



## OWC 2020 Paper Submission - Science Forum

### *Topic 3 - Transition towards organic and sustainable food systems*

OWC2020-SCI-350

#### THE CURRENT USE OF PEAT, PLASTIC AND FERTILISERS IN ORGANIC GROWING ACROSS EUROPE

Anne-Kristin Løes<sup>1</sup>, Ulrich Schmutz<sup>2</sup>, Nikolaos Katsoulas<sup>3</sup>, Rafaela Caceres<sup>4</sup>, Francisco Manuel de Cara<sup>5</sup>, Gabriella Cirvillieri<sup>6</sup>, Alev Kir<sup>7</sup>, Lucas Knebl<sup>8</sup>, Krystyna Malinska<sup>9</sup>, Frank Oudshoorn<sup>10</sup>, Ben Raskin<sup>11</sup>, Francis Rayns<sup>12</sup>, Sophie Valleix<sup>13</sup>, Judith Conroy<sup>12</sup> and Members of work package SOIL in the project Organic PLUS: <https://organic-plus.net/wp5-soil/>

<sup>1</sup>Norwegian Centre for Organic Agriculture (NORSØK), Tingvoll, Norway, <sup>2</sup>Centre for Agroecology, Water and Resilience – CAWR, Coventry University, Coventry, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>University of Thessaly, Thessaly, Greece, <sup>4</sup>IRTA, Barcelona, <sup>5</sup>IFAPA, Almeria, Spain, <sup>6</sup>University of Catania, Catania, Italy, <sup>7</sup>Ministry of food, agriculture and livestock, Izmir, Turkey, <sup>8</sup>FORI, Darmstadt, Germany, <sup>9</sup>Technical University, Czestochowska, Poland, <sup>10</sup>SEGES, Århus, Denmark, <sup>11</sup>Soil Association, Bristol, <sup>12</sup>Coventry University, Coventry, United Kingdom, <sup>13</sup>ABioDoc, Clermont, France

**Preferred Presentation Method:** Oral or poster presentation

**Full Paper Publication:** Yes

**Abstract:** The use of contentious inputs in organic growing was mapped across Europe in the H2020 project Organic-PLUS (GA774340, <https://organic-plus.net/>) during 2018. This paper presents results on peat, plastic and animal-derived fertilisers in horticultural growing. Broadly, the use of peat and plastic is similar as for non-organic production. Many organic growers use transplants, and the growing media usually contain peat. For plastic, the use is widespread for mulching and frost protection. Plastic is also used as tree guards and attaching clips. As a fertilisation input, dried poultry manure is used in all countries. Many more commercial fertiliser products are in use. They are often made from animal hide or vinasse. The application of such products varies widely between countries. The project aims to develop alternatives to these contentious inputs.

**Introduction:** The Organic-PLUS project provides scientifically informed decision support to assist EU, national and regional policy makers in phasing out contentious inputs from organic production across Europe. In addition to peat, plastic and animal-derived fertilisers, the project evaluates the use of plant protection inputs in horticultural growing (copper, sulphur, mineral oil etc.) and animal production inputs (antibiotics, synthetic vitamins etc.). Relevant alternative solutions are tested, and assessments made of phase-out scenarios. 10 universities, 6 research institutes and 10 multi-actor organisations from 12 European countries collaborate in this 4.1m Euro project (2018-2022). This paper presents

the regulatory background for use of peat, plastic and animal-derived fertilisers, the current use of peat, plastic and fertilisers in organic growing, and gives a brief overview of alternatives being studied in the Organic-PLUs project.

**Material and methods:** During 2018, two project WPs (PLANT, SOIL) collaborated to map the current use of inputs for plant protection (Katsoulas et al. 2018), and the use of peat in growing media, plastic use e.g. for mulching, and commercial fertilisers, especially those derived from animal by-products or manure from non-organic farms (Løes et al. 2018). The mapping used expert knowledge and a per-crop approach. From 14 crops in 10 countries, information was received from experienced advisors and/or farm managers (Table 1). Spreadsheet-tables were filled in to describe the typical use of inputs during the growing cycle. The crops selected are important organic crops in the respective country. In total, 68 informants provided their knowledge. The information was analysed per topic and country, and general findings were highlighted. After the mapping activity, studies were designed to test alternatives to peat, plastic and animal-derived fertilisers.

*Table 1. Number of informants per crop and country describing use of peat, plastic and animal-derived fertilisers.*

Crop/ Country	Apple	Broccoli	Cabbage	Carrot	Cereals	Citrus	Cucumber	Eggplant	Lettuce	Olive	Potato	Pepper	Strawberry	Tomato	SUM
Denmark	1	1			1						1		1	1	6
France				1				1	1	1	1			4	9
Germany			1		2						1			1	5
Greece	1	1				1				1	1			1	6
Italy						3				2	2			2	7
Norway	1			1							1		1	1	5
Poland							1				1		1	1	4
Spain						3				4				2	9
Turkey						1		1		1	1	1	1	1	7
UK	2	2	2	2					1				1		8
SUM	5	4	3	4	3	8	1	2	2	9	9	1	5	14	68

### Results: Regulations for the use of peat, plastic and fertilisers

The current regulation, EC889/2008 and the upcoming EC848/2018 mention "peat" only once, connected with growing of mushrooms. Annex 1, which is listing permitted soil amendments, mentions peat but restricted to horticultural use. The word "plastic" is not used in EC889/08 or EC848/18. Contrarily, Canadian and USDA organic standards put strict limits to plastic use. For this material, biodegradable plastic (BDP) must be distinguished from bioplastic (BP). BDP is often made with (some) fossil materials, whereas BP is made from lactic acid from renewable sources (e.g. maize). Whether such materials can be completely compostable is intensively discussed. In Canada and the US, BP from polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) is accepted because the production is based on microbial synthesis, whereas BP from polylactide acid (PLA) is not since this is derived from a chemical synthesis. Traditional plastic (not PVC) may be used for mulching but must be removed after the growing period. In practice, biodegradable plastics are currently banned in organic growing in USA and Canada.

For fertiliser regulations, EC848/18 puts a stronger emphasis than EC889/08 to restricting the use of off-farm inputs to reduce contamination risks, hence limiting the ability to close nutrient gaps (Løes & Adler 2019). Fertiliser products from

human excreta, and mineral N fertilisers, are not allowed. Non-organic inputs must be a natural(ly derived) substance. Mineral fertilisers must be of low solubility. Chemically synthesised inputs are limited to exceptional cases, with Annex 1-materials only, when the need for them is essential. Annex 1-materials must be of plant, animal, microbial or mineral origin (= naturally derived). Exceptionally, products not being “identical to their natural form”, e.g. hydrolysed proteins and anaerobic digestates including animal by-products, may be applied, avoiding direct contact to edible parts of the crop. All substrates used for hydrolysis, digestion, composting etc. must be Annex 1-materials, hence excluding large amounts of food residues. In several countries, the use of digestate in organic growing is almost impossible (Løes et al. 2017).

### **Results: Status of the use of peat, plastic and fertilisers**

It is common practice in organic growing to purchase transplants of tree crops (apple, citrus, olive), strawberries, grafted tomatoes and vegetables. For tomatoes and strawberries, certified organic plants are available. For trees, organic nurseries are available but conventional trees are also planted and then converted. For plastic, the use is extensive for mulching, solarisation and protection against frost, less often for insect protection. Plastic materials are used as tree guards, for attaching of plants to strings or sticks and to protect grafting wounds. The use of plastic for tunnels and greenhouses is extensive, especially in Southern Europe. Conventional animal manure is used in all countries, commonly from poultry. Horn grid, meat and bone meal, blood meal and feather meal were not much observed, but many products applied are made from animal hides. Seaweed products are quite common, whereas fish-based products were only mentioned in the UK. In Northern Europe and Turkey, less types of organic fertilisers were mentioned than in Greece, Italy and Spain. Plant-based products, often from vinasse (sugar production residual), are more common than animal-derived products. Information about raw materials in fertiliser products is often not readily available.

### **Alternatives**

For peat, promising alternatives comprise mature composts from horse manure, tree leaves, wood chips, pruning materials (olives, fruit trees) and grass clippings (or mixtures of these materials). Extruded materials from various forest and gardening waste is also tested as growing media. For mulching, completely degradable bioplastic foils from non-GMO materials developed by an industry partner in Poland are tested in field experiments in UK and Turkey, with different crops. For fertilisers, indoor and field experiments in cereals and/or vegetables are conducted in Denmark, Germany, Norway and Poland, with legume-based fertilisers, directly applied residues from food industry, various digestates from anaerobic digestion of household waste etc., various composts, marine materials such as seaweed fibre and fish bones, and composted sediments from carp ponds as fertilisers.

**Discussion:** Organic growing has not come very far to phase out peat or plastic. Both inputs are still widely used, but they are not renewable, and fossil-fuel based products come with a large environmental burden. The consumption of these materials in organic growing is comparable to non-organic production systems. Regulations for organic production could well do more to restrict or eliminate the use of these materials in organic growing, to maintain the image of organic production as an environmentally sound farming system ahead of its time. For fertilisers, the case is more differentiated: Some consumers, like vegans, see all animal inputs as contentious. Others want to make better use of all the available fertility from recycling. Our study showed that a broad range of commercial fertiliser products are widely used and in demand in organic growing, even if organic regulations limit extensive use of recycled fertilisers. A significant variation in the spectre of fertiliser products between countries may be explained by different economic conditions of the growers, cultural differences, the extent of organic production and related markets, and the distribution of fertiliser companies. Lack of information about fertiliser ingredients is a challenge for the organic industry, which is dependent on transparency to

maintain consumers' trust. More transparency, better labelling and amended regulations which encourage the use of recycled fertilisers to replace contentious types of animal-derived fertilisers, e.g. made from factory farm-animal husbandry, is required for further growth of a sustainable organic production in Europe.

**References:** Katsoluas N. et al. (2018): Pathways to phase-out contentious inputs from organic agriculture in Europe. Deliverable 3.1: Version 1.1. Current use and legal status of crop protection inputs. Available at <https://organicplusnet.files.wordpress.com/2019/02/d3.1-o-current-use-of-contentious-inputs-wp-plant.pdf>

Løes AK et al. (2017): Nutrient supply to organic agriculture as governed by EU regulations and standards in six European countries. *Organic Agriculture* 7: 395-418.

Løes AK et al. (2018): Pathways to phase-out contentious inputs from organic agriculture in Europe. Deliverable 5.1: Version 1.1. Current use of peat, plastic and fertiliser inputs in organic horticultural and arable crops across Europe. Available at <https://organicplusnet.files.wordpress.com/2019/01/d5.1-o-current-use-of-contentious-inputs-wp5-soil.pdf>

Løes AK, Adler S (2019): Increased utilization of renewable resources: dilemmas for organic agriculture. *Organic Agriculture*, DOI 10.1007/s13165-018-00242-2.

**Disclosure of Interest:** None Declared

**Keywords:** animal-derived fertiliser, contentious inputs, growing media, mulching, Organic PLUS project, soil amendment