



Genotype x management interaction for nutrient use efficiency (NUE) of maize varieties tested under different tillage and fertilization regimes

Monika Messmer¹, Noémi Uehlinger^{1,2}, Alfred Berner¹, Johannes Scholberg², Paul Mäder¹

¹ FiBL & ² Biological Farming Systems Group, Wageningen University

Background:

- **Promotion of Reduced Tillage (RT) in Organic Farming to combine benefit of both systems**
 - Higher organic matter (CO₂ sequestration)
 - Reduced risk of nitrate leaching and erosion
 - Improved soil structure and water holding capacity
 - Increased earth worm populations and microbial activity
 - **Persistent weeds**
 - **Delayed mineralisation of organic fertilizer in cold spring**
- **Nutrient use efficient (NUE) Genotypes needed that can cope with slow releasing fertilizer that might not match nutrient demand at all times**

Objectives: **Test for Genotype x Management Interaction**

- To quantify the **expression of Nit-UE** of maize varieties under different tillage and fertilisation regimes
- To compare the effects of **slow releasing organic** versus mineral fertilizer at different input levels
- To determine **cultivar x fertiliser x tillage interactions**

➡ **Integrating of Breeding & Managment**

Experimental Design

› Sites

- › Muri (Canton Aargau): sandy loam
- › Aesch (Canton Baselland): silty loam (loess)

Both under organic management since more than 6 years

› Same Crop Rotation with 1 year difference

- › gras clover - maize – winter faba – winter wheat

› Factors:

- › Cultivars: 6 maize cultivars differing in Nit-UE
- › Tillage: Reduced tillage vs. Conventional Tillage
- › Fertilisation: Slurry vs. mineral fertilizers, two levels and unfertilized control

| Sites: | Sentenhof Muri AG | Schlatthof Aesch BL |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Soil typ: | Sandy loam | Silty loam |
| Av. Temperature: | 8.8 ° C | 11.7 ° C |
| Av. Precipitation: | 1200 mm | 790 mm |
| Altitude: | 460 m a. S L | 350 m a. S L |
| Humus content: | 3.0% | 2.8% |
| P _{avail} [kg/ha] 0-20 cm | 11 | 16 |
| K _{avail} [kg/ha] 0-20 cm | 50 | 117 |
| N _{min} [kg/ha] 0-60 cm | 28 (CT); 33 (RT) | 93 (CT); 64 (RT) |
| Maize sowing time: | 12.05.2009 | 25.05.2010 |
| Harvesting time: | 18.09.2009 | 30.09.2010 |

Tillage (2 levels)

Conventional tillage (CT):



with mouldboard plough
Converting soil **up to 18-20 cm deep**



Reduced tillage (RT): (=minimum tillage)



with **Stubble cleaner** = skin plough
Converting **only top soil 5-7 cm deep**



Fertilization to maize (5 levels) in Muri and Aesch

| Fertilizer Input | N total* [kg/ha] | N available [kg/ha] | P ₂ O ₅ [kg/ha] | K ₂ O [kg/ha] |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Control (unfertilized) | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| Organic low (Slurry1) | 82 68* | 48 (58%) 28* (41%) | 31 36 | 49 108 |
| Organic high (Slurry2) | 155 135* | 90 (58%) 54* (41%) | 60 70 | 96 214 |
| Mineral low (NPK1) | 85 63* | 85 63* | 40 40 | 110 110 |
| Mineral high (NPK2) | 170 127* | 170 127* | 80 80 | 220 220 |

* Fertilization was reduced in Aesch by 21 and 42 kg N/ha, respectively, due to difference in N_{min} in soil at sowing time (78 kg/ha in Aesch vs. 31 kg/ha in Muri) to comply with maximum limit of 200 kg N/ha

Maize varieties used:

| | Hybrids | Breeder | Maturity | FAO | Characteristics |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| S1 | Ricardinio | KWS (DE) | Medium-early | S230 K220 | standard var. high yielding |
| S2 | Coxximo | R.A.G.T. (FR) | Early maturing | S230 K230 | standard var. |
| S3 | Fernandez | KWS | Medium-early | S250 | QTL conferring low Nit-UE |
| S4 | Torres | KWS | Medium-early | S250 K260 | QTL conferring high Nit-NUE |
| S5 | Apekt= Anjou 227 | Saaten Union (DE) | Early maturing | S210 K220 | standard var. |
| S6 | Grosso | KWS | Medium-early | S250 | QTL for high Nit-NUE |

Experimental design: Split Split Plot design



Experimental design: Split Split Plot design

2 tillage x 5 fertilization x 6 varieties x 4 reps = 240 plots

Size of tillage plot (36 x 34 m)

Size of fertilizer plot within tillage (12 x 17 m)

Size of variety plot within fertilizer (3 x 6 m)

4 row plots with 75 cm row distance

Plant density: 10 plants/m²

harvest of two center rows (9 m²)

[illegible]

Analysis and records in 2009 and 2010

- › Number of plants
- › Plant height
- › Anthesis and silking date
- › Pests (European corn borer, 2009) and Disease (smut in 2010)
- › Weeds 3x
- › Chlorophyll content (SPAD 2x)
- › Yield of silage maize (DMY, DM content)
- › Product quality (crude protein, energy content)
- › N, P, K in plants at harvest
- › N, P, K in fertilizers
- › Soil parameters (humus content, pH, soil nutrients)
- › Detailed records for socioeconomic analysis


Significant Effects and Interactions of Silage Maize experiment across environmental sites Muri and Aesch

| Trait | Till. | Fert. | Var. | T xF | VxT | VxF | VxFxT | VxFxTxE |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|-------|---------|
| Plant height | | *** | *** | | | *** | | * |
| Anthesis Silking Int. | + | | *** | | *** | | | |
| Weeds | *** | | *** | | | * | + | |
| SPAD | * | *** | *** | *** | | | | |
| Dry matter yield | + | *** | *** | | | | | |
| Energy (NEL) MJ/kg | | | *** | | | | * | |
| N concentration | ** | *** | *** | | | | | |
| P concentration | * | * | *** | | | + | | |
| N Use Efficiency | | *** | *** | | | *** | ** | *** |
| P Use Efficiency | | *** | *** | | | *** | ** | *** |

Rank correlations between traits


| Trait 1 | Trait 2 | Muri 2009 | Aesch 2010 |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| DM yield | Weed pressure | - 0.27 | n.s. |
| DM yield | Days to silking | n.s. | + 0.52 |
| DM yield | DM content | n.s. | n.s. |
| DM yield | Plant height | + 0.62 | + 0.37 |
| DM yield | Net energy lactation | n.s. | n.s. |
| DM yield | Chlorophyll content | + 0.52 | - 0.42 |
| DM yield | N concentration | + 0.34 | n.s. |
| DM yield | P concentration | - 0.37 | n.s. |
| N concentration | Chlorophyll content | + 0.59 | + 0.58 |

Response of Varieties to Tillage and Fertilization




Significant effects: Env, Fert, Var, VxE

Response of Varieties to Tillage and Fertilization




Significant effects: Env, Till, Fert, Var, VxE

Response of Varieties to Tillage and Fertilization




Significant effects: Env, Til, Fert, Var, VxF

Response of Varieties to Tillage and Fertilization



Significant effects: Env, Fert, Var, VxF, VxE, VxFxT, VxFxTxE

Response of Varieties to Tillage and Fertilization




Significant effects: Fert, Var, VxF, VxE, VxFxT, VxFxTxE


Nutrient balance of the two sites

| Env. | Till. | Fert. | N input soil + fert. (kg/ha) | P input soil + fert. (kg/ha) | K input soil + fert. (kg/ha) | N uptake (kg/ha) | P uptake (kg/ha) | K uptake (kg/ha) | N mobil. (kg/ha) | P mobil. (kg/ha) | K mobil. (kg/ha) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Muri 2009 | CT | CO | 28.0 | 11.0 | 49.8 | 127.2 | 34.2 | 120.0 | 99.2 | 23.2 | 70.2 |
| Muri 2009 | CT | SL2 | 118.0 | 37.2 | 128.7 | 160.0 | 37.3 | 146.0 | | | |
| Muri 2009 | CT | NPK2 | 198.0 | 45.9 | 232.4 | 187.0 | 35.1 | 163.2 | | | |
| Muri 2009 | RT | CO | 33.0 | 11.0 | 49.8 | 110.7 | 32.8 | 104.8 | 77.7 | 21.8 | 55.0 |
| Muri 2009 | RT | SL2 | 123.0 | 37.2 | 128.7 | 151.7 | 38.3 | 135.9 | | | |
| Muri 2009 | RT | NPK2 | 203.0 | 45.9 | 232.4 | 191.1 | 39.5 | 160.5 | | | |
| Aesch 2010 | CT | CO | 93.0 | 16.5 | 117.2 | 198.1 | 36.4 | 163.7 | 105.1 | 19.8 | 46.5 |
| Aesch 2010 | CT | SL2 | 147.3 | 47.2 | 294.9 | 215.0 | 38.2 | 192.3 | | | |
| Aesch 2010 | CT | NPK2 | 220.5 | 51.4 | 299.8 | 234.3 | 39.2 | 195.9 | | | |
| Aesch 2010 | RT | CO | 64.0 | 16.5 | 117.2 | 185.1 | 37.5 | 150.8 | 121.1 | 20.9 | 33.7 |
| Aesch 2010 | RT | SL2 | 118.3 | 47.2 | 294.9 | 207.6 | 39.2 | 173.6 | | | |
| Aesch 2010 | RT | NPK2 | 191.5 | 51.4 | 299.8 | 227.8 | 39.8 | 171.7 | | | |

Changes in soil organic matter in relation to tillage



Changes in soil organic matter in relation to different tillage and fertilization



Summary:

- **Reduced tillage resulted in** higher weed pressure, reduced chlorophyll and N content, but similar dry matter yield, net energy and nutrient use efficiency
- Yield increased significantly from the **unfertilized control to slurry and to mineral fertilizer**, however, doubling the amount of either slurry or NPK had no significant effect on yield, but increased soil organic matter
- **Genotypes** differed significantly for all important traits and significant **genotype x management interaction** were found for plant height, silking time, weed density, net energy lactation and nutrient uptake efficiency and nutrient use efficiency

Acknowledgements:

- the organic farmers Ueli Ineichen and Rène Leimgruber for providing the field and conducting the field management
- Röbi Frei, Maike Krauss, Robert Kunz for supporting the assessment in the field and lab

**Financial support of
FP7 NUE-CROPS**



&

Coop sustainability fund

Der Coop Fonds für Nachhaltigkeit
unterstützt dieses Projekt.

