

## Haematological Indices of ISA Brown Birds Fed diets Containing Guinea Hen Weed (*Petiveria alliacea*) leaf and Root Meals

\*Muhammad<sup>1</sup>, S. B.,  
Sobayo<sup>1</sup>, R. A., Oso<sup>1</sup>A. O.,  
Sogunle<sup>2</sup>, O. M., Ayoola<sup>2</sup>, A.A.,  
Basiru<sup>1</sup>, Y. T. and Abotinde<sup>1</sup>, R.O.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Production  
and Health, Federal University  
of Agriculture, Abeokuta

\*Corresponding author:  
babatunde.muhammad9@gmail.com

### Abstract

*Haematological indices of ISA brown growerbirds fed diets incorporated with Petiveria alliacea leaf meal (PLM) and Petiveria alliacea root meal (PRM) were investigated in a 21-weeks trial. A total of 450 birds were divided into ten treatments groups of forty-five birds with three replicates of fifteen birds. The diets contained PLM and PRM at five levels of inclusion; (0, 1000, 1500, 2000 and 2500 mg/kg). The experiment was arranged in a 2 × 5 factorial layout in a completely randomized design (CRD). Amidst varying inclusion of PLM and PRM, birds fed diets containing 1500 mg/kg of PLM showed decreased ( $P < 0.05$ ) PCV. Birds fed diets containing 1500 and 2500 mg/kg of PLM reduced ( $P < 0.05$ ) RBC in comparison to other inclusion levels of plant parts. When compared to birds fed varying inclusion of PLM and PRM; least ( $P < 0.05$ ) WBC were obtained in birds fed diets containing 1000 and 2000 mg/kg of PRM. In conclusion, addition of Petiveria leaf and root meal affected haematology indices as values obtained fell within normal range for healthy birds.*

### Keywords:

*Petiveria alliacea*, ISA brown,  
Haematology

## Introduction

The use of antibiotic-based growth promoters is presently facing serious criticism and has raised global concern as some reports revealed their ill effects among which are the development of microbial resistance to the products and their potential harmful effects on human health (Rahmatnejad *et al.*, 2009). These shortcomings have led to the search for alternative substances that eliminate these threats. Recently, there is an increasing interest in the utilization of growth promoters from natural origin (Holden *et al.*, 1998, Grela and Klebanuik, 2007). Rahmatnejad *et al.* (2009) reported that medicinal plants and herbs are one of the natural feed additives currently used in poultry diets to enhance the performance and immune response of birds. Biovet, (2005) opined that many active ingredients present in the plant are considered as pro-nutrients and recently been tried in animal feeds.

## Materials and Method

*Petiveria alliacea* was harvested around the Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta (FUNAAB). The roots were washed, chopped into bits followed by sun drying for 14 days ( $\leq 90\%$  DM). *Petiveria alliacea* leaves were washed, air dried under a shed ( $29 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) until they were crispy to touch, while retaining their greenish colouration. Both the leaves and roots were milled (1mm sieve) using a laboratory mill to obtain a product referred to as *Petiveria* leaf meal (PLM) and *Petiveria* root meal (PRM). The entire test ingredients were stored in an air tight container at room temperature until when needed.

A total of 450 (16 weeks) point of lay ISA brown were obtained from a reputable source in Ogun state for the study. The birds were fed the test diets and managed intensively on dip litter throughout the duration of the experiment. The birds were subjected to ten treatment groups of 45 grower chicks. Each treatments was further divided into three replicate of fifteen birds each in a  $2 \times 4$  factorial arrangements of; 2 plant parts (leaf and root) and 4 inclusion levels of PLM and PRM (0 mg/kg, 1000 mg/kg, 1500 mg/kg and 2000 mg/kg and 2500 mg/kg).

At the 21st week of the study, blood samples were drawn from the wing (bronchial vein) of the birds into (EDTA) bottles for haematological indices according to method of Jain, (1986) and Davice and Lewis, (1991) respectively. Data obtained were laid out in a  $2 \times 5$  factorial arrangement and significant means were separated using Duncan multiple range tests (Duncan, 1955).

## Results

The interactive effects of plant part and levels of inclusion of plant parts on haematological indices of laying birds (37 weeks) showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effects on PCV, RBC, WBC, heterophil, eosinophil, basophil and monocyte. Amidst varying inclusion of PLM and PRM, birds fed diets containing 1500 mg/kg of PLM showed decreased ( $P < 0.05$ ) PCV. Birds fed diets containing 1500 and 2500 mg/kg of PLM reduced ( $P < 0.05$ ) RBC when compared to other inclusion levels of plant parts. When compared to birds fed varying inclusion of PLM and PRM; least ( $P < 0.05$ ) WBC were obtained in birds fed diets containing 1000 and 2000 mg/kg of PRM.

Table 1. Gross composition (%) of experimental layers diets (16-37 weeks)

PLM (mg/kg) PRM (mg/kg)										
Ingredients	0	1000	1500	2000	2500	0	1000	1500	2000	2500
Maize	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00	48.00
Wheat offal	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00
SBM	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00	11.00
GNC	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75	8.75
FM (72%)	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
PKC	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BM	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
Oyster Shell	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50	8.50
Lysine	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Methionine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
*Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
PLM	-	+	++	+++	++++	-	-	-	-	-
PRM	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	++	+++	++++
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

  

Calculated Proximate composition (%)										
M.E (kcal/kg)	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00	2672.00
C.P	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07	17.07
C.F	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03	4.03
E.E	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28	3.28
Ash	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.54

Vit./Min. Premix contains: Vits. A, 10 000 000iu; D<sub>3</sub> 2 000 000iu; E, 13 000iu; K<sub>3</sub> 1 500mg; B<sub>12</sub>, 10mg; riboflavin, 5 000mg; pyridoxine, 1 300mg; thiamine, 1 300mg; D-Pantothenic acid, 8 000mg; nicotinic acid, 28 000mg; folic acid, 500mg; biotin, 40mg; Cu, 7 000mg; Mn, 48 000mg; Zn, 58 000mg; Fe, 58 000mg; Se, 120mg; I, 60mg; Co, 300mg; choline, 275 000mg; methionine, 20 000mg; BHT, 5 000mg. PLM: *Petiveria* Leaf Meal. PRM: *Petiveria* Root Meal. - = exclusion levels - = exclusion levels. += 1000 mg/kg, ++=2000 mg/kg, +++ = 2500 mg/kg.

**Table 2. Effects of PLM and PRM inclusion on haematological indices of laying birds (37 weeks)**

Treatment		PCV (%)	Hb (g/dl)	RBC ( $\times 10^{12}/l$ )	WBC ( $\times 10^9/l$ )	HET (%)	LYM (%)	BAS (%)	EOS (%)	MON (%)	MCV (fl)	MCH (Pg)	MCHC (g/dl)
Plant parts	Levels of Inclusion												
PLM		32.00	10.50	2.66	13.46	34.00 <sup>b</sup>	64.00	0.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.30	1.00	120.66	39.63	32.85
PRM		34.00	11.08	2.88	12.87	37.60 <sup>a</sup>	60.20	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.40	0.80	119.21	38.82	32.57
SEM		0.907	0.278	0.084	0.466	1.074	1.505	0.164	0.083	0.152	2.998	0.966	0.737
	0	35.75 <sup>a</sup>	11.53	2.98	13.12	34.75 <sup>b</sup>	63.25	0.75 <sup>bc</sup>	0.25 <sup>bc</sup>	1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	120.13	38.75	1.49
	1000	34.25 <sup>a</sup>	11.10	2.75	12.55	34.75 <sup>b</sup>	62.25	0.50 <sup>bc</sup>	0.75 <sup>a</sup>	1.50 <sup>a</sup>	124.73	40.43	1.50
	1500	30.00 <sup>b</sup>	10.13	2.50	14.42	40.75 <sup>a</sup>	57.75	0.25 <sup>c</sup>	0.25 <sup>bc</sup>	1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	120.05	40.50	1.54
	2000	32.50 <sup>ab</sup>	10.53	2.80	12.80	36.00 <sup>b</sup>	62.75	1.00 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.25 <sup>c</sup>	118.60	38.35	1.46
	2500	32.50 <sup>ab</sup>	10.68	2.82	12.92	32.75 <sup>b</sup>	64.50	1.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.50 <sup>ab</sup>	0.75 <sup>bc</sup>	116.18	38.10	1.79
	SEM	1.324	0.429	0.127	0.708	1.553	2.459	0.150	0.072	0.183	4.849	1.556	1.211
PLM	0	36.00	11.50	3.05 <sup>ab</sup>	13.10 <sup>ab</sup>	33.50 <sup>bc</sup>	64.00	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	117.90	37.70	31.95
	1000	33.00	10.65	2.60 <sup>bc</sup>	13.55 <sup>ab</sup>	33.50 <sup>bc</sup>	64.00	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	127.10	41.00	32.25
	1500	29.00	9.95	2.40 <sup>c</sup>	13.60 <sup>ab</sup>	39.00 <sup>ab</sup>	59.00	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	120.85	41.45	34.20
	2000	31.50	10.45	2.80 <sup>abc</sup>	15.10 <sup>a</sup>	34.00 <sup>bc</sup>	65.50	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	112.95	37.40	33.15
	2500	30.50	9.95	2.45 <sup>c</sup>	11.95 <sup>ab</sup>	30.00 <sup>c</sup>	67.50	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	124.50	40.60	32.60
PRM	0	35.50	11.55	2.90 <sup>abc</sup>	13.15	36.00 <sup>abc</sup>	62.50	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	122.35	39.80	32.50
	1000	35.50	11.55	2.90 <sup>abc</sup>	11.55 <sup>bc</sup>	36.00 <sup>abc</sup>	60.50	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	122.35	39.85	32.55
	1500	31.00	10.30	2.60 <sup>bc</sup>	15.25 <sup>a</sup>	42.50 <sup>a</sup>	56.50	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.50 <sup>d</sup>	119.25	39.55	33.15
	2000	33.50	10.60	2.80 <sup>abc</sup>	10.50 <sup>c</sup>	38.00 <sup>ab</sup>	60.00	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	124.25	39.30	31.65
	2500	34.50	11.40	3.20 <sup>a</sup>	13.90 <sup>ab</sup>	35.50 <sup>bc</sup>	61.50	1.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	107.85	35.60	33.00
SEM		1.905	0.623	0.160	0.760	2.067	3.585	0.046	0.020	0.052	6.925	2.265	1.889
P-values													
Plant parts		0.0926	0.1367	0.0625	0.3854	0.0070	0.0832	0.0349	0.2120	0.2500	0.7469	0.5726	0.8021
Levels of Inclusion		0.0454	0.1951	0.1400	0.4416	0.0052	0.3376	0.0021	<.0001	0.0022	0.8098	0.7127	0.9130
Plant parts x Levels of Inclusion		0.1825	0.4067	0.0379	0.0059	0.0275	0.5962	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	0.7019	0.7497	0.9965

<sup>abcde</sup> means on the same row having different superscript were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) different. SEM: Standard Error of Mean, Conc: Concentration, PCV: Packed Cell Volume, Hb: haemoglobin, RBC: Red Blood Cell, WBC: White Blood Cell, HET: Heterophil, LYM: Lymphocyte, EOS: Eosinophil, BAS: Basophil, MON: Monocyte, MCV: Mean Corpuscular Volume, MCH: Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin, MCHC: Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration.

## Discussion

Haematological characteristics of livestock have been discovered as factors determining the response of livestock to the diet they are fed (Madubuike *et al.*, 2006). The RBC at 1500 mg/kg of PLM was lower when compared with other inclusion levels of PLM and PRM. Increase in the count of RBC, Hb and PCV is suggestive of polycythemia and positive erythropoiesis (Okpuzor *et al.*, 2009). Hence, the values obtained for this parameters were within reported range of ( $1.58\text{--}3.82 \times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$  RBC and  $9.2\text{--}28.6 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3$  WBC) as recorded by Mitruka and Rawnsley (1981). Masood *et al.* (2013) opined that a number of natural compounds such as saponin, tannins and flavonoids have been reported for their antioxidant. Elevated WBC counts have been recorded under diseased condition, infection or immune system disorder (Maroufyan *et al.*, 2010). The observed differences obtained in WBC differentials such as eosinophil; basophil and monocyte are within the reported range of eosinophil and monocytes of 0–0.5 and  $0\text{--}5 \times 10^3/l$  respectively for clinically healthy birds (Aiello *et al.*, 1998).

## References

- Aiello, S.E and Mays M 1998. The Merck-Veterinary Manual, 8th edition. Merck and company.
- Biovet, S A Laboratories 2005. The Intensive Production and the Spreading of High Productivity Genetic stocks have conditioned the common use of chemical Substances Known as "Growth Promoters".
- Davice, J.U. and Lewis, S.M. (1991). *Practical Haematology*, 8th edition. Longman Ltd., London, U.K., pp 22-68.

- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-test. *Biometrics*. 11:1-42.
- Grela, E.R. and Klebanuik, .R., 2007. Chemical composition of garlic preparation and its utilization in piglets diets. *Medcyna Wed*, 63:792-795.
- Holden, P.J., Mckean, J. and Franzenbury, O. 1998., *Biotechnical for pigs –garlic (ASLR1559)*. ISU Swine Research Report, Iowa State University, Ames.
- Jain, N. C. 1986. Schalm Veterinary Haematology 4<sup>th</sup> Edition Lea and Febiger, Philadephiapp 285.
- Madubuike, F.N. and Ekenyem, B.U. (2006). Haematology and serum biochemistry characteristics of broiler chicks fed varying dietary levels of *Ipomoea asarifolia* leaf meal. *International Journal Poultry Science*, 5:9-12.
- Maroufyan, E., A. Kasim, S.R. Hashemi, T.C. Loh and M.H. Bejo, 2010. Change in growth performance and liver function enzymes of broiler chickens challenged with infectious bursal disease virus to dietary supplementation of methionine and threonine. *American Journal of Animal and Veterinary Science*. 5: 20-26.
- Masood, S., Abbas, R. Z., Iqbal, Z. Mansoor, M. K., Sindhu, Z. U. D., Zia, M. A. and Khan, J. A. 2013. Role of Natural Antioxidants for the Control of Coccidiosis in Poultry. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal* 33(4):401-407.
- Mitruka BM, Rawnsley HM. 1981. Clinical, biochemical and hematological reference values in normal experimental animals and normal humans. New York: Masson Publishing; 413 p.
- Okpuzor, J., Okochi, V. I. Ogbunugafor, H. A. Ogbonnia, S. T., Fagbayi, Obidiegwu, C. 2009. Estimation of Cholesterol Level in Different Brands of Vegetable Oils. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 8: 57-62.
- Rahmatnejad, E., Roshanfekar, H., Ashayerizadeh, O., Mamooe, M. and Ashayerizadeh, A., 2009. Evaluating the effect of several non-antibiotic additives on growth performance of broiler chickens. *Journal of Animal Veterinary Advancement*, 8: 1670-1673.