



Pig welfare from birth to slaughter in sustainable concepts

A.G. Kongsted, C. Leeb, E. Merlot, R. Thomsen, E. Salomon, B. Früh, C. Wimmler, A. Prunier, L. Canario, H. Vermeer, H. Spoolder, H. M.-L. Andersen, L. Baldinger, K. Heidbuechel, L. Wahlund, L. Bark, D. Bochicchio, A. Jenni, M. Holinger, S. Moakes, R. Eppenstein





To support the development of a variety of **resilient** and **competitive** organic pig production systems across Europe with low **ecological footprints** and high **animal welfare**



Focus – animal groups and systems





05/03/2021

1. Piglets and weaners



05/03/2021

Innovations investigated **Piglet survival**



Photos: S. Ferchaud (INRAE), H. Vermeer (WUR), K. Heidbüchel (TI-OL)

Genetic selection



Farrowing pen size

Piglet nest design

Nest2_9111_1



2020-07-24 11:49:48

05/03/2021

Innovations investigated **Piglet health and growth**



Iron status and injection alternatives

Forest litter probiotics



Extended lactation and lactational oestrus

Photos: E. Merlot (INRAE), D. Bochicchio (CREA-ZA), M. Hestbjerg (<u>www.hestbjerg.dk</u>)



05/03/2021

Project findings – piglet health

Piglet iron status in organic indoor and freerange herds

- Piglets born on pasture do not need supplementary iron
- Iron status in general adequate, also in indoor herds using supplementary iron
- Alternatives to iron injections needed in indoor herds



Photos: E. Merlot (INRAE), 05/03/2021







2. Growing/finishing pigs



Project findings – a review of the literature

How to design outdoor runs to fulfill the pig's behavioural needs/improve hygiene

- Introducing additional resources like rooting areas and showers may increase the attractiveness -> more pigs outside
- Good opportunities to divide the outdoor run into different functional areas for activities -> exploration, thermoregulation and elimination
- This may not only improve animal welfare but also **reduce risk of ammonia emission**

Review : Design matters - A **legislative**, **ethological** and **environmental** perspective on concrete outdoor runs for organic growing-finishing pigs

H.M. Vermeer^{1a}, C. Wimmler^{2a}, C. Leeb², E. Salomon³, H. M-L. Andersen⁴



Submitted to Animals January 2021



Outdoor run – Innovations investigated in experimental designs



Rooting area (soil)

Roughage

Photos: L.D. Jensen (CFF), C. Wimmler (BOKU), Eva Salomon (RISE)

Shower

Daily scraping Ammonia emission

POWER

3. Combined systems



Identification / evaluation of best practice / innovative concepts



05/03/2021

Stakeholder inputs

120 stakeholders across Europe

POWER

	Housing	Free-ranging			
	Large diversity in group size, roofing, provision of roughage etc. between countries!	Under pressure in many European countries		NOVEMBER 2020	
+	Controlled housing climate, individual handling of pigs (fairly) easy, possible to collect manure for use in the crop rotation	Robust animals, lower infection rates, good opportunities to perform natural behavior, low investment costs, high consumer acceptance		IDENTIFICATION OF BEST PRACTISES AND INNOVATIVE IDEAS WITHIN ORGANIC PIG PRODUCTION SYSTEMS IN EUROPE SUMMARY OF STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS	
÷	Limited opportunity to perform natural behavior (e.g. rooting), poor hygiene/high risk of ammonia emission from outdoor runs, high investment costs	Heavy workload, (often) no premium price, risk of nutrient leaching, and concerns about biosecurity (ASF)	L R A	Ine Dinesen Jensen, Centre for Free Range Livestock, Denmark kke Thomsen, Centre for Free Range Livestock, Denmark ane Grete Kongsted, Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Denmark	
How to combine to have "the best of both worlds"?					

Innovative concepts evaluated



DK (mobile concept)



CH (mobile concept)



I (agroforestry)

Photos: AG Kongsted (AU), B. Früh (FiBL), D. Bochicchio (CREA-ZA)



05/03/2021

Overall assessment

		Values required for all highlighted fields (except rem
		Questions marked in light arean should be answered at each visit. Sows in service area
On-farm data collection protocol	GWP (CO2)	Seasonal differences in housing Average days in service
1. General information	Eutrophication	Average group size * Remark How often are the indoor areas being cleaned from manuered * * * Pregnant sows/management Are sows scaned from manuered (d) *
2. Management	Acidification	In the before farrowing In the before farrowing If pregnant sows are kept on pasture Seasonal differences in housing
3. Productivity	Actaljication	Grass cover on paddocts of pregnant sous Of the source of
4. Housing and manure	Land use	If YES & Cover of total particular vegetations [m] Access to housing facilities on particular source If YES destricts on particular source
5. Labour & costs	Resilience	Feeding frequency per day Glossary General information
 Concentrate reed mixtures 7 On form food production 		Management Productivity Housing & Manure
7. On-larm leed production	Gross margin	S. Moakes (FiBL)
05/03/2021	Proven Welfare and Resilience in organic pig pro	oduction POWER

Management best practice herds

Organic pig production We need to keep on moving forward...

- To improve intact pigs' possibility to perform natural behavior in sustainable concepts
 - No castration/tail docking
 - Extended lactation
 - Pasture access e.g. in mobile and agroforestry concepts
 - Local feed sources not competing with human nutrition
- To increase **diversity** from farm to fork to meet diverse consumer segments
- To support the development of new organic systems and practices realising the **pig's organic potential/role**





Thank you

Soon there will be a range of **fact sheets** available at the POWER project homepage

https://projects.au.dk/coreorganiccofund/core-organic-cofund-projects/power/





Acknowledgement: The financial support for this project are provided by transnational funding bodies, being partners of the H2020 ERA-net project, CORE Organic Cofund, and the cofund from the European Commission



