



The effect of conservation tillage methods on biodiversity and weed suppression in the Montepaldi Long-Term Experiment (MoLTE)

M. Santoni, L. Ferretti, T. Gaifami, G. Casella, G.C. Pacini

UNIFI-DISPAA Università degli studi di Firenze - Department of Agrifood Production and Environmental Sciences

The authors acknowledge the financial support for this project provided by transnational funding bodies, being partners of the FP7 ERA-net project, CORE Organic Plus, and the co-fund from the European Commission. The text in this report is the sole responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the views of the national funding bodies having financed this project.



PLW: Plowing CHP: Chisel plowing DSH: Disk harrowing

Sunflower

Parameter			Org	ganic					Conve	ntional			Parameter	Organic						Conventional					
	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH		PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH
		2016			2017			2016			2017				2016			2017			2016			2017	
Total weed density (n m ⁻²)	68.44 ab	172.9 ab	223.1 a	72.89 ab	26.67 b	81.78 ab	18.22 b	21.78 b	29.33 b	39.56 b	52.89 b) 101.3 ab	Total weed density (n m ⁻²)	89.3 3 a	355.6 a	246.7 a	73.33 a	87.11 a	136.9 a	118.2 a	116.4 а	224.4 a	463.1 a	310.7 а	392.9 a
Total weed biomass (g m ⁻²)	113.6 b	106.2 b	157.0 ab	141.6 ab	168.2 ab	173.5 ab	91.16 b	77.78 b	80.09 b	386.5 a	227.7 ab	202.5 ab	Total weed biomass (g m ⁻²)	32.8 c	93.11 abc	51.56 bc	32.04 c	182.4 ab	212.8 a	13.51 c	10.93 c	19.07 c	10.36 c	17.60 c	34.84
Species richness	11.67 ab	11.67 ab	14.67 a	4.00 c	4.33 c	7.33 bc	5.33 bc	6.00 bc	8.33 abc	5.33 bc	5.67 bc	7.33 bc	Species richness	11.6 7 abc	12.67 ab	12.33 abc	13.67 a	11.67 abc	11.33 abc	6.00 c	7.00 bc	8.33 abc	6.33 bc	8.33 abc	9.33 abc
Shannon index	8.52 a	6.58 abc	7.59 ab ←	3.09 bc	2.35 c	4.40 abc	4.41 abc	4.81 abc	6.65 abc	3.29 bc	3.84 abc	3.16 bc	Shannon index	9.31 a	4.78 abc	5.84 abc	8.76 ab	6.31 abc	8.04 abc	3.60 c	3.55 c	3.21 c	2.93 c	3.72 bc	4.54 abc
Whittaker index b+c/2a+b+	0.91 bc c	0.92 abc	0.91 c	0.96 ab	0.96 abc	0.94 abc	0.95 abc	0.95 abc	0.93 abc	0.97 a	0.95 abc	0.95 abc	Whittaker index b+c/2a+b	0.90 ab + <i>c</i>	0.90 ab	0.90 ab	0.89 b	0.89 ab	0.89 ab	0.94 a	0.93 ab	0.92 ab	0.94 a	0.93 ab	0.92 ab
Sorensen index 2a/2a + b + c	0.09 ab	0.08 abc	0.09 a	0.04 bc	0.04 abc	0.06 abc	0.05 abc	0.05 abc	0.07 abc	0.03 c	0.05 abc	0.05 abc	Sorensen index 2a/2a + b + c	0.10 ab c	0.10 ab	0.10 ab	0.11 a	0.11 ab	0.11 ab	0.06 b	0.07 ab	0.08 ab	0.06 b	0.07 ab	0.08 ab
Bray Curtis index b + c - 2a/b + c	0.90 b	0.91 ab	0.90 b	0.96 a	0.96 ab	0.93 ab	0.95 ab	0.94 ab	0.93 ab	0.97 a	0.95 ab	0.95 ab	Bray Curtis index b+c-2a/b	0.89 ab + <i>c</i>	0.88 ab	0.89 ab	0.87 b	0.88 ab	0.88 ab	0.94 a	0.92 ab	0.91 ab	0.94 a	0.92 ab	0.91 ab
Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)	2.45 abc	2.94 abc	1.58 bc	1.40 bc	1.00 bc	1.13 bc	4.52 a	3.35 ab	2.68 abc	0.17 c	0.17 c	0.40 c	Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)	3.65 abc	3.31 bc	3.25 bc	2.94 bc	2.31 c	2.18 c	5.02 a	4.96 a	4.96 a	4.47 ab	4.49 ab	3.94 ab

Barley

Parameter		Organic							Conve	ntional			Parameter	Organic						Conventional						
	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH		PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	PLW	СНР	DSH	
		2016			2017			2016			2017				2016			2017			2016			2017		
Total weed density (n m ⁻²)	68.44 ab	172.9 ab	223.1 a	72.89 ab	26.67 b	81.78 ab	18.22 b	21.78 b	29.33 b	39.56 b	52.89 b	101.3 ab	Total weed density (n m ⁻²)	89.3 3 a	355.6 a	246.7 a	73.33 a	87.11 a	136.9 a	118.2 a	116.4 а	224.4 a	463.1 a	310.7 a	392.9 a	
Total weed biomass (g m ⁻²)	113.6 b	106.2 b	157.0 ab	141.6 ab	168.2 ab	173.5 ab	91.16 b	77.78 b	80.09 b	386.5 a	227.7 ab	202.5 ab	Total weed biomass (g m ⁻²)	32.8 c	93.11 abc	51.56 bc	32.04 c	182.4 ab	212.8 a	13.51 c	10.93 c	19.07 c	10.36 c	17.60 c	34.84	
Species richness	11.67 ab	11.67 ab	14.67 a	4.00 c	4.33 c	7.33 bc	5.33 bc	6.00 bc	8.33 abc	5.33 bc	5.67 bc	7.33 bc	Species richness	11.6 7 abc	12.67 ab	12.33 abc	13.67 a	11.67 abc	11.33 abc	6.00 c	7.00 bc	8.33 abc	6.33 bc	8.33 abc	9.33 abc	
Shannon index	8.52 a	6.58 abc	7.59 ab ←	3.09 → bc	2.35 c	4.40 abc	4.41 abc	4.81 abc	6.65 abc	3.29 bc	3.84 abc	3.16 bc	Shannon index	9.31 a	4.78 abc	5.84 abc	8.76 ab	6.31 abc	8.04 abc	3.60 c	3.55 c	3.21 c	2.93 c	3.72 bc	4.54 abc	
Whittaker index b + c/2a + b + c	0.91 bc c	0.92 abc	0.91 c	0.96 ab	0.96 abc	0.94 abc	0.95 abc	0.95 abc	0.93 abc	0.97 a	0.95 abc	0.95 abc	Whittaker index b+c/2a+b+	0.90 ab - <i>c</i>	0.90 ab	0.90 ab	0.89 b	0.89 ab	0.89 ab	0.94 a	0.93 ab	0.92 ab	0.94 a	0.93 ab	0.92 ab	
Sorensen index 2a/2a + b + c	0.09 ab	0.08 abc	0.09 a	0.04 bc	0.04 abc	0.06 abc	0.05 abc	0.05 abc	0.07 abc	0.03 c	0.05 abc	0.05 abc	Sorensen index 2a/2a + b + c	0.10 ab	0.10 ab	0.10 ab	0.11 a	0.11 ab	0.11 ab	0.06 b	0.07 ab	0.08 ab	0.06 b	0.07 ab	0.08 ab	
Bray Curtis index b + c - 2a/b + c	0.90 b	0.91 ab	0.90 b	0.96 a	0.96 ab	0.93 ab	0.95 ab	0.94 ab	0.93 ab	0.97 a	0.95 ab	0.95 ab	Bray Curtis index b + c - 2a/b + c	0.89 ab - <i>c</i>	0.88 ab	0.89 ab	0.87 b	0.88 ab	0.88 ab	0.94 a	0.92 ab	0.91 ab	0.94 a	0.92 ab	0.91 ab	
Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)	2.45 abc	2.94 abc	1.58 bc	1.40 bc	1.00 bc	1.13 bc	4.52 a	3.35 ab	2.68 abc	0.17 c	0.17 c	0.40 c	Grain yield (t ha⁻¹)	3.65 abc	3.31 bc	3.25 bc	2.94 bc	2.31 c	2.18 c	5.02 a	4.96 a	4.96 a	4.47 ab	4.49 ab	3.94 ab	

Main remarks

- Organic systems (Or) showed significantly higher levels of weed density as compared to conventional (Co) on some of the sunflower tillage-year combinations, while on barley no significant differences were observed. Moreover increasing gradients were reported for some of the reduced tillage combinations as compared to those of ordinary tillage.
- Organic systems (Or) showed significantly higher levels of weed biomass as compared to conventional (Co) on some of the barley tillage-year combinations. Furthermore, ordinary tillage performed significantly better than reduced tillage on barley (2017) as to weed biomass suppression. Apparently, in 2017 chemical weeding on sunflower was not effective.
- Organic systems (Or) performed better than conventional (Co) as to α diversity (species richness and Shannon index) and the β diversity (Whittaker, Sorensen and Bray Curtis index) on barley (2016 and 2017) and sunflower (2016), in terms of either statistical significance or average gradients.
- This does not apply to sunflower in 2017 due to non-common levels of biodiversity under the organic systems.

Often reduced tillage performed better than ordinary tillage in terms of average gradients of α and β biodiversity.



