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Background

- European public procurement legislation introduced sustainability aspects in 2004 & 2014
- Public authorities do not use their potential to procure sustainable goods, e.g. local and organic food
- Reasons for insufficient implementation of sustainability criteria:
  - a lack of political directives, e.g. procurement targets
  - insufficient political support
  - knowledge deficits, e.g. on legal aspects or benefits of sustainably produced goods
- By tapping into the potential of procuring local and organic food, SME and regional supply-chains could be promoted (policy priority)
Objective

- Identify suitable approaches to overcome the barriers in public procurement of local and organic produce
- Provide policy recommendations on how to implement procurement of local and organic produce in public procurement
**Approach**

- **Review of legal documents**
  - Identify potentials of sustainable procurement
    → overview of the framework for procurement
    → develop sustainability indicators (broader than local and organic)

- **Analysis of procurement documents**
  - Identify weaknesses in implementation of sustainability indicators
    - Schools and child day-care facilities, 2013-2015
    - Contrasting case studies; Berlin: sample call for tender; Brandenburg: 9 of 19 documented procurement processes.

- **Expert interviews**
  - Assess if procurement procedures support or inhibit sustainable catering
  - Identify new business opportunities for catering businesses offering local and organic food
Analysis of legal and tender documents

Organic produce

- Minimum share can be defined (also for specific product group)
- Higher share can be useful to gain a contract

Regional produce

- Preference of regional enterprises against anti-discrimination legislation
- HOWEVER: legislation refers to “regional enterprises” not to “regional produce”
- Difficulty: clear definition of “regional”
- Opportunity: focus on seasonal produce, ban frozen food

Support of SME

- Large contract volumes and lots can be split in smaller lots
- Commensurability of definition of economic prerequisites
Implemented criteria in procurement procedures (1)

- Only organic food is specifically mentioned
  - Berlin: 15% compulsory
  - Brandenburg: in one call for tender

- Applied weighing of award criteria

![Bar chart showing the weighing of award criteria for Berlin and Brandenburg.]

Source: Haack (2016)
Implemented criteria in procurement procedures (2)

- Contract volumes and lot sizes
  - Berlin
    - 100,000 meals per day
    - divided into lot sizes of 300 meals
  - Brandenburg
    - up to 2200 meals per day
    - often not split up into smaller lots

Source: Haack (2016)
Expert assessments

(1) Regulated price of 3.25 Euro in the “Berlin Model”
- Quality vs. price competition: e.g. share of organic produce increased to >40%

(2) Uniform award criteria in the “Berlin Model”
- Transparency - equal opportunities for all enterprises
- but: suitability and weighing of the “test-meal” should be reassessed

(3) Regional sourcing
- origin of produce irrelevant - no incentive for catering enterprises to source regionally
- „regional“ difficult to define and monitor

(4) Support participation of SME in tenders
- Berlin: many SME competed for and gained contracts
- Brandenburg: entrance barrier for SME due to large contract volumes / lots
Conclusions and Recommendations

- Legal framework enables the implementation of sustainability goals in public procurement processes - full potential has not been tapped into
- Clear political signals / policy directives in terms of desirable product qualities
- Agreement on a fixed or minimum price
- Define procurement criteria, that are transparent, can be defined and monitored
  - “regional”?
- Split contract volumes or lot sizes
  - appropriate volumes?
- In order to meet these challenges, public authorities could use legal instruments such as “competitive / technical dialogue” with catering businesses to develop solutions
Thank you for listening and challenging questions!