

Growth and carcass quality of grazing Holstein bulls and Limousine x Holstein bulls and heifers slaughtered at 17 months of age



M. Vestergaard¹, C. Çakmakçı¹, T. Kristensen¹, K.F. Jørgensen² & M. Kargo^{1,2}

¹Aarhus University, Foulum, Tjele, Denmark, ²Knowledge Centre Agriculture, Cattle, Aarhus N, Denmark



Objective

To investigate if use of crossbreeding with beef breeds in organic dairy herds can improve the production efficiency in organic beef production

We examined the performance of spring-born crossbred Limousine x Holstein bulls (CB) and heifers (CH) compared with Holstein bulls (HB) when utilizing two grazing seasons and a fixed slaughter age (17 months)

Background

- The supply of organic beef from young animals is very low
- Dairy breed bulls calves from organic herds are currently sold to conventional fattening by rosé veal calf and young bull producers
- An efficient organic beef production will require high-yielding pastures cheap housing and winter feeding, robust animals, and utilization of the genetic growth potential
- Use of sexed semen (X) for the superior dairy cows will give room for use of beef breed semen to the less superior cows in the dairy herd
- An organic beef production will most likely include both bull and heifer calves
- Intact bulls will have better growth potential but most likely steers will be preferred by the farmers due to handling ease etc.

Table 1. Feeding value of TMRs (A, B and C) and of clover-grass swards (1st and 2nd summer)

	TMR A	Sward, 1 st yr	TMR B	TMR C	Sward 2 nd yr
DM, %	55	18	66	55	22
Ingredients /sward	Haylage (74%), barley, canola	Ryegrass, white clover	Haylage (67%), barley, canola	Grass haylage (90%), canola	Ryegrass, white clover
NE, MJ/kg DM	6.4	6.1	6.0-6.2	6.1	6.6
Crude protein, g/kg DM	153	200	149	113	211
Fatty acids, g/kg DM	28		22	18	
NDF, g/kg DM	385	394	411	443	362
Starch, g/kg DM	81		152	2	
Sugar, g/kg DM	78	115	78	129	154
Organic matter, g/kg DM	914		922	922	



Materials and Methods

- 15 HOL bulls (HB), 15 LIM x HOL bulls (CB) and 15 LIM x HOL heifers (CH)
- Crossbred calves were half-sibs, as one Limousine (LIM) bull was used
- The 45 calves were purchased at 20 d of age (56 kg BW)
- Calves were kept indoor in groups of 5 animals until weaning at 3 mo, and were gradually introduced to a grass-silage based ration (TMR A) from 3 to 4 mo. (1st winter)
- Calves were then raised on a mixed ryegrass-white clover pasture (800 m²/calf/d) + 2.2 kg DM/calf/d of the TMR A from 4 to 7 mo. (1st summer)
- From late October till mid-May, animals were housed in deep litter stalls and kept in the same groups of 5 animals (2nd winter)
- Animals had free access to a grass-haylage ration (TMR B from Oct to Dec and TMR C from Dec to May) during the 2nd winter
- Animals were grazing from mid-May until late-August (2nd summer) in a rotational paddock system (18 paddocks) in the same groups of 5 animals (9 groups) and generally moved to a new paddock every week
- HB and CB had 0.35 ha and CH animals 0.26 ha of pasture available
- During periods of low grass yield, animals were supplemented with TMR C amounting to 1.1 kg DM/animal/d
- Animals were slaughtered directly from the pasture at 16.9 mo of age
- Carcass weight, carcass conformation and fatness (EUROP scale) and carcass lean/fat colour was recorded and pH_{24h} measured in file

Conclusions

- Across sexes, crossbreeding did not improve growth rate compared to HOL bulls
- Crossbreeding markedly improved conformation
- Heifers produced carcasses of acceptable fatness
- Fatness and lean/fat colour of pasture-fed bulls were not acceptable

Table 2. Body weight (BW) and daily gain (ADG) of purebred Holstein bulls (HB), LIM x HOL crossbred bulls (CB), and LIM x HOL crossbred heifers (CH) in various growth phases

	HB	CB	CH	P-value
Number of animals, n	15	15	15	
BW at turn-out 1 st summer, kg	141	145	136	0.32
BW after 1 st summer, kg	201 ^{ab}	208 ^a	190 ^b	0.04
ADG, 1 st summer (71 d), g/d	852 ^a	893 ^a	763 ^b	0.02
BW late Dec 2012, kg	312 ^a	329 ^a	290 ^b	0.001
ADG, 1 st part, 2 nd winter (84 d), g/d	1323 ^a	1437 ^b	1193 ^c	0.001
BW at turn-out 2 nd summer, kg	445 ^a	463 ^a	415 ^b	0.002
ADG, 2 nd part, 2 nd winter (147 d), g/d	905	912	849	0.17
BW at slaughter, kg	534 ^a	575 ^b	480 ^c	0.001
ADG, 2 nd summer (106 d), g/d	850 ^a	1160 ^b	681 ^c	0.001
ADG, birth to slaughter, g/d	948 ^a	1018 ^b	841 ^c	0.001

Table 3. Carcass quality characteristics

	HB	CB	CH	P-value
Carcass weight, kg	272 ^a	316 ^b	249 ^c	0.001
Dressing percentage	52.1 ^a	55.1 ^b	52.7 ^a	0.001
EUROP carcass conformation	3.0 ^a	7.0 ^b	5.3 ^c	0.001
EUROP fatness	1.0 ^a	1.2 ^a	2.9 ^b	0.001
Carcass lean/fat colour	4.0 ^a	3.9 ^a	3.3 ^b	0.001
pH in filet 24 h p.m. (n=30)	5.88	5.61	5.55	0.27

Results

- ADG from birth to turn-out 1st summer was 828 g/d and not different between treatment groups
- During 1st summer, HB and CB had higher ADG than CH (P<0.02)
- ADG during 231 days of 2nd winter was 1012, 1052 and 930 g/d for HB CB and CH, respectively (P<0.002)
- During the first 11 wk of 2nd summer, ADG of HB, CB and CH were 1081, 1357 and 847 g/d (SE 50 g/d, P<0.001)
- LW at slaughter was 534, 575 and 480 kg and ADG from birth to slaughter was 948, 1018 and 841 g/d for HB, CB, and CH, respectively (P<0.001)
- Carcass wt, EUROP conformation, and fatness was 272, 315 and 249 kg, 3.0, 7.0 and 5.3, and 1.0, 1.2 and 2.9 for HB, CB and CH, respectively (P<0.001)
- Crossbreeding markedly improved conformation but fatness (too low) and lean/fat colour (too dark) of pasture-fed bulls were not acceptable