|----------|------|--|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Date | Genotype | Plot | Fruit shape [1-9; 1= very Rat-round, 2 = Rat-round, 4 = nound, 4 high round, 5 = oval, 6 pear-shaped, 9= thin and long; see | Homogeneity of Fruit shape (1-9; 1 = very low 3 = low 5 = medium, ?= high, 9 = very high) | Homogeneity of fruit colour (1-9: 1 = very low 3 = low 5 = medium, 7= high, 9 = very high) | Homogeneity of fruit size /1- 9; 1 = very low 3 = low 5 = medium, 7= high, 9= very high | Colour /l/aht pink, pink, dark ping, light red, red, arangej | Color inside [Optional] | Colour intensity //-9 or chnononater: average over 4 readings) | Jointless Pedicel [yeshno] ¹ | Core size (Average of the measurement ² of 5 fruits that are representativ e of the variety in the first harvest) | high soluble solid contend (refractometer ³ : average over 4 readings) | A second se |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | d ron-jointless |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Core size Messurement the central part of the fruit with the locules. Small cores means more flesh and fruits less susceptible to manipulation during processing. Average of the measurement of 5 fruits that are representative of the variety in the |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - first harvest (see black line on the figure below) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | From Policet Foury modified from Map 1, Renum |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | ivear dia) D, Chuang, H. W, Bulanan, M. A, Leafaes Szymkowiak, E. J, Irish, E. E, & Wing, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Seed R. A. (2000). JOINTLESS is a MADS-bax gene controlling tomato flower |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - Maximum disclissiontone development. Nature, (near skin) 406(6798), 920-923. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Wigh soluble solid content Mesured with a manual reflectometer. A drop of juice is required and has to be observed visually. Social be at its septometed by one partner working on GP Tomato. Example of models here: |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | notos//www.arego.nev.product/menere-products/mexem.up.php |

Observations at main harvest:

For each variety in the trial, the following measurements are made **once** per plot during the variety's main harvest, on 20 data points per trait and plot.

| Date | Genotype | Repetition | Fruit | Fruit diameter (heigth in mm) | Fruit diameter (wdith in mm) | Fruit weight (g) | Nr. of fruit chambers | Estimated % of fruits in 5kg that fall in the right size category according to calibre (height & width) /plot |
|------|----------|------------|----------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | Erwit 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 2 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 3 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 4 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 5 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 6 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 7 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 8 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 9 | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Fruit 10 | | | | |] |
| | | | Fruit 11 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 12 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 13 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 14 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 15 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 16 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 17 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 18 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 19 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 20 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Fruit 2 | | | | | |

Harvest data:

One row per harvest date and plot

| | | | | Nb. | | | | | Reason for re | jection /repan | nb. of affected h | ruits by cate <u>q</u> ory, | 7 | |
|----------------|------------|----------|--------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Plot number | Repetition | Genotype | Harvesting date | harvested plants per plot (count at first harvest & correct in case of plant [osses] | Marketable yield [kg/per plot] | Rejected yield [Kg/plot] | Wrong calibre (too big or too small) | crackled skin/bursti ng | Tender (as if cooked inside) | Blossom End Rot | Botrytis cinerea | Late blight | Pest/anima I damage | Other |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

3.1.3 Beans

Pre-harvest assessment:

| Bean Trials 2 | 020 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|---------------|---|--------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| FIELD OBSER | IVATIONS AT | PLANTING AND |) Before hai | RVEST | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm/Organisati | on: | | | | | | | Type of soil | | | | | | |
| Responsible per | son | | | | | | | Previous crop | | | | | | |
| Site | | | | | | | | Fertilisation | | | | | | |
| Sowing date: | | Direct sowing | or transplant: | | | | | Irrigation | | | | | | |
| Variables to eval | uate once right | before the first | harvest, 1 row | per plot | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | Diseas | es and sympto | ms (upon eme | rgence) | | | |
| Variety | Repetition | Date of when 50% have germinated | Date of recording | Germination- rate [%] | Stand density [nb. PlantsIm] <i>Before</i> harvest, plants are counted in one linear metre at a point (upical of the density of the section, at least 50 cm from the beginning of the row. | Steadfastness [1 to 9] / the ability of plants to remain standing as opposed to laying down of each plot and variety is assessed. / = very bad 3 = bad, 5 = average 7 = good, 9= very good) | [cm] /Bahare [cm] /Bahare harvesting, 10 plants are measured from soil to top without roots and the average is reported] | Description | Average % of leaf surface affected on 10 random plants/plot | Description | Average % of leaf surface affected on 10 random plants/plot | Seed marking [1- 9] <i>(how marked</i> are the seeds of mature pods, 1-absent, 3-minimal, 5-medium, 7-strong, 9-very strong, | Pods growing above foliage (yesho) <i>Sæe picture</i> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ANO |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Pods above foliage - called |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Gluckentyp in German. Usually |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | easier/faster to harvest |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |

Observations at harvest:

The data about the harvested pods is acquired once per plot during the main harvest date of each variety. Values are recorded on 20 random pods per plot.

7

9



Harvest Data:

| One row per | harvest date | and plot | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|------------|---|---|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Reason for rejection / report nb. of apods or weight in g by category - please write the unit) | | | | | | | | |
| Date | Variety | Repetition | Time to harvest [minutes] (duration of the harvest per plot recorded only ance per plot, at the main harvest of each variety] | Nb. harvested plants per plot <i>(count at first harvest & correct in case of plant losses)</i> | Marketable yield [Kg] | Weight of 100 pods [g] (recorded only once per plot, al the main harvest of each variety) | Non- marketable yield [Kg] | Non- marketable yield (Kg) | Botrytis | Sclerotinia | Anthracnose (Calletatrichum lindemuthianu m) | Fat spots (bacteria/ blight of beans; Xanthomona afFseudomo nas/ | Ascochyta (leaf spot of bean) | Eaten (pests) | Mosaic Vuris | Other <i>(please describe)</i> | Overall impression [1 to 9] / Takes into account all cultivation and marketing: / = very bad 3 = bad; 5 = average; 7 = good; 6 = very | Optional exc. for P6-FiBL: Ability of pods to remain longer on the plant* [Yes/No] |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

*in an 0.5 m of each variety, pods will not be harvested when mature but 1 week later a bite test is made on pods- if their texture feels like straw, the answer is No.

3.2 Farmer's simple evaluation of the tested material

| Farm name | Location | Сгор | Reference variety | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|------|-------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Please use one table per | variety test. | | | | |

Please tick the box under the adjective that better fits your experience with the test variety <u>compared to the reference variety</u> or your standard variety, for each criterium listed below:

| | Much better | Better | Same | Worse | Much Worse | Please explain how or why |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------|-------|------------|---------------------------|
| Yield | | | | | | |
| Ease /speed of harvest | | | | | | |
| Taste (for tomato) | | | | | | |
| Acceptance by | | | | | | |
| customers (unusual type, | | | | | | |
| visual disease symptoms, | | | | | | |
| appearance) | | | | | | |
| Problems due to pest | | | | | | |
| and diseases | | | | | | |
| Maintenance needs | | | | | | |
| (plant structure/shape can | | | | | | |
| affect maintenance such as | | | | | | |
| treatments) | | | | | | |
| Yield guality | | | | | | |
| General assessment of | | | | | | |
| the variety compared to | | | | | | |
| the standard | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Yes | No | W | hy? | |
| Would you cultivate | e this variety again? | | | | | |
| Would you recom | mend this variety? | | | | | |
| Do you see a market pot | tential for these variety? | | | | | |
| (can be also for different from | n your market e.g. interesting | | | | | |
| for direct marketing b | ut not for distributers) | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | |
| Question for the researc | cher | Rating | | | | |
| How do you rate this var | riety from 1 (very bad) to | | | | | |
| 9 (very | / good) | | | | | |

3.3 Cultivation data for the socio-economic study

| Information number | Guidelines | | Description of the main features of the experimental trial per crop and cultivation year | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | Fact sheet: This on-fam trait is made with [CROP] in [fam address] by (PA-rather name) under oganic production conditions in [open field OR Greenhouse]. The corp rotation is as follow: [plases include sowing and transplanting dates of the other plants in the rotation]. List the different varieties of this or pullivated in the fail, the number of replicates, the plot size in m2 and the number of plants per plot. Include a picture of the trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | Techn | cal-agronomic chara | cteristics | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Enter the worked surface of each individual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | field distinguished by species and variety | area m^2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Type of soil (eg: clay, sandy, medium-textured etc) | soil type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Indicate the prevailing slope of the individual | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | plot, in the case of flat soil indicate 0 | siope % | | | | | | Production of coodlin | | | | | | | | |
| | Indicate in chronological order the operations carried out for the production of seedlings. In | | | | | | | Todaction of securi | 1163 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | case some data is not available, it is important to know the number of trays, the type of tray | | | | | | | Seeding | | | | | | | | |
| | and the type of soil used. | Data | Turne of sound | Theusend cood weight | Turns of sound traversed | Turne of a church store | Number of cell | Total number of | Mainht of cood | Tune of sail | Total weight of sail | Tatalualuma | Drive of | Deine of coord | Deles of | Total cost of |
| | | Data | Type of seed | (g) | Type of seed tray used | (for seed try) | in a seed tray | seed trays used | tray (g) | used | used | of soil used | seed (€/kg) | tray (€/piece) | soil (€/kg) | seedlings production (€) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | to directly the share of the death and the factor is a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | indicate in chronological order the irrigation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | nursery, in case you do not have the required | | | | | | | Irrigation of seedling | gs | | | | | | | |
| | data it is important to know the distribution | | | | | | | 0 | 0. | | | | | | | |
| | system and the total amount of water used | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Data | Distribution system | Materials of irrigation | Weight of irrigation | Lenght of irrigation | Total amount of | Quantity per single | Price of irrigation | Total cost of | | | | | | |
| | | | | pipes | pipes | pipes | water (m3) | distribution (m3) | pipes (€/meters) | irrigation (€) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| 6 | Indicate in chronological order the treatments carried out on the seedlings before | | | 1 | 1 | | , 1 | reatments for seedli | ings | | | | | | | |
| | In an shian ng R | Data | Type of plant protection | Active substance % | Distribution system | Quantity per single | Price of product | Total cost of | | | | | | | | |
| | | Data | product used | Active substance // | Distribution system | distribution | (€/L or €/g) | treatments (€/application) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | Indicate in chronological order the operations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | concerning transport of seedlings from | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | nursery to the field. where the required data | | | | | | Transport of see | dlings from nursery t | to greenhouse/field | | | | | | | |
| | are not available, indicate the distance | | | | | | · | | | | | | | | | |
| | total flat-rate cost of transport of plants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total nati fate cost of transport of plants | Data | Type of used vehicle | Vehicle trade mark | Engine power of the | Year of production of | Crossede | Quantity of fuel | Price of fuel (€) | Total cost of | | | | | | |
| | | | | | vehicle (CV or Kw) | the vehicle | kilometers | used (L) | | trasport (€/km) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 8 | Indicate in chronological order all cultural operations related to production, distinguishing man-hours and machine-hours of work, type of machine used, tractor power (Kw),tractor's year of manufacture, surface worked and its consumption and the costs of individual operations. | | | | | | Cultu | ral operations for pro | oduction | | | | | | | |
|----|---|------|--|---|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Data | Operation | Manual work (hoursMen = nb. of hours x nb. nersons) | Work with machines (h) | Tools used for coltural operations (model and name) | Type of tractor | Tractor trade mark | Engine power of the tractor (CV or Kw) | Year of production of the tractor | Crossing kilometres of prepared surface | Quantity of fuel used (L) | Price of manual work (€/h) | Price of work with machines (€/b) | Price of fuel (€) | Total cost of operation (€) |
| | | | Field preparation | personsy | | (moder and name) | | | , | | | | WOIK (C/ II) | (0,11) | | |
| | | | Transplanting | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Supporting with reeds | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Weed control | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Fertilisation | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | |
| | | | Plant protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Harvest | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Indicate fertilisation operations in chronological order of administration, the fertilisers used for the individual plot of the test and their costs | | | | | | | Fertilisation detail | 1 | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | |
| LI | | Data | Type of fertiliser used | nitrogen content (%) | P2O5 content (%) | K2O content (%) | Other elements | Other elements | Other elements | Distribution | Quantity per single | Price of | Total cost | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | content (%) | content (%) | content (%) | system | distributiion | product (€/L or | of | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | €/g) | operation | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | (€) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ł | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | to diverse the share as the start section of | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 10 | Indicate in chronological order of administration the pesticide treatments and report the products used for the individual test plot and the related costs | | | | | | | Treatments details | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Data | Type of plant protection product used | Active substance % | Distribution system | Quantity per single distribution | Price of product (€/L or €/g) | Total cost of operation (€) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Indicate in chronological order all irrigation operations. Where it is not possible to have all required data it is important to indicate the irrigation system, the number of irrigations, the total amount of water used and the total number of hours of irrigation | | | | | | | Irrigation details | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · | Data | Distribution system | Materials of irrigation pipes | Weight of irrigation pipes | Lenght of irrigation pipes | Total amount of water (m3) | Quantity per single distribution (m3) | Total cost of operation (€) | Power of irrigation pumpo (Kw) | Energy consumption of irrigation pump (€/kWh) | Total cost of operation (€) | | | | |
| | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | + | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | |

| 12 | Indicate crop total production, crop total marketable production and estimated average price over the period | | | | | | | Production | | | | | | | |
|----|--|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | Data | Product type | Crop total production (kg) | Crop total marketable production (kg) | Average market price (€) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Indicate in chronological order all management operations of cultural residuals, distinguishing man-hours and machine-hours of work, type of machine used, tractor power (Kw), tractor's year of manufacture, surface worked and its consumption and the costs of individual operations. | | | | | | c | rop residue managen | ient | | | | | | |
| | | Data | Operation | Manual work (hoursMen) | Work with machines (h) | Tools used for coltural operations (model and name) | Type of tractor | Tractor trade mark | Engine power of the tractor (CV or Kw) | Year of production of the tractor | Crossed kilometers | Quantity of fuel used (L) | Total cost of operation (€) | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| 14 | Indicate amount of plastic, polystyrene and crop residues and method of disposal | | | - | | | | Waste disposal | | | | | | | |
| | | Data | Type of waste | Operation | Manual work (hoursMen) | Work with machines (h) | Tools used for operations (model and name) | Disposal site (eg. landfil, company for the recycling of waste) | Crossed kilometers from farm to disposal site (km) | Quantity of fuel used (L) | Total cost of operation (€) | Waste weight (kg) | | | |
| | | | Plastic (irrigation pipes) | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | Polystyrene (seed try) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | crop residue | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

We invite all partners of the project who would like clarification during the compilation to send an email to: fbranca@unict.it;brancafer@hotmail.com,mariar.cammarata@gmail.com

| Soil Analysis | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| рН | | | | | | | |
| Soil salinity- electrical conductivity (dS/m) | | | | | | | |
| Organic matter (% or g/100g) | | | | | | | |
| Macronutrient | | | | | | | |
| total nitrogen (g/kg) | | | | | | | |
| assimilable phosphorus (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| exchangeable potassium (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| exchangeable calcium (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| exchangeable magnesium (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| Micronutrient | | | | | | | |
| assimilable iron (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| assimilable manganese (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| assimilable rame (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| assimilable zink (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |
| soluble boron (mg/kg) | | | | | | | |

4 Conclusions

The different evaluation sheets and questionnaires presented here will require extensive work from all partners involved. This is however a unique research opportunity and data will allow the description of the test varieties under regular organic production, the study of their real agronomic and economic potential, as well as the assessment of the interest of farmers to use them in the future. The collection of cultivation data on each farm (i.e. questionnaire for the LCA) will in addition, give some insight on the differences of processes between locations and their impact on the production and hopefully allow the identification of these differences including crop rotations, which lead to better production results. With this, we fulfil the objectives of WP5 which are: to evaluate a shortlist of breeding lines compatible with organic farming for the production of crops of enhance quality and marketing value, to provide farmers with new materials to be tested alongside commercial organic varieties on-farm and to promote crop rotations for improved performance of broccoli, snap bean and tomato.

5 References

Falcone, G., T. Stillitano, F. Montemurro, A. I. De Luca, G. Gulisano, and A. Strano. *Environmental and economic assessment of sustainability in Mediterranean wheat production*. Agron Res 17, 2019.

Koller, Martin, Francis Rayns, Stella Cubison, and Ulrich Schmutz. *Guidelines for experimental practice in organic greenhouse horticulture*. BioGreenhouse COST Action FA 1105, 2016.

Lindner, Ulrike, and Bettina Billmann. *Planung, Anlage und Auswertung von Versuchen im ökologischen Gemüsebau. Handbuch für die Versuchsanstellung*. No. 2. Forschungsinstitut für biologischen Landbau FiBL, CH-Frick, 2006. (<u>link</u>)

Qualiservice, Swiss regulations for quality vegetables for:

Broccoli <u>https://www.qualiservice.ch/uploads/normen2017/fr/Brocoli.pdf</u> Beans <u>https://www.qualiservice.ch/uploads/normen2017/fr/Haricot_nain.pdf</u> and Tomato <u>https://www.qualiservice.ch/uploads/normen2017/fr/Tomate.pdf</u>, by type <u>https://www.qualiservice.ch/legumes.html</u>.

Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) - UPOV *Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica* Plenck descriptors (UPOV 2018) <u>https://www.upov.int/edocs/tgdocs/en/tg151.pdf</u>

6 Annex 1: Soil sampling procedure

Because the trials of T5.2 will be done according to the usual practices in every region, the analyses of soils will be done using the regular lab or method used in each farm.

<u>Sample A</u>: 12 samples of 0-30cm each, pooled- can be done as early as now or any time before fertilization

This sample can be send to a laboratory to give you a full for soil analysis including: P, K, Mg, Ca, only available (not the reserve), Soil type (ex. see <u>here</u> in German, but easily translated with deepl.com), Humus, pH (please indicate the measurement method used), EC (electrical conductivity, soluble salts; especially needed for greenhouse cultivation). Boron and all trace elements (especially needed for Broccoli).

<u>Samples B</u> (ideally 2 weeks before fertilization, to have enough time to adjust the fertilization but should not be done too early):12 samples of 0-30cm each, pooled (**B1**) and 12 samples of 30-60 cm pooled (**B2**). These 2 samples will be needed to measure Nmin. Follow your standard soil extraction method (with CaCl2 or KCl) or it can be extracted and analysed by a lab. Watch out, the samples for Nmin should be stored in a cool box and extracted within 24h. Extracts can be frozen if not directly analysed.

You can either do the soil sampling twice or at once (2 weeks before fertilization): pool the different samples of 0-30cm, mix well and divide in two samples A & B1, mix all the samples from 30-60cm to obtain B2.

The standard farmer/country method should be used. Usually sample A is sent to a lab as is and samples B1 and B2 are extracted on-station (pH measured in filter suspension and EC measured in the filtrate) and the filtrate is sent to a lab for Nmin measurement.

Remark: To make the acquisition of the soil sample easier, you are advised to use a thin soil prober/drill of 2cm diameter. This makes it easier to dig and leads to enough quantity of soil (as 12 samples are mixed).

7 Annex 2: Generic Informed Consent BRESOV template (cf. BRESOV D7.2)

| Project Acronym: | BRESOV |
|---------------------------|--|
| Project Name: | Breeding for Resilient, Efficient and Sustainable Organic Vegetable production |
| Start date of the project | 01/05/2018 |
| End date of the project | 30/04/2022 |
| Website: | www.bresov.eu |

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 774244.

1. Introduction

You have been invited to take part in a research study. Before you decide to participate in this study, it is important that you understand why the research is being done and what it will involve. Please read the following information carefully and ask your contact researcher (i.e. study investigator) if there is anything that is not clear or if you need more information. *At all times, we are in compliance with the European data protection laws and regulations: EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data.*

Data acquisition of this study will consist of [a questionnaire on farming experience with the test varieties OR a questionnaire on crop production data and farm operation OR and an interview for a short video about BRESOV].

The specific objectives of the task in which you are involved are as follows: (include here the objectives of the specific task <TASK NUMBER> considered).

2. Description of the project 'Breeding for Resilient, Efficient and Sustainable Organic Vegetable production (BRESOV)'

In BRESOV, we plan to advance the development of varieties which are well suited to organic agriculture. To do so, we exploit the genetic variation of brassica, snap bean and tomato looking for traits that enhance productivity and quality. We also develop strategies for better farming and research methods to increase the quantity and quality of organic seeds. These aims will be achieved thanks to the active involvement of farmers, advisory services, research institutes, breeding companies and food processors from diverse geographical/climatic contexts in Europe and Non-EU countries.

BRESOV involves 22 partner institutions from 10 European countries as well as China, Tunisia and South Korea, and is characterised by a multi-actor approach placing special emphasis on the input and needs of the breeding and farming sector. A full list of the project partners is available on the BRESOV website: <u>https://www.bresov.eu/network/partners</u>

3. Study procedures

You are asked to [fill a questionnaire about<DESCRIPTION> OR answer questions in a filmed interview]

4. Risks and benefits

No risk is foreseen. While it is likely that you will not receive any personal benefit for your participation in this study, you will informed about its results. Your participation makes a substantial contribution to understanding the potential of [alternative crop varieties OR certain farming methods OR organic farming].

7. Privacy and confidentiality

Your responses to [the questionnaires OR the interview] will be recorded.

In case of questionnaire, the information you provide – excluding personal, identifiable data such as name, email, telephone number or physical address - will be stored by members of the consortium, processed during data analysis and will be shown in project reports. Your personal data will only be stored by the contact researcher (i.e. local investigator of this study and their institution). No personal data will be shared with third party companies.

The results of this investigation may be published in scientific journals or conferences and may be used in further studies.

In the case of filmed interviews, your consent to the display of your name and profession will be sought prior to the publication of the media.

8. VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION

Your participation in this study is voluntary. If you decide to take part in this study, you will be asked to sign this consent form. After you sign it, you are still free to withdraw from the study at any time and without reason. Withdrawing from this study will not affect the relationship you have, if any, with the researcher. If you withdraw from the study before data collection is completed, your data will be returned to you or destroyed.

7. Contact persons

If you have questions at any time about this study, you may contact your local study investigator, the Leading Researcher whose contact information is <NAME SURNAME> (Name@Organisation.country) or the Project Coordinator, Prof. Ferdinando Branca (<u>fbranca@unict.it</u>).

8. Your consent

I have read and understood the provided information and have had the opportunity to ask questions. I agree to participate in this study and to the use of the information I provide for [scientific OR dissemination] purposes.

I will be given a copy of this consent form.

Name and surname of participant

.....

Place, date and signature of participant (electronic signature for web-survey)

Name and surname of study director

Place, date and signature of study director

8 Annex 3: Confirmation of compliance of non EU partners with GDPR (cf. D7.3)

Project Acronym: BRESOV

Project Name: Breeding for Resilient, Efficient and Sustainable Organic Vegetable production Start date of the project 01/05/2018 End date of the project 30/04/2022

Website: www.bresov.eu

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 774244.

Confirmation of compliance of partners from non-EU countries or international organisations with national and EU legislation

I confirm that.....(organization name, name of authorised person).... located in (non-EU country) will rigorously apply the ethical standards and guidelines of Horizon 2020 and the national regulations, regardless of the country in which the research is carried out.

I confirm that......(organization name, name of authorised person) located in (non-EU country).. will collect scientific and personal data. If personal data are transferred from/to a non-EU country or international organisation, we will comply with national and EU legislation.

Date, place

Signature