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INTRODUCTION

Organic methods in farming are considered as environment friendly, mainly due to a fundamental principle of harmonious cooperation with nature and the lack of chemization. There is already a lot of evidence that the condition of the environment, soil and groundwater improves as a result of organic farming (Haas et al., 2000); it is also considered to improve crop quality. The regulations which specify organic plant and animal production are very strict, and adhering to them should result in high product quality. A similar situation concerns organic food processing. Although currently conventional processing allows several hundreds of different types of food additives (colourings, fixing agents, improvers, etc.), organic processing allows only several dozen such additives, mainly natural substances. This creates challenges for organic food processors as they have to preserve product durability without the use of chemical agents. However, this is fundamentally important for consumers who are increasingly searching for healthy food.


Quoting Regulation No. 834/2007, organic production is defined as ‘an overall system of farm management and food production that combines best environmental practices, a high level of biodiversity, the preservation of natural resources, the application of high animal welfare standards and a production method in line with the preference of certain consumers for products produced using natural substances and processes’. Moreover ‘organic farming should primarily rely on renewable resources within locally organized agricultural systems. To minimize the use of non-renewable resources, wastes and by-products of plant and animal origin should be recycled to return nutrients to the land.

A food product is considered organic when it has been produced under the guidelines of Regulation No. 834/2007 or both the guidelines of the Regulation and the directives of various organic farming associations (e.g. IFOAM). Under Regulation No. 834/2007, an organic product must be labelled with an identification number (code) and the European organic farming logo (the number and
logo can be used after a certification process). The Regulation declares that the aim of organic production is to receive high quality food products, however, the Regulation does not specify a definition of high quality. Law-makers probably assume that a product which has been obtained under controlled conditions – in accordance with the guidelines of the Regulation, i.e. without chemical agents for plant protection and artificial mineral fertilisers, but using natural animal fertilisers and composts as well as crop rotation, and with the ban on using genetically modified organisms and ionising radiation – must be a high quality product. Currently, organic food is becoming more and more popular all over the world, and the European organic products market has been developing very intensively since the early ’90s. According to the report on organic farming, prepared by the Swiss FiBL – the Research Institute of Organic Agriculture and IFOAM – International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (FiBL and IFOAM, 2011) in 2009, the value of the European organic food market amounted to 18.4 billion euros (figure 1) (Willer, 2011) and still has a vast and unused potential. An unquestionable leader in the organic food market is Germany with the outlet of 5.8 billion euros (figure 2). The organic food market is very well-developed in France, the UK and Italy as well (Schaack et al., 2011).

The growth of the organic products’ market results from, among other things, decreasing consumer confidence in the conventional food dominating the market, produced by intensive methods, with the use of synthetic fertilisers, chemical agents for plant protection and growth regulators, and with the use of preservatives, synthetic flavouring and aromatic substances during processing. An intensive farming system may have negative impact not only on environment, but also on food quality and safety. Recent crises concerning food safety at different stages of the agricultural and food chain has induced consumers to look for safer and more authentic food, contributing to the increase in demand for organic products as well. Major food scandals of the last decade (e.g. BSE – mad-cow disease, FMD – food and mouth disease, and food contamination by dioxins or bacteria causing food poisoning) have resulted in consumers turning toward safer and better controlled production methods, such as organic production.

![Figure 1. Growth of the European market for organic food 2005–2009 (FiBL, 2009; Willer, 2011).](image-url)
Consumers actively searching for organic food develop the market. According to studies, the people who buy organic food are mainly women, families with children and the elderly (Hughner et al., 2007). These groups are linked mainly by their concern for their own or their relatives’ health, due to a particular physiological condition and the associated awareness of the importance of healthcare. Hughner et al. (2007) also mentioned the reasons why consumers are interested in the purchase of organic products. A key motive, given by many consumers of organic food – both so-called ‘regular’ and ‘occasional’ ones – as the most important, is concern about health, i.e. the conviction that organic products are healthier and safer than conventional ones. In addition, surveyed consumers mentioned such organic food characteristics as: better taste (sensory properties), care for the natural environment, greater food safety, animal welfare, social factors (supporting local market and traditions). To sum-up, consumers prefer organic food for its greater nutritional value, its better taste, its safety for health, and because its production does not endanger the environment.

Consumers expect a higher health and nutritional quality from organic produce, and there have been many studies comparing crop quality obtained from the organic system and others, such as integrated or conventional ones. Comparable food must be produced in the same conditions of soil, location etc. The time and methodology of analyses and the comparable varieties and breeds have to be the same. The only differing factor has to be the production system (organic, conventional, integrated etc.) which has to be strictly defined. Several overviews, reports and meta-analyses have been conducted to analyse and summarise the results of previously carried out organic vs. conventional food studies (Woëse et al., 1997; Worthington, 2001; Bourn and Prescott, 2002; Winter and Davis, 2006; Rembialkowska, 2007; Benbrook et al., 2008; Dangour et al., 2009; Lairon, 2009; Rembialkowska and Srednicka, 2009; Lima and Vianello, 2011).

Many publications have concentrated only on the nutritional quality of organic vs. conventional food and have provided variable and controversial results. However, a true comparison of the quality, safety and health effects of food from different production systems can only be achieved by an integral holistic

Figure 2. The ten European countries with the largest markets for organic food and beverages 2009 (Schaack et al., 2011).
approach which also considers other important health-related aspects, such as pesticide residues, nitrates, artificial additives etc. It is important to understand that many chemicals used in agriculture and food processing can be harmful to human health. Consumption of healthy food or the use of raw materials for the production and development of functional foods to prevent or treat some diseases, necessitates the elimination of potentially hazardous substances to avoid other health problems.

This report analyses the nutritional quality of organic and conventional food as well as the health effects of pesticide residues, nitrates, mycotoxins and artificial additives and gives an overview of animal and human experiments.
1. QUALITY OF FOOD

A definition of food quality is still developing and changing. At first, the focus was mainly on quality as represented by quantifiable and measurable parameters, but now attention is increasingly being paid to a more comprehensive holistic approach. Luning et al. (2005) has extended the Evans & Lindsay model proposed in 1996, and called it the model of ‘quality viewpoints’; it includes five different groups of criteria: assessment (a comparison of a product’s properties), product-based criteria (a function of specified measurable variables/reflection of qualitative dependence between specified measurable parameters), user-based criteria (determined by the consumer’s needs), value-based criteria (a proportion of price to satisfaction received) and production-based criteria (quality as a desired result of production practices or compliance with standards). By organizing and developing this model, Luning et al. (2005) proposed a distribution of food quality features into internal (directly associated with a product, measurable, resulting from its physical and chemical characteristics) and external (connected with a product indirectly). Among internal characteristics there are: safety and the product's health aspects, sensory properties and durability as well as product reliability and the convenience of use. External quality characteristics include production characteristics (depends on the adopted production method, e.g. organic vs. conventional), environmental aspects and marketing.

A similar distribution of food quality aspects, nevertheless more developed and enriched by the aspects necessary for the food quality assessment, was adopted by Vogtmann (1991); according to the justification, while conducting the assessment of the organic food quality all aspects and possible viewpoints should be considered, applying so called ‘holistic model of the quality assessment’ (also corresponding to consumer’s picture/expectations which should be met by a high quality organic product).

Figure 3 presents ecological criteria of food quality, divided into analytical and holistic criteria groups. The analytical criteria have long been known but the holistic group is newer and connected with the development of organic awareness and farming. The analytical criteria group includes: technological, nutritional and sensory values, whereas the holistic criteria group contains: authenticity, biological value, ethical aspects and holistic methods of food quality assessment.
1. **TECHNOLOGICAL VALUE.** A technological value of food products refers to their distinctive features in the light of requirements of different interest groups. For specific participants of the food production chain, producers, processors, distributors and consumers, the most important may be completely different discriminants, dependant on a specific purpose that a given product is designed for. Among technological aspects is storage capacity; this feature is critical from the processing viewpoint e.g. juice production capacity, while for the consumer the technological value may be, for example, its use in cooking.

2. **SENSORY QUALITY.** Sensory quality includes such features of a product which are assessed by a human by the means of special tests and the organs of taste, smell, touch, sight and hearing. Among these criteria, a crucial role is played by the appearance of raw materials and finished products (colour, size, freshness, firmness and cleanliness) as well as other important organoleptic properties, such as taste, smell and texture. Sensory quality is very important to the selection process while shopping for food.

3. **NUTRITIONAL VALUE.** Nutritional value may be interpreted as the minimum content of impurities in food (residues of pesticides, nitrates, heavy metals, etc.) at optimum content of valuable components (vitamins, mineral elements, proteins, etc.). Many studies of organic plant raw materials indicate that they contain less nitrates and pesticide residues, but more dry matter, vitamin C, secondary substances, total sugars, certain mineral components and essential amino acids, but less β-carotene (Zadoks, 1989; Rembiałkowska, 2000; Worthington, 2001).

   **Vitamins, phenolic compounds and mineral compounds.** The nutritional value of food depends mainly on it having the appropriate content of compounds necessary for the proper functioning of the human body. There is growing evidence that secondary plant metabolites have a critical function for human health and may be significant from the nutritional point of view (Lundegårdh and Mårtensson, 2003).

   In the human body, vitamin C plays a fundamental role for several meta-
bolic functions, primarily because it ensures proper functioning of the immune system. Besides, the higher content of vitamin C in organic crops is very important for health because vitamin C inhibits creation of carcinogenic nitrosamines, consequently reducing the negative impact of nitrates on the human body (Mirkvish, 1993).

Plant phenolic metabolites are particularly interesting because of their potential antioxidant activity and medical characteristics, including anticancerous activity (Brandt and Mølgaard, 2001).

Mineral compounds, including iron, magnesium and phosphorus are vital for the human body. According to Worthington (2001), a possible reason for the higher content of mineral elements in organic raw materials is linked to the higher micro-organism content in organic farming, who help to make compounds more accessible.

**Total sugars.** The content of total sugars in plant raw materials not only results in better taste, but is also an important element of technological quality, e.g. in sugar beet. The studies clearly show a higher content of total sugars in organic vegetables and fruit, such as carrot, sugar beet, red beet, potatoes, spinach, kale, cherries, red currant and apples (Zadoks, 1989; Rembiałkowska, 2000).

**Proteins.** According to Worthington (2001), nitrogen from every type of fertilisers influences the amount and quality of the plant-produced protein. A great amount of nitrogen available for a plant increases protein production. A number of experiments analysed in review papers (Rembiałkowska, 2000; Worthington, 2001) indicate that the amount of total proteins is lower in organic than conventional crops, but that protein quality – measured by the content of basic amino acids – is higher in organic crops.

**Nitrates and nitrites.** Nitrates are essential nutrients for plant growth. Many data show a significantly higher content of nitrates and nitrites in conventional than in organic crops. This results from the former being fertilised with synthetic, easy-dissolvable nitrogen fertilisers; they absorb a lot of these compounds by their roots, with consequent accumulation of nitrates in the leaves and other plant organs. In the organic system, organic fertilisers (compost, manure) are used; these also include nitrogen, but it is organically-bound. When it is necessary, nitrogen compounds are absorbed by plant from the humus in a specific quantity, therefore, there is marginal possibility of excessive nitrates accumulation in plant organs (Vogtmann, 1985).

**Pesticides** (herbicides, fungicides, insecticides). In agriculture, herbicides are used to kill unwanted plants, fungicides against fungal diseases and insecticides to protect plant against pests. To reduce the negative impact of pesticides on human health, the so-called Maximum Residue Level/Limit (MRL) has been introduced for use with food. MRL is usually established by testing pesticides on rats. It is believed that pesticide consumption below the MRL is not risky for health. However, even at low concentrations, pesticides are known to or suspected of causing many diseases and health problems, including inborn defects or tumours (BMA, 1992; Howard, 2005). A fundamental problem is that the MRL for pesticides is generally established by testing specific remedies (separately) on rats for a relatively short period of time. Little is known about the effects of consumption of hundreds of different pesticides during a life cycle. According to
the great English toxicologist, Professor Howard (2005) from the University of Liverpool, the most recommended method of protection is avoidance of pesticide consumption, particularly when by pregnant and breastfeeding women, and young children under three years old. The levels of pesticide residues found in organic plant raw materials are considerably lower than in conventional plants (Baker et al., 2002). A diet based on organic products should therefore result in a lower level of pesticides in human milk and tissues.

**Heavy metals.** Heavy metals, such as cadmium, lead, arsenic, mercury and zinc enter the food chain from numerous sources: industry, transportation, municipal waste and farming. For example, mineral nitrogen fertilisers used in conventional farming may bring cadmium into plant crops, but also metal industry and transportation cause soil and crop cadmium contamination. Therefore, studies have not shown distinct differences in the heavy metal content between organic and conventional raw materials; some have found a higher level of heavy metals in conventional raw materials, while others show the opposite (Rembiałkowska, 2000).

**Contamination with natural fertilisers and zoonotic bacteria.** Composted animal manure is the most often used fertiliser in organic farming. Composting considerably reduces the pathogen level, although composted manure is not entirely bacteria-free. EU experts (Kouba, 2003) reported that organically farmed eggs, poultry and pork had an increased rate of Salmonella infections compared to conventional. However, this has not been borne out by other research; comparisons of the microbiological safety of organic and conventional produce (Mukherjee et al., 2004; Johannessen et al., 2005; Oliveira et al., 2010) indicate differences from different production methods but not a higher microbiological risk from certified organic produce than conventional. Good hygiene practices must be implemented by producers and processors in order to prevent contamination with spoilage and pathogenic bacteria.

**Mycotoxins** are poisonous compounds produced by the secondary metabolism of poisonous fungi (moulds) – Aspergillus, Penicillium and Fusarium, which occur in food products (Kouba, 2003). They have a negative impact on human health, i.e. are carcinogenic and disabling to the immune system. Mycotoxin production is mainly dependent on temperature, humidity and other favourable environmental conditions. Recent studies have not shown that organic food is more susceptible to mycotoxin contamination than conventional food (Kouba, 2003; Benbrook, 2006; Lairon, 2009).

4. AUTHENTICITY. An authenticity criterion of food product may be interpreted in two ways. First of all, there is traceability, i.e. the ability to check whether the characteristics of the product examined actually correspond with the features attributed to it; for example, checks on whether products offered on the market as organic actually come from organic production (Kahl et al., 2010). More and more people are looking for safe food, locally produced by a producer they know. Nowadays, food products are remotely transported – from the production area, through a processing stage to reach the sales point. On route, products can lose their authenticity. Consequently, many consumers seek minimally processed products, from familiar and safe sources, e.g., bought locally and directly from a farmer.
5. BIOLOGICAL VALUE. The biological value of food identifies how food influences human and animal health; it derives from the holistic approach towards food quality and the belief that it is not sufficient to know the chemical composition of food to determine dependence between the food consumed and human and animal health. Health is regarded not only as absence of diseases, but also as well-being, fertility and vitality. Therefore, it should be taken into account that the whole product means more than the total of its separate components; a product has an effect on the whole human body (proportions and interactions between particular substances), and its impact on health may be properly assessed only by conducting research on the influence of the entire product on a living organism. Research has shown a tendency towards more favourable parameters of fertility and immunity of small mammals fed with organic pasture compared to conventionally fed animals. Nevertheless, further thorough studies in this field are necessary (Williams, 2002; Padel, 2005).

6. ETHICAL VALUE. The ethical value of food quality is made up of three aspects: environmental impact, social and economic aspects and animal welfare. One of the main factors affecting product quality is the quality of the environment.

Every form of human activity, including farming, has a negative impact on the natural environment. Farming, particularly intensive farming, is a branch of the economy, which along with industry, contributes to degradation of the environment. Organic production methods protect against pressures agriculture exerts on different aspects of the environment. Tyburski and Żakowska-Biemans (2007) have compared the impacts of organic and conventional farming. They note that organic farming is less energy-intensive, which is highly important particularly nowadays due to the world’s energy crisis; organic farming has lower energy consumption, because, among other aspects, it does not use artificial fertilisers and pesticides, the production of which requires high energy inputs. Besides, conventional farming leads to water eutrophication and contamination with, among others, pesticide residues, whereas organic farming protects ground and surface water.

Natural environment diversity resulting from landscape spacious complexity in organic farming plays also three important roles: ecological, production and aesthetic and health functions. The ecological function consists in maintaining biodiversity and homeostasis, i.e. balance and optimum species number. Organic farms create an existence basis for many plant and animal species, not only those designed for production purposes, but also accompanying species. The production function is based on prophylaxis, i.e. the use of prevention, not control, protecting plants against weeds, pests and plant diseases. This helps maintain biological balance, i.e. homeostasis of whole landscape. The aesthetic and health function of organic farming recognizes that people are an integral part of the environment and can only exist in harmony with nature.

Social and economic aspects. EU consumers are increasingly selecting agricultural products produced, processed and sold under conditions of social equality and justice. The principles of fair trade with developing countries are crucial. By boycotting companies which do not follow social and economic principles, consumers may have an impact on decreasing social inequalities; cur-
rently, such inequalities exist in production, processing and sale of agricultural products, particularly in tropical countries.

**Animal welfare.** Currently, ecologically-aware consumers are increasingly considering animal rearing methods in their decision to purchase a product. One reason is animal suffering, e.g. very unfavourable rearing conditions, not adjusted to the animals needs (crowd, aggression, and diseases).

The food ethical value is becoming a more important criterion, since consumers more often pay attention to the environment, social and humanitarian aspects. High ethical advantages of organic food result from the fact that its production allows maintenance of biodiversity which is vanishing from the Earth; besides it supports a fair production and distribution chain (fair trade), and cares about farm animal welfare.

### 7. HOLISTIC METHODS OF FOOD QUALITY ASSESSMENT

The studies on organic food with the use of holistic criteria are not as numerous as those applying analytical methods, however, they arouse great interest, because – as mentioned – it is believed that a sole comparison of food chemical composition is not sufficient to explain its potential impact on human and animal health. The use of holistic methods is complementary to other methods of quality assessment and they represent one aspect of the complex concept of food quality. As with biological value, holistic methods of quality assessment attempt to answer the question on the quality of food interpreted not as a set of chemical compounds, but as a whole, which cannot be described only by chemical composition analysis. At their current status of development, holistic methods have potential to distinguish product origins, but it is not ascertained which quality aspect regarding the impact on human health they cover, and dependence between human health and these methods has not been defined yet.

**Copper chloride biocrystallization.** Biocrystallization was developed by Ehrenfrid Pfeiffer in the ’20-ies of the last century. Biocrystallograms are created as a result of submitting a mix of the sample to be examined and copper chloride to the crystallisation process, and as with every imaging method they are characteristic of the sample examined. In the preparation of food samples (juices, extracts), no chemical agents are used; instead a gentle processing method minimally integrates into the product matrix. Biocrystallograms are traditionally assessed, based on different morphological features and with the use of such techniques as indexing or ranking, which were submitted to standardisation under ISO standards applied in sensory analysis (Huber et al., 2010). In 2001, there was established an initiative associating three centres from Germany, the Netherlands and Denmark, the aim of which is joint cooperation on the biocrystallization method (and on imaging chromatography, as described below). Thanks to testing various parameters under the ISO 17025 standard, this method may now be successfully used in every laboratory for food quality studies (Busscher et al., 2010). Figure 4 shows that biocrystallization method allows a clear differentiation of organic and conventional raw material. Figure 5 indicates that a computer biocrystallogram processing allows a clear differentiation of organic wheat from the wheat of other cultivation systems.

**Imaging chromatography.** The method of imaging chromatography was
developed in the ’20-ies of the last century (Kolisko and Kolisko, 1923). Although it relies on chromatography, it is not aimed at separating specific substances included in a sample, as it is in traditional chromatography.

As for all holistic methods, the purpose of this method is a total depiction of living organisms’ capacities to form structures and maintain a high level of matter arrangement. The most popular version of the method is the vertical chromatography of the WALA company. It produces colourful images, typical of the sample examined (figure 6).

According to Balzer-Graf (Balzer-Graf and Balzer, 1991), who has researched the imaging chromatography method for over 20 years, the created image, typical of the product, reflects the entire plant’s ‘biography’. The method of imaging chromatography under WALA was documented and standardised by Załęcka (2006). Under ISO standards, applied in products sensory assessment, a statistical presentation of the results obtained is possible (Załęcka et al., 2010).

Figure 4. The biocrystallogram image of organic (on the left) and conventional (on the right) carrot (Meelursarn, 2006).

Figure 5. Computer texture analyses; organic wheat (green), conventional wheat (yellow), wheat – control sample, no fertilising (grey) (Kahl, 2006).
Circular paper chromatography. The method of circular paper chromatography was developed in 1953 by Pfeiffer as a quality test for examining soils, composts and biological substrates (Pfeiffer, 1984). The purpose was to test and demonstrate the quality differences, which had not been found by chemical analysis methods. Contrary to research on the soil and compost quality, there are hardly any publications on the use of circular paper chromatograms for the assessment of quality of food products (Gelin, 1987; Geier, 2005).

Biophoton emission measurement. Since 1978, Fritz Albert Popp has been dealing with dependences between biophoton emission and food quality. Biophotons are very weak but long-lasting visible radiation (light quantums) of the spectrum from 200 to 800 nm, emitted by living organisms. It is believed that a primary source of biophoton emission is DNA. Measurement of these emissions of the sample examined is conducted in a special apparatus for emission measuring, which strengthens the radiation emitted. During an experiment, natural biophoton emission as well as reemission are measured; the latter is caused by irradiating the sample using a defined source, e.g. light, microwave, heat etc. The number of quantums emitted by the sample in time intervals is recorded (from several milliseconds, minutes and up to several hours) and submitted to mathematical and statistical analysis by computer programmes. According to Popp’s observations (1991), the lower the biophoton emission intensity, the higher the quality of the examined product.

Kirlian photography. Researchers at the FiBL institute (the Research Institute of Organic Agriculture) in Switzerland have been conducting studies on the Kirlian photography method, i.e. gas discharge visualisation (GDV) for many years. An experiment is held in a dark room. The examined sample, entirely in natural state, is placed on a plate – an electrical conductor, to which a high voltage current is connected. As a result, gas and plasma discharges occur on the edges of the examined sample. A picture of these discharges is called a ‘corona’ which is fixed by the use of digital photography. However, to date it has been
difficult to give unequivocal answers due to the complexity of the problem discussed (Beweise…, 2006).

**Drop picture method.** The drop picture method was developed in 1967 by Schwenk (1991) to assess water quality and used at the Institute of Flow Sciences in Switzerland (Institut fuer Stroemungswissenschaften). Water is essential for many physiological functions of the human body. As a medium of organism permanent changes, water is therefore the medium of life processes, and regeneration processes, it is possible to compare water from different sources, considering differences in flow of separate samples.

**Electrical efficiency – P-value measurement.** Measurement of the P-value of food products takes into account three electrochemical parameters associated with food quality and the state of health of the organism. To facilitate their complex interpretation, an integrating formula based on the Nernst equation was developed (Hoffman, 1991):

\[ P = [29.07 \text{ mV} (rH – 2pH)]^2 \times \rho^{-1}, \]

where \( P \) – value of electrical efficiency [µW]; \( rH \) – redox potential; \( pH \) – acidity level; \( \rho \) – electrical resistance [Ω]. pH value is the measurement of the concentration of free hydronium or hydroxide ions in water solutions, and it specifies the acidity and alkalinity levels of the solutions.

Apart from the experiments on the P-value use for determining: an optimum harvest time, an optimum nitrogen fertilising level, an optimum number of fruit on a tree, a weather impact on apple quality, Hoffman also tested a cultivation method impact on apple quality. Hoffman compared the influence of conventional, organic and biological dynamic farming on two apple varieties – ‘Golden Delicious’ and ‘Cox-Orange’. According to the author, appropriate variety selection considering cultivation conditions is very important to crop quality. In case of both apple varieties, organic apples reach average P-values, whereas conventional and biological dynamic fruit have extreme P-values. The lowest P-values (i.e. high quality) were recorded for ‘Cox Orange’ variety of biological dynamic apples, and for ‘Golden Delicious’ variety of conventional apples.

**Conclusions**

Ecological criteria of food quality are much wider than strictly analytical. They comprise not only the composition of the food, but also the production system in its environmental, social and ethical context. World agriculture plays many roles, not only food production, so ecological and holistic criteria should be used when considering the food production chain and food quality.

Some holistic criteria (for example copper chloride biocrystallization, imaging chromatography) are very promising for the future, so they should be developed and investigated in the longer studies. Some novel analytical techniques, as metabolomics or genomics can be useful to describe the holistic aspects of the food, therefore such methods should be developed.
2. COMPONENTS IN FOOD THAT CAN BE HARMFUL TO HUMAN HEALTH

PESTICIDES

Pesticides are the only group of synthetic compounds which are not found naturally in the environment (i.e., xenobiotics); they are introduced into biocenosis as a result of a deliberate decision made by man. They are used in the production of the most critical resource for mankind – food. They are applied to increase crop production and improve crop quality as well as protect them against loss, caused by diseases and pests during cultivation and storage. Their most important role is to support the supply of human nutritional needs, and consequently, to improve general health. Considering the above mentioned, one should realize that there have been made some attempts to achieve this purpose by the means of chemical compounds of remarkable bioactivity towards animals, plants and microorganisms. It is not a flawless and completely safe method, however, its major asset is effectiveness.

The use of pesticides by farmers enables them to increase crop profitability, protecting them against insect feeding, fungal diseases, mycotoxins and bacterial infections. However, the applied chemical compounds do not only affect the target organisms – their residues in plants accumulate and move along the food chain, penetrating – more or less – a consumer’s body. The effects on human health are varied, depending on the dose absorbed by a human along with the contaminated food.

Data specifying a global scale of acute pesticide poisoning are mainly based on estimated calculations. The first assessment took place in 1973, when the WHO determined that 500,000 cases of poisoning occurred per year. According to data presented in 2002 by Richter (2002), there are about 220,000 deaths around the world caused by acute pesticide poisoning annually, the total number of which is estimated as 26 million cases a year. More recent data on Asian industrial regions show the number of 300,000 deaths a year (Eddleston et al., 2008). Developing countries, where the awareness of the chemical compound risk is still relatively low, represent the area where exposure to their harmful effects is the highest.

In particular, children are more exposed to toxic pesticide effects, since their
immune system has not yet developed proper defence mechanisms against such xenobiotics. But a serious risk to consumer health is also represented by chronic poisoning, which is caused by long-term exposure to a low dose of the toxic compound. One-time exposure to a high dose of pesticide results in minor negative effects on health compared to regular exposure to small amounts. Then, the compound may accumulate in a body, giving symptoms only after some time. It often happens that body pathological lesions, which have been caused due to such exposure, are irreversible. That kind of poisoning is the most dangerous. Effect of multiple pesticide residues in food is not studied and unclear. If to look pesticide residues monitoring data in Europe and member states, then it is clear that part of samples with multiple residues is increasing. For supporting food safety, monitoring of pesticide residues are carrying out.

Typically, in each European reporting country two monitoring programmes are taking place: a coordinated European programme for which clear guidance is given on which specific control activities should be performed by the Member States and a national control/monitoring programme (designed by each country). The monitoring aims to detect samples exceeding the EU harmonised Maximum Residue Limits (MRL). Samples with pesticide residues below the MRL level are considered safe for human consumption but the problem is that, in many cases, samples have multiple residues and how these compounds might interact is not clear. In Europe, the percentage of samples of fruits, vegetables and cereals with multiple residues (i.e., single samples which contain residues of more than one pesticide) has increased over time, from 15% in 1997 to 26% in 2007. In 2008, residues of two or more pesticides were found in 27% of the analysed samples of fruits, vegetables and cereals (figure 7). Multiple residues were found in over 50% of samples of oranges, lemons, grapefruits, blackberries, raspberries, blueberries, strawberries and grapes. Multiple MRL exceedances were reported by 28 countries. The highest number of exceedances in a single sample (number of pesticide residues over the MRL) was 8 in peppers, 6 in tomato and in herbal infusion samples (EFSA, 2010).

Figure 7. The number and occurrence of pesticide residues (EU coordinated and the national programmes) in 2008 (EFSA, 2011).
The highest numbers of different pesticides in a single sample have been 23 in 2005, 29 in 2006, 22 (in pear) in 2007, and 26 (in a table grape sample) in 2008 (figure 8).

In the 2008 EU coordinated pesticide monitoring programme, samples were analysed for 78 pesticides. In 37.9% of the samples one or more residues were detected and 2.2% of the samples exceeded the MRL (figure 9).

Thus, in the European average 30.8% oranges were without detectable residues, but the Estonian National pesticide residues monitoring programme showed that all samples of citrus fruits (oranges, lemons etc.) on the Estonian

![Figure 8. Highest reported number of different pesticides in a sample from 1997 to 2008 in fruit, vegetables and cereals (Commission of..., 2008; EFSA, 2010).](image)

![Figure 9. Percentage of samples with no measurable residues, with measurable residues below or at the MRL and with residues above the MRL (national or EC MRL) for the nine food commodities analysed in the 2008 EU coordinated monitoring programme. Total number of samples: 11,610 (EFSA, 2010).](image)
market in 2008 and 2009 had pesticide residues. By contrast, in 2008, Estonian monitoring of domestic fruit and vegetable samples showed 72.6% of samples to be without residues with none exceeding the MRL. Of imported fruit and vegetable samples only 31.3% were without residues, 2.6% exceeded the MRL. Samples of rice exceeded pesticide residues 5.8 times over the MRL, nectarine from China exceeded pesticide residues 3.3 times over the MRL, samples of broccoli (Spain) and lemon (Turkey) exceeded residues 2.5 times. The highest number of different pesticides in a single sample was 9 (3 of them under measurable level) in mandarin from South-Africa Republic. In 2009, 67.2% of the Estonian domestic samples did not have detectable residues, 33.8% samples contained residues under the MRL. Of vegetable and fruit samples imported into Estonia, only 15.6% did not have detectable residues and 7.3% samples had a residues content above the MRL and contained more than one residue (Toome, 2011). In 2008, 21.2% of samples monitored in Estonia contained multiple pesticide residues and, in 2009, 24.7%.

In Europe in 2008, the results of a total of 3,131 samples of organic origin were reported by 22 countries. For organic fruit and vegetables, a lower rate of MRL exceedances (0.9%) in comparison to conventionally grown fruit and vegetables (3.7%) was found (EFSA, 2010). In Estonia, pesticide residues have not been found in domestic organic product samples in recent years (Toome, 2011).

Significantly lower content of residues in organic food was shown also in different studies. In 1994–1999, Baker et al. (2002) in the USA analysed the content of pesticides in fruit and vegetables from three production systems – conventional, integrated (in-between organic and conventional ones) and organic. The percentage of organic raw materials with the confirmed pesticide residues was about three times lower than in conventional fruit and vegetables, and about twofold lower than in integrated raw materials. Among conventional raw materials, the greatest number of samples including pesticide residues was found in such vegetables as celery and spinach, and among fruit – in pears and apples.

Similar large-scale studies (excluding integrated production) were carried out in 1995–2001, in Belgium (AFSCA-FAVV, 2001). According to their results, the percentage of pesticide-contaminated organic crops amounted to 12%, compared to 49% in conventional farming. Studies conducted in Sweden, in 2002–03 (The Swedish..., 2003; 2004) confirmed this tendency, finding pesticide residues in 3% of organic raw materials, 11% of integrated ones and 44% for conventional production.

The levels of pesticide residues in crops are divergent depending on plant species and the active substance which has been used. Each of these chemical compounds has a different toxicity level; therefore, a comparison of their levels does not allow proper conclusions to be drawn. For that reason, it is relevant to compare the pesticide content in raw materials from different production systems, on the basis of which health risk assessment can be performed. Such comparison between organic and conventional products was undertaken by Baker et al. (2002). The analysis included a range of fruit and vegetables examined from the perspective of specific active substances. The greatest difference – to organic farming advantage – occurred in the case of pears. An average level of ortho-phenylphenol residues (fungicide) in conventional pears turned out to be over
22 times higher than its average level in organic fruit. A huge difference was also observed for strawberries in the case of iprodione (also a fungicide), with an average level in organic fruit 7 times lower than in conventional fruit. However, in the case of a few raw materials the average level of pesticide residues was higher in organic products – in sweet pepper, spinach, celery and grapes (Baker et al., 2002). Finally, averaging the contents of all examined active substances in fruit and vegetables from both production systems, the authors found that the average level of pesticide residues in conventional raw materials is about 1.7 times higher than in organic crops.

**The impact of pesticides on health**

The use of pesticides in farming, however, does not only result in the presence of these chemical compounds in agricultural products, but also xenobiotic movement along the food chain. At the end of the chain is the human whose health and life have major importance for the assessment of food safety. For that reason, some studies were conducted which allowed researchers to state what amount of chemical agents for plant protection is absorbed by a human body depending on the type of diet. One such study was undertaken by Aubert (1987) who analysed the content of chlorinated hydrocarbons in the breast milk of women on different diets. Diet differences consisted in the percentage of organic products in total food consumed. The study unequivocally showed that the more organic products were consumed by a woman, the lower content of chlorinated hydrocarbons (components of numerous pesticides) was found in the milk which she fed to her child (figure 10).

Similar studies were carried out in the USA, but with nursery school children, and a comparison was based on the content of organophosphorus pesticide metabolites in urine (Curl et al., 2003). Before the samples were taken, children in one group ate only certified organic products, and children in another group – conventional products. Significant differences were observed in case of dimethyl metabolites – their concentration in urine of the children eating conventional food was nearly 6 times higher compared to the organic group children. The percentage of the samples with dimethyl metabolites was also considerably higher in case of the children on the conventional diet.
In turn, Lu et al. (2006) conducted a study on a group of school children, in three separate stages. During the first three days the participants consumed conventional food, for the following five days – organic food, and for the next seven days they were on conventional diet again. Urine samples were taken twice (in the morning and evening) every day of the study, and analysed for content of organophosphorus pesticide metabolites. The average contents of the metabolites MDA (malathion dicarboxylic acid, metabolite of malathion) and TCPY (3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinol, metabolite of chlorpyrifos) in urine was found to decrease to an undetectable level just after switching to the organic diet, and to remain at this level until participants returned to the conventional diet. Malathion and chlorpyrifos are most commonly used in modern farming. The levels of other organophosphorus pesticide metabolites were also lower during the second, i.e., ‘organic’ stage of the study, but they were not detected often enough to make differences statistically significant (Lu et al., 2006). It should be underlined that the analysed organophosphorus pesticides are very toxic to children – they have teratogenic effects, impairing foetal development, and contribute to central as well as peripheral nervous system disorders, and they can cause ADHD (attention deficit hyperactivity disorder) (Abdel Rasoul et al., 2008).

Pesticides impair the immune system and negatively affect the operation of the nervous system. They also contribute to the development of tumours. Currently, several pesticides, shown to have an adverse impact on the human immune and hormonal systems, are still in use (Ansar Ahmed, 2000).

Many pesticides have similar chemical structure to human hormones, and consequently, they influence the decrease in fertility both of men and women (Howard, 2005). An example of such impact is the nonylphenol effect, an active substance of several pesticides. Its chemical structure is very similar to the major woman’s reproductive hormone, i.e., oestrogen. Women who consume products including nonylphenol may have a disturbed reproductive cycle, since the compound partly dislodges oestrogen from metabolic processes (Odum et al., 1997).

Howard (2005) pays attention to the changes of man’s sperm quantity as a result of the pesticide appliance in plant cultivation. Since the 50-ies of 20th century, i.e., when chemical agents for plant protection were introduced to the market, there has been observed a downward tendency in quantity and quality of the sperm of the European residents. The problem appears in such countries as: Germany, France, Italy, the UK, Sweden or Greece. The infertility problem concerns about 15–20% of all pairs who want to have a baby. With regard to growing scale of the occurrence, the WHO has acknowledged infertility as a social disease (WHO, 2010). Pesticides are not the only factor reducing men’s fertility, but it may be assumed that they are one of the factors facilitating this occurrence (Howard, 2005).

Recently Orton et al. (2011) tested in vitro widely used pesticides for anti-androgenic activity (inhibiting effect on androgens). Results showed that, out of 37 pesticides tested, 23 were identified as anti-androgenic (14 with previous evidence of androgen receptor antagonism) and 7 compounds were classified as androgenic.

Guilette et al. (1998) conducted an interesting experiment, which consisted
of comparing pictures made by children from two neighbouring areas – the area of chloroorganic pesticide risk (valley) and the contamination-free area (foothills). The representative pictures present quite meaningfully the compounds impact on development of young children (figure 11).

Every person has a higher or lower amount of synthetic compounds in his or her body, including pesticides. Gilman et al. (1997) compared the content of some pesticides in plasma of the women from the subarctic zone. The study shows irrefutably that some chemical compounds, which are used for crop protection, accumulate in the human body. The contents of organo-chlorine persistent fat soluble pesticides, confirmed in plasma of women from separate countries, are shown in table 5. The results indicate that although DDT use has been prohibited since the 70-ies of the 20th century, considerable amounts of DDT derivatives are still found in women’s plasma. Besides, surprisingly high levels of the derivatives are confirmed in the plasma of women who live in – by all appearances – pollution-free countries, like Greenland or Iceland. The toxicity mechanisms of individual substances are generally well known, but in the human body they form a mix which may develop completely new properties. So far, a method of examining the toxicity of the mix has not been invented, so the mix effects have not been sufficiently recognized yet.

Figure 11. Pictures made by children from valley (area of pesticide risk) and foothills (contamination-free area) in Sonora, Mexico (Guilette et al., 1998).
To define the level of pesticide poisoning risk to children, Pennycook et al. (2004) assessed it on the basis of the consumption of apples and pears by the youngest residents of the UK. As a result, it was determined what number of 1.5–4.5-year children is daily exposed to consumption of such pesticide quantity which exceeds a maximum permissible dose (ARfD – acute reference dose). Dithiocarbamates, phosmet and carbendazim were considered. Depending on the type of chemical compound and the year when the crops were harvested, the number amounted to 10–226 children a day. It emphasises how the raw material contamination with pesticides is divergent. In some cases, its level exceeded the ARfD by almost six times.

Organic farming is an alternative to reduce the risk of pesticide residues in crops. It is a legally protected system, which assumes, among others, a complete rejection of the use of synthetic chemical agents for plant protection. In the framework of organic farming, there are allowed only some natural plant protection methods, e.g., the use of natural enemies of pests or allelopathy. Thanks to that, the level of organic crop contamination is considerably lower compared to conventional raw materials, commonly available on the market. This fact is borne out by the results of different authors’ studies.

Conclusions
It is well proved that pesticide intake with food causes many disturbances, malformations and diseases (including cancers) in humans. There are evidences that conversion into organic food decreases significantly a level of pesticide residues in human breast milk and children urine. From analysis of the above studies we can conclude that organic food consumption significantly reduces body exposure to pesticide poisoning. Organic raw materials are not and will never be 100% pesticide residue-free; this is not always the farmer’s fault. Chemical agents for plant protection have been commonly used for years all over the world, and for all that time their considerable quantities have accumulated in the environment where they can move. However, being aware of how negative effects are connected with excessive pesticide-related risks, every attempt to reduce and eliminate this danger should be undertaken and supported. In this respect, organic farming is really effective, and therefore, it should increase its share of national and world markets.

NITRATES AND NITRITES

Although nitrates themselves cause relatively little harm, they are transformed into very toxic nitrites in the body. Under the influence of intestinal microflora about ¾ of nitrates absorbed in the diet may be reduced to nitrites which are 6–10 times more toxic than nitrates (Szponar and Kierzkowska, 1990). The transformation of nitrates into nitrites may also take place during a production process and as a result of inappropriate conditions of food product storage and transportation (Szponar and Traczyk, 1995).

It is critical for human health, since nitrates are transformed into nitrites which may cause a dangerous disease called methaemoglobinemia of newborn
bodies, small children and the elderly (Mirvish, 1993). Since the 60-ies there have been – mainly in children – cases of nutritional methemoglobinemia caused by the large amount of nitrates in some parts of spinach and carrot (Świątkowski, 1980; Derache, 1986; Duchań and Hady, 1992; Stolarczyk and Socha, 1992).

Moreover, nitrates may react with amines, creating nitrosamines – carcinogens and mutagens, which cause alimentary canal tumours and leukaemia (Szponar and Kierzkowska, 1990). This process is dangerous not only for small children, but also for adults, regardless of the age. Epidemiologic studies conducted in different countries showed considerably more cases of stomach cancer in regions where the population had received more nitrates in their food and drinking water (Hill et al., 1971; Janicki, 1991). The effects of nitrate poisoning are also disorders of other organs, e.g., thyroid (Pogorzelska et al., 1990).

Researchers have been discussing whether and in what concentration nitrates are dangerous to human health. For many years, an excess of nitrates has been considered harmful to health. However, recently it has been claimed that nitrates and nitrites may also play a positive role in a human body, protecting against hypertension and supporting the circulatory system (Hord et al., 2009). Other authors indicate that there is poor scientific evidence of the nitrate and nitrite impact on methemoglobinemia in babies and tumours in adults, and that further dietary intervention studies on humans are necessary (Katan, 2009). It should be kept in mind that the same substance may influence a human body in different ways, depending on the dose: in small doses it can be necessary or even obligatory, but in large doses it can be dangerous to health. For example, this occurs with some trace elements, such as copper, zinc or manganese. It may also occur with nitrates and nitrites (Katan, 2009).

Nitrites can also reduce the nutritional value of food, decreasing assimilation of protein, fat and beta-carotene, causing decomposition of the B group vitamins and reducing the content of vitamin A (Szponar and Kierzkowska, 1990; Szteke, 1992; Rejmer, 1997).

The nitrates content of crops depends on many factors. For potatoes, Cieślik (1995a) mentions the following factors: species and variety, fertilisation, climate and soil conditions, and harvest time. According to the author, an agrotechnical factor of the strongest effect is nitrogen fertilisation. The papers by Redy et al. (1993) and Frydecka-Mazurczyk and Zgórska (1990) presented a significant linear increase of the nitrate content in tubers, proportional to the growth of N-mineral doses. Among crucial climate and weather conditions are temperature and irrigation of potato crops in a vegetation period. According to some studies, sufficient irrigation has an impact on lower nitrate accumulation in tubers, but drought can increase the nitrate content (Joergensen and Edlefsen, 1987). The five-year studies of Cieślik (1995b) also show that if average high air temperature and low rainfalls occur during the potato vegetation period, then the high nitrate content in tubers can be expected.

In conventional farming, mineral easy-dissolvable fertilisers in the form of mineral salts of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium etc. are used. Nitrate and ammonium ions in the form of water solution come into the soil, where the plant roots (namely root hairs) absorb them easily. For millions of years of evolution plants have not developed any mechanisms which regulate the absorption of
such solutions, and therefore they absorb too high volumes of easy-accessible ions, e.g. nitrate ions. As a result, nitrates are only partially used to build tissues, and the excess absorbed are accumulated in plant leaves, stems and roots. There are various techniques which slightly reduce these negative effects, such as the use of fertiliser in two doses – pre-sowing and top-dressing, the use of foliar fertiliser etc. However, these techniques do not change significantly the nature of mineral fertilisation.

Organic fertilisation is totally different for a plant from a physiological viewpoint. Natural organic fertilisers, such as manure, compost or green manure, are delivered to the soil. Organic matter is processed in the soil by living organisms; it is decomposed by bacteria, fungi and Actinomycetales as well as soil life, i.e., soil fauna with earthworms at the top. A sorption complex is created, being the basis of solid soil fertility; organic and mineral compounds form chelations, out of which a plant is able to absorb necessary elements when it needs them most, i.e., in an intensive growth period. Nitrogen compounds are absorbed as well, but more slowly and systematically; therefore nitrates are not accumulated in plant tissues. Many studies indicate a different physiological mechanism of nitrogen release when compost and mineral fertiliser are used, and a quality advantage of compost over mineral fertiliser in vegetable cultivation (Vogtmann, 1985). The experiments also point out varietal differences: under the same growing conditions, different varieties had different levels of nitrates. This shows that selection of varieties which accumulate lower contents of nitrates and other impurities is possible. This is important with respect to all kinds of cultivation, but in particular to organic cultivation.

Many studies have shown significant higher content of nitrates in conventionally produced potatoes, carrots, cabbage, beetroot, celery, leek and parsley than organic ones (Lairon et al., 1984; Mäder et al., 1993; Rembialkowska, 1998, 2000; Rutkowska, 1999; Wawrzyniak et al., 2004; Guadagnin et al., 2005; Hajsova et al., 2005; Bender et al., 2009). It is typical that the highest nitrates levels have been found in cabbage, lower for carrot, and the lowest for potato. It confirms a general rule that leafy vegetables accumulate more nitrates, followed by root vegetables and potatoes, respectively.

In addition, a comparative review by Worthington (2001) indicates a lower content of nitrates in organic vegetables than in conventional ones. Out of 176 comparisons (all together 18 studies), 127 showed a lower level of nitrates in organic crops, 34 indicated a higher level of nitrates in organic crops and 6 comparisons did not find any differences.

Conclusions
Excessive intake of nitrates is dangerous to human health. It may cause methemoglobinemia in small babies and cancers in adults. Organic vegetables are safer than conventional ones in terms of their nitrate content and from the consumer’s viewpoint.
MYCOTOXINS

In recent years, more attention has been paid to the toxins, which are metabolic products of fungi, growing on many common agricultural products and processed food. They are called mycotoxins. Some of them are very dangerous because they have a toxic effect on human, animals and plants. Consumption of food or feed contaminated by mycotoxins causes many diseases. Ingestion of even small quantities of mycotoxins can cause serious, sometimes irreversible changes in the body, leading to cancer and other serious diseases. Mycotoxins have strong toxic properties, and they can get into the body not only through food but also through the respiratory system and the skin (Stepien et al., 2007; Horoszkiewicz, 2004; Budak, 1998). Major food commodities affected are cereals, nuts, dried fruit, coffee, cocoa, spices, oil seeds, dried peas and beans and fruit, particularly apples. Mycotoxins may also be found in beer and wine, because of the use of contaminated barley, other cereals and grapes in their production. Mycotoxins also enter the human food chain via meat or other animal products such as eggs, milk and cheese as a result of livestock eating contaminated feed. It is very difficult to remove a mycotoxin once formed; this means that the best method of control is prevention (Chelkowski, 1985, 1994).

Currently, several hundred mycotoxins are known. The discovery of mycotoxins (60s of the twentieth century) is the explanation for a series of diseases which were unexplained. This happened thanks to the development of analytical techniques. The appearance of thin-layer chromatography allowed the detection of mycotoxins in food, which became the explanation for many previously unexplained illnesses and health problems. Then with time more modern technology appeared – high performance liquid chromatography and gas chromatography, especially useful for the analysis of mycotoxins (Desjardins, 2006).

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites of fungi, which occur in nature, under favorable conditions like high temperature, humidity and organic matter. The main fungal species producing mycotoxins are Fusarium, Aspergillus and Penicillium. Their toxicity and carcinogenicity has been observed in humans and animals.

Moulds are visible, when growing on the surface of a product, but we should be aware that when they are inside they can be difficult to discern. This is the same with mycotoxins, they cannot be seen. They are stored in the mycelium, from where they can be secreted into the food and feed. Removal of the spoiled part of the product does not solve the problem, since mycotoxins penetrate into the product and remain toxic even after heat treatment (Stepien et al., 2007).

Formation of mycotoxins may be closely related to the so-called harvest diseases of cereal grains, oilseeds, fruits and vegetables. Another important factors causing mycotoxin formation is improper storage of plant products after harvest and transportation.

For several years, in European countries, the problem of fusarium ear rot disease has been growing; this paralyzes mainly crops of wheat, barley, maize and contributes to the reduction of yield, grain quality deterioration, and also leads to infection of these crops by mycotoxins. Major mycotoxins posing food safety and health risk are: aflatoxin, ochratoxin, patulin, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone, produced by Aspergillus, Fusarium and Penicillium fungi.
Nowadays, more and more studies and researches are performed on this subject because consumers are more aware of food safety issues. The case of mycotoxins in organic products is widely discussed worldwide, because in organic farming there is prohibition of use of fungicides. On the other hand, in organic production, plants strengthen their resistance to diseases. Several reviews and reports summarizing the studies show that occurrence of mycotoxins in organic products is on the same level or in some cases even lower than in conventional ones (Benbrook, 2005; Lairon, 2009). Even if the amount of mycotoxins in organic products is larger the difference is very small and does not exceed an admissible limit (Jestoi, 2004; Pussemier, 2006; Gottschalk et al., 2007; Mäder et al., 2007). Table 1 indicates that higher contamination with mycotoxins can be in both organic and conventional system.

**Conclusions**

Mycotoxins are toxic metabolites formed by specific fungi under favorable conditions (high humidity and temperature). They are dangerous to humans, animals, plants and microorganisms. Both organic and conventional food can be contaminated by mycotoxins and their concentration in crops depends not only on the production system (organic vs conventional) but also on the field production and storage conditions.

The content of mycotoxins is an important indicator of food quality. It has been often suggested that the mycotoxin contamination of organic crops was higher because of the ban on the fungicide use. However many studies have shown that such claims are not proven.
ARTIFICIAL ADDITIVES

Organic processing standards prohibit the use of chemicals, many synthetic preservatives, artificial colourings and sweeteners and other food additives, which are widely used in the processing of conventional foods (Beck et al., 2006). Organic processing aims to minimize the use of additives. Conventional food processing allows several hundreds of different types of food additives while organic processing allows only around 40 different additives and they are mostly natural substances. In organic processing 3 colourings, 4 preservatives, 13 antioxidants and other miscellaneous acids, salts, emulsifiers and stabilisers from plant and animal origin are permitted for use. Flavor enhancers and non-sugar sweeteners are forbidden (Commission Regulation…, 2008).

Artificial colors
Food dyes are used in countless foods to stimulate the colour of fruits or vegetables. Food dyes, synthesized originally from the coal tar and now petroleum, have long been controversial. Many dyes have been banned because of their adverse effects on laboratory animals. Kobylewski and Jacobson (2010) reported in their comprehensive review that many other currently approved dyes can raise health problems. In the last 50 years a number of studies and case reports have been published describing intolerance reactions to synthetic food colours (TemaNord, 2002) and effects on the behaviour of children (Overmeyer and Taylor, 1999). The use of food additives at their regulated concentrations is believed to be relatively safe, however a number of latest studies show that food colorings can cause headache, migraine, hyperactivity, concentration and learning difficulties etc., others show that not all people or animals are sensitive to chemicals in food.

A comprehensive meta-analysis by Schab and Trinh (2004) of the medical literature concluded that artificial dyes affect children’s behaviour. Out of references, 15 unique double-blinded placebo-controlled trials evaluating the behavioural effects of artificial food colourings among 219 subjects with diagnosis of hyperactivity have been graded, were identified. Schab and Trinh (2004) found that previous science shows that children’s behaviour improves when artificial colourings are removed from their diet and worsens when it is added to their diets.

A randomised double-blinded placebo-controlled crossover trial was carried out to test whether intake of synthetic food colors and additives affected child behaviour. 153 3-year old and 144 8–9-year old children were included in this study. The tested children were from the general population, not only from those sensitive to dyes. Observations in the classroom and ratings of behaviour were made independently by teachers and by parents at home. Results showed that the mixture of additives commonly found in children’s food increased the mean level of hyperactivity in children (McCann et al., 2007). These findings were consistent with results from a previous study by Bateman et al. (2004).

The genotoxicity of 39 commonly used food additives were determined. Dyes, colour-fixatives and preservatives, antioxidants and sweeteners were tested on male mice. Of all the additives, dyes were the most toxic. They induced dose-related DNA damage in the glandular stomach, colon and/or urinary bladder and induced DNA damage in the gastrointestinal organs at a low dose (Sasaki et al., 2002).
The study conducted by Amin et al. (2010) evaluated the toxic effect of the azo dyes Tatrazine and Carmoisine used in food products on renal, hepatic function, lipid profile, blood glucose, body weight gain and biomarkers of oxidative stress in young male rats. Food colourings were administered orally in two doses, one low and the other high dose for one month. The conclusion of this study was that these food dyes adversely affect and alter biochemical markers in vital organs e.g., liver and kidney, not only at a high doses but also at low doses.

Lau et al. (2006) examined, in vitro, the neurotoxic effects of four common artificial food additives in combinations of two – food dye and flavour enhancer, food dye and sweetener (Brilliant Blue and L-glutamic acid, Quinoline Yellow and Aspartame) to assess potential interactions. Study showed synergistic effects to inhibit neuronal cell differentiation. Food dye and sweetener showed greater synergy than food dye and flavour enhancer, however, the results indicated that both combinations are potentially more toxic than might be predicted from the sum of their individual compounds.

Pestana et al. (2010) studied the effect of the widely used synthetic food colouring, Tatrazine, on atopic subjects with allergic rhinitis, asthma, urticaria or pseudo-allergic reactions to non-inflammatory drugs. No significant differences between the administration of 35 mg of Tatrazine and placebo groups were observed with respect to cutaneous, respiratory or cardiovascular reactions.

In Ireland, researchers tested two mixes of seven additives on hyperactivity of 594 children and 441 teenagers. Results showed that no child or teenager achieved the overall intakes used in the study linking food additives with hyperactivity (Connolly et al., 2010).

**Artificial flavor enhancers**

Monosodium glutamate (MSG) is a substance widely used as a flavouring agent in the whole world. According to the Bellisle (2006) sensory evaluation tests have shown that both traditional and novel foods get higher palatability ratings if MSG is added at an appropriate dose. MSG is found in a wide variety of packaged foods, also used in restaurants and cafeterias.

Despite many studies carried out since the middle of the last century to the present day on laboratory animals fed with monosodium glutamate showing many harmful results, it is considered to be innocuous by the health agencies of Europe and other world. Many early studies have demonstrated brain damage and stroke (Robinson et al., 1975; Rascher and Mesters, 1980), inducing neurotoxicity (Tóth et al., 1987), abnormalities of the reproductive system including female sterility (Olney, 1969; Lamperti and Blaha, 1976), neuroendocrine disorders including triggering obesity (Nemeroff, 1981), diabetes (Komeda et al., 1980), stunting (Cameron et al., 1976), behavioural aberration and possible learning deficits (Pradhan and Lynch, 1972; Iwata et al., 1979), eye cell damage (Reif-Lehrer, 1975; Sisk and Kuwabara, 1985), convulsions (Aruaz-Contreras and Feria-Velasco, 1984) etc.

Monosodium glutamate is widely used to create obese test subjects in laboratory. To examine, for example, new diet and diabetes drugs and treatments on obese subjects, the test group must be 100% replicable. For guaranteed results, researchers use injections of MSG subcutaneously on test subjects. After achiev-
ing appropriately obese test groups, researchers begin to test materials on them. These replicable findings has been given the names ‘monosodium glutamate obese rats’ or ‘MSG treated rats’. Hundreds of studies have used the rodent scientifically categorized as the MSG treated rat (Erb, 2006). But not all rodent species become obese with MSG ingestion, some just get diabetes (Komeda et al., 1980). In the study of Komeda et al. Chinese hamsters showed no sign of obesity, but apparently developed a diabetic syndrome. Nagata et al. (2006) created obese type 2 (non-insulin dependent) diabetes mellitus mice who were useful as experimental animals for examining diabetes.

The fact is that people can be allergic to a very small amount of MSG and this small amount can harm them. No study to determine the least amount of processed free glutamic acid (MSG) needed to trigger a reaction in MSG-sensitive people has been ever done.

In recent years, there have been many new studies. The literature analyzing MSG and consumption of ration are conflictive. Many studies demonstrate a risk of diabetes, a rise of appetite and the risk of obesity with administration of MSG, although some do not show any adverse effects.

A cross-sectional study involving 752 people (aged 49–50 years, 48.7% women) randomly sampled from three rural villages in China was conducted by He et al. (2008). This research data showed that prevalence of overweight was significantly higher in MSG users than in nonusers. The study showed that MSG intake may be associated with increased risk of becoming overweight independent of physical activity and total energy intake in humans.

The effects of the oral administration of two dosages of MSG during the second half of pregnancy and all the developmental process of offsprings on appetite control and various hormones has been analysed in rats by Fernandez-Tresguerres Hernández (2005). Effects have been compared with the neonatal parental administration of the same compound. It turned out that oral administration of MSG during pregnancy and development in rats is able to significantly affect hypothalamic control of various hormones and increases appetite.

In 2005, the study determined the effects of adding MSG to a standard diet and a fiber-enriched diet on glucose metabolism, lipid profile, and oxidative stress in rats. 8 male rats were fed a standard diet (control), a standard diet supplemented with 100 g of MSG per kilogram of rat body weight, a diet rich in fiber, or a diet rich in fiber supplemented with 100 g of MSG per kilogram of body weight. After 45 days of treatment, rats were analyzed for concentrations of insulin, leptin, glucose, triacylglycerol, lipid hydroperoxide, and total antioxidant substances. Results showed that MSG added to a standard diet increased food intake. Overfeeding induced metabolic disorders associated with oxidative stress in the absence of obesity. In the MSG group, an increase in glucose, insulin, leptin, and triacylglycerol levels were observed, whereas the fiber-enriched diet prevented these changes. Because the deleterious effects of MSG, i.e., induced overfeeding, were not seen in the animals fed the fiber-enriched diets, it was also concluded that fiber supplementation is beneficial by discouraging overfeeding and improving oxidative stress that is induced by an MSG diet (Diniz et al., 2005).

The impact of MSG on the regulation of appetite was tested on 30 pregnant rats and their offspring. Pregnant animals either received no extra MSG,
or 2.5 g MSG, or 5 g MSG per day, up to the end of the weaning period. After weaning, MSG feeding was continued in the offspring. The animals fed 5 g MSG per day increased water uptake threefold, and food uptake by almost two-fold. The study demonstrated that a widely used nutritional monosubstance, the flavouring agent MSG, at concentrations that only slightly surpass those found in everyday human food, exhibits significant potential for damaging the hypothalamic regulation of appetite, and thereby determines the propensity of obesity (Hermanussen et al., 2006).

In a study with 36 young men and women weekly tests of free intake of experimental foods with 0.6% MSG showed that subjects ate progressively more and faster, indicating increasing palatability with repeated exposure. The conclusion of this study was that MSG can act as a flavour enhancer, but it should be utilized cautiously (Bellisle et al., 1991)

MSG has been shown in rats to overstimulate the pancreas resulting in hyperinsulinemia. The excess insulin in the blood increases the conversion of glucose into adipose tissue (body fat) (Erb, 2006). Macho et al. (2000) found an increase of plasma insulin in 3 month old rats treated with MSG during the postnatal period and Niijima et al. (1990) recognized that even just adding MSG to the mouth of a rat, after 3 min can trigger an increase in insulin release.

Chevassus et al. (2002) conducted a double-blind placebo-controlled crossover study with 18 healthy volunteers (19–28 years old). 10 g MSG was given orally. The MSG enhanced glucose-induced insulin secretion in healthy volunteers in a concentration-dependent manner.

Macho et al. (2000) investigated the late effects of postnatal administration of MSG on insulin action in adult rats. They demonstrated that, early after birth, administration of MSG exerts an important effect on glucose metabolism and insulin action in fat cells of adult animals. The results indicated an attenuation of insulin effect on glucose transport due to a lower insulin binding and lower content of GLUT4 (insulin-regulated glucose transporter) protein in MSG-treated rats.

In 2002, Ohguro et al. (2002) found that rats fed 10 g. of sodium glutamate (97.5% sodium glutamate and 2.5% sodium ribonucleotide) added to a 100 g. daily diet for 3 months, had a significant increase in the amount of glutamic acid in vitreous, had damage to the retina, and had deficits in retinal function.

Ajinomoto Company Inc., the largest MSG producer in the world, investigated the effect of the spontaneous ingestion of a 1% MSG solution and water on food intake and body weight in male rats fed diets of varying caloric density, fat and carbohydrate contents. Rats given free access to MSG and water showed a high preference for the MSG solution, regardless of the diet they consumed. The results indicated also that MSG ingestion reduced weight gain, body fat mass and plasma leptin levels (Kondoh and Torii, 2008).

**Artificial sweeteners**

Artificial sweeteners, also called sugar substitutes, alternative sweeteners, or non-sugar sweeteners, are substances that are used instead of sucrose (table sugar) to sweeten foods and beverages or to lower their calorie content. Artificial sweeteners are many times sweeter than table sugar and due to that, smaller amounts are needed to create the same level of sweetness.
The most widely used are saccharin, aspartame, acesulfame potassium (ace-sulfame-K), sucrose, neotame and cyclamate. A lot of studies have been carried out to examine their effects on health. Questions about artificial sweeteners and its safety arose when early studies showed that cyclamate mixed with saccharin caused bladder cancer in laboratory animals. However, results from subsequent carcinogenicity studies (studies that examine whether a substance can cause cancer) of these sweeteners have not provided clear evidence of an association with cancer in humans. Further studies showed consistent evidence that in utero (state of the embryo or foetus) and immediate post utero exposure of rats to the artificial sweetener saccharin results in an increase in bladder tumours when compared with adult exposure only (Taylor et al., 1980), and that in second-generation saccharin-exposed male rats there is a dose-dependent increase in the incidence of bladder tumours (Schoenig et al., 1985).

Subsequent studies in rats have shown an increased incidence of urinary bladder cancer at high doses of saccharin, especially in male rats (Renwick and Sims, 1983). However, mechanistic studies (studies that examine how a substance works in the body) have shown that these results apply only to rats.

S.K. Van den Eeden et al. (1994) found, in his study, connections between aspartame and headaches. This experiment provides evidence that, among individuals with self-reported headaches after ingestion of aspartame, a subset of this group report more headaches when tested under controlled conditions.

In 2005, a laboratory study found more lymphomas and leukemias in rats fed high doses of aspartame. This study by Italian researchers presented the first results showing that aspartame caused a statistically significant, dose-related increase in lymphomas and leukaemias in females. No significant increase in malignant brain tumours was observed among animals (Soffritti et al., 2005). In the same year, the same research team provided the first compelling experimental evidence for the carcinogenic effects of aspartame at a dose level within range (even less) of human daily intake (Soffritti et al., 2005). Next year, Soffiritti et al. (2007) confirmed and reinforced the first experimental demonstration of aspartame’s multipotential carcinogenicity at a dose level close to the acceptable daily intake for humans. Furthermore, the study demonstrated that when life-span exposure to aspartame begins during foetal life, its carcinogenic effects are increased. This experiment had a long observation period and comprehensive assessment of aspartame’s carcinogenic potential. Although recent epidemiologic studies have not found an association between aspartame and human cancers, these studies were not designed to measure cancer risks associated with foetal exposures.

The results of the studies of Soffritti’s group attracted the attention of the scientific community, consumers and industry associations, and the national and international agencies responsible for food safety (Soffritti, 2006). Magnuson from the University of Maryland and Williams from New York Medical College doubted these findings (Magnuson and Williams, 2008). They indicated also several methodologic and conceptual weaknesses. But it is important to point out that Magnuson and Williams received payment from the Burdock Group during the preparation of an expert review of the safety of aspartame and the Burdock Group managed the independent review, which was financially supported by Ajinomoto Company Inc., a producer of aspartame. As these allegations were...
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made by people who had competing financial interests, this fact should be con-
sidered.

On the other hand, Karstadt from Drexel University School of Public Health
found the findings of Soffritti’s group interesting and pointed out the need for
more long-term adequate and independent carcinogenicity testing of the artifi-
cial sweetener acesulfame-K (Karstadt, 2006).

Weihrauch and Diehl (2004) concluded in their review article of carcino-
genic risk of artificial sweeteners that saccharin induces bladder cancer in rats
and heavy artificial sweetener use leads to an increased relative risk of bladder
cancer in humans.

There have been published several directly contradictory animal trials which
did not show any carcinogenic effect of aspartame (Jeffrey and Williams, 2000;
Butchko et al., 2002; National..., 2003). The objectivity of first two experiments is
questionable, because of connectivity with producer companies of sweeteners. A
population-based case-control study found a null association between childhood
brain tumours and aspartame ingestion among both children and their mothers
during pregnancy and lactation (Gurney et al., 1997). Lim et al. (2006) did not
find increased risk of brain cancer in humans either.

The study with restrained female showed that total energy intake is not auto-
matically reduced when sucrose-sweetened drinks are replaced by ‘diet’ drinks
containing aspartame. On the contrary, data suggests that energy intake may
even increase during the subsequent day (Lavin et al., 1997). This research was
supported by The Sugar Bureau.

Conclusions
In conventional food processing several hundreds of different synthetic additives
are permitted for use, while in organic food processing only around 40 natural
substances are allowed.

Artificial colours have been shown to cause several adverse health effects in
experimental animals (rats, mice) – DNA damage in the bladder and gastrointes-
tinal track – and in humans – allergies, headache, migraine, hyperactivity, con-
centration and learning difficulties (mostly in children).

MSG (monosodium glutamate) use is permitted in non-organic foods, how-
ever, dozens of published studies show ailments and side effects of ingestion of
MSG, such as disturbance of rat and human metabolism, hyperinsulinemia, in-
creased food intake and overweight/obesity. Considering the previously men-
tioned, there is reason to be unsure that consumption of industrial monosodium
glutamate is completely safe.

Artificial sweeteners have been linked to adverse health outcomes such as
higher energy intake, headaches, cancers etc. As many artificial sweeteners are
combined in today’s products, the carcinogenic risk of a single substance is very
difficult to assess. Artificial sweeteners are promoted as safe non-caloric sugar re-
placers. However the experimental and epidemiological data currently available
to evaluate the risks or the safety are insufficient and old and therefore the safety
of artificial sweeteners is questionable.

Taking into consideration the above facts, organically processed food prod-
ucts are much safer for consumers than conventionally processed ones.
3. NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF ORGANIC vs. CONVENTIONAL PLANT PRODUCTS

FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

The market for organic produce continues to grow (FiBL, 2009; Willer, 2011), as consumers are alienated by nutritional scandals from products manufactured by industrial methods and seek safe and controlled food products (Hughner et al., 2007). Health awareness is increasing, and the eating habits of consumers are changing; many believe that organic products are not only safer but also richer in the components necessary to maintain proper health.

The variation in composition between organic and conventional produce is dependent on differences in the production practices typical for organic and conventional crops. On organic farms, the crops are grown without the use of synthetic plant protection products and readily soluble mineral fertilizers. In organic farming, animal manures, green manures, compost and a varied crop rotation are applied instead of readily soluble mineral fertilizers, which leads to optimal soil biological activity. Due to the exclusion of the use of chemical protection products in organic farming, activation of natural mechanisms of plant defence system against diseases and pests takes place. Natural protective substances in plants are so called secondary metabolites, which also represent an essential element of daily human diet.

Research comparing the content of bioactive substances in organically and conventionally produced plant products has been carried out by many authors since the early 1980s. There is a growing evidence that plant secondary metabolites play a critical role for human health and may be important in terms of nutritional value (Lundegårdh and Mårtensson, 2003). Plant metabolites in the form of phenolic compounds are of particular interest because of their potential antioxidant activity and cardioprotective, neuroprotective and chemoprotective properties, including those that may prevent cancer (Brandt and Mølgaard, 2001; Frei and Higdon, 2003; Kampa et al., 2007; Carlson et al., 2007; Ortuno et al., 2007). The content of the secondary substances from the phenolic compound group in plant products is therefore of great interest, and has led to more scientific studies comparing their contents in organic and conventional produce.
Plant secondary metabolites are substances naturally synthesized by the plant, but usually they do not take direct part in the creation of its cells. They are typically produced as a plant reaction to various external stimuli, acting as regulators of physiological changes in the event of an attack by pests or other stress factors (Brandt and Mølgaard, 2001). For a human, they are an important source of antioxidant compounds, so called antioxidants, which protect the body against the influence of many external factors and limit the spread of lifestyle diseases (Di Renzo et al., 2007). Plant secondary metabolites can be divided into compounds containing no nitrogen: phenolic acids, flavonoids and terpenoids (e.g. tetraterpenes: carotenoids, xanthophylls), and nitrogen-containing compounds (alkaloids, amines, non-protein amino acids, glycosides, glucosinolates).

Most studies comparing organic and conventional raw materials in terms of content of secondary metabolites, have measured total polyphenols, without distinction between individual compounds belonging to this group. Although some

### Table 2. The content of polyphenols in fruits and vegetables from organic and conventional production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruit/Produce</th>
<th>Bioactive substances</th>
<th>Higher/lower/similar content in organic fruit or vegetable compared to conventional</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL POLYPHENOLS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Carbonaro and Mattera, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Carbonaro et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pear</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Carbonaro et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marionberry</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Asami et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frozen strawberry</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Asami et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frozen corn</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Asami et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Weibel et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple juice</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple sauce</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberry</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange peel</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Faller and Fialho, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papaya peel</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Faller and Fialho, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese cabbage Pac Choi</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Young et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato puree</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow plum</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Barrett et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pear</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Carbonaro and Mattera, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Briviba et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Young et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAVONOIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Weibel et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2003b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese cabbage</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Ren et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh onion</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Ren et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
authors have expressed the content of individual substances, such as quercetin, tannic acid, kaempferol or anthocyanins in plant products. Polyphenol content is usually compared by some authors in terms of dry matter of the product, but in most cases as the content in the fresh matter of the product.

The majority of studies comparing the polyphenol content in plant products from different farm systems indicated a significant advantage of organic fruits and vegetables (Weibel et al., 2000; Carbonaro and Mattera, 2001; Carbonaro et al., 2002; Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002; Asami et al., 2003; Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004; Young et al., 2005; Rembiałkowska et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Faller and Fialho, 2010) (table 2). However, some authors have found a lower or similar level of phenolic compounds in organically grown vegetables and fruits (Häkkinen and Törrönen, 2000; Mikkonen et al., 2001; Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002; Young et al., 2005; Anttonen et al., 2006; Chassy et al., 2006; Barrett et al., 2007; Briviba et al., 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruit/Produce</th>
<th>Bioactive substances</th>
<th>Higher/lower/similar content in organic fruit or vegetable compared to conventional</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Ren et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green pepper</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Ren et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Flavonols quercetin</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2003a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red pepper</td>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onion</td>
<td>Flavonols/ quercetin</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black currant</td>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Mikkonen et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry</td>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Häkkinen and Törrönen, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Quercitin</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Mitchell et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Anttonen et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow plum</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Chassy et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Mitchell et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow plum</td>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Chassy et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry</td>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Anttonen et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Mitchell et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Naringenin</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Bender et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2003a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberry</td>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood orange</td>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Tarozzi et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry</td>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Tönutare et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onion</td>
<td>Anthocyanins/ delphinidin</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currant</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Kazimierczak et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blueberry</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Wang et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry</td>
<td>Antioxidant activity</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Tönutare et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The main area of interest are **flavonoids**, which constitute a large group of several thousand different compounds; they play an important role in maintaining health, performing many functions in the human body (Bidlack 1998; Frei and Higdon, 2003; Ramos, 2007; Fresco et al., 2006; Shankar et al., 2007). Flavonoids have strong antioxidant and metal chelating activity, influence the neutralization of free radicals, e.g. by inhibiting the development of cancer, counteract atherosclerosis, decrease the risk of obesity, strengthen blood vessel walls preventing the formation of microbleeding and stroke and reduce blood clot formation decreasing the risk of stroke. They act as protectors in relation to vitamin C, increasing its effectiveness, supporting the immune system, preventing some bacterial and viral infections and some have astringent properties, soothing irritation of mucous membranes (Bidlack, 1998).

Several studies have confirmed an elevated content of different flavonoids in organic produce (Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002; Rembiałkowska et al., 2003a; Rembiałkowska et al., 2003b; Rembiałkowska et al., 2004; Weibel et al., 2004; Hallmann et al., 2005; Anttonen et al., 2006; Chassy et al., 2006; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2006; Tarozzi et al., 2006; Mitchell et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008; Bender et al., 2009; Tönutare et al., 2009). As far as antioxidant activity is concerned, Ren et al. (2001) indicated an increased value of flavonoids in juice made of organic Chinese cabbage, welsh onion and spinach (increase of 50–120%). According to Kazimierczak et al. (2008), organic currants showed 30% higher antioxidant activity and according to Wang et al. (2008) organic blueberries had higher antioxidant activity than conventionally grown ones. Previous studies concerning organic crops confirmed this elevated capacity (Benbrook et al., 2008).

Another group of secondary metabolites of plants, characterized by their strong antioxidant properties, are **carotenoids**. In the nature, there are over 600 pigments called carotenoids, which give the plants yellow, orange and red colour. Carotenoids are also found in green leafy vegetables, but their colour is masked by green chlorophyll. The best-known carotenoid is beta-carotene found in many orange and yellow fruit as well as green leafy vegetables. Lycopene gives tomatoes their vivid red colour. Lutein and zeaxanthin stain corn in yellow. Carotenoids play an important role for human health. They lower blood cholesterol levels, and thus favourably affect the heart. They assist in enhancing the immune system of the body, particularly beta-carotene which stimulates the increase in the number of lymphocytes. They also exhibit anti-tumour activity, mainly due to their antioxidant properties and neutralization of free radicals (Stracke et al., 2008).

Studies comparing the content of carotenoids in organic and conventional plant products have given variable results. Several authors have confirmed elevated levels of these substances in organic carrots, sweet peppers and tomatoes (Abele, 1987; Rembiałkowska et al., 2003a; Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004; Hallmann et al., 2005; Hallmann et al., 2007; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2007a; Pérez-López et al., 2007; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2008a; Hallmann et al., 2008; Bender et al., 2009; Juroszek et al., 2009; Rickman Pieper and Barrett, 2009), while others have demonstrated quite different results in case of tomatoes and carrots (Warman and Havard, 1997; Hallmann et al., 2005, Rembiałkowska et al., 2005; Toor et al., 2006; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2007b; Hallmann et
al., 2007; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2008a; Rossi et al., 2008; Stracke et al., 2008). It must be kept in mind though, that the content of carotenoids depends on many factors, such as genotype, soil parameters, weather, pesticides and fertilizers used.

Some results showing the content of the carotenoids in the organic and conventional produce are presented in table 3.

The group of powerful antioxidants also includes vitamin C, which also plays a fundamental role in several metabolic functions of the human body. It ensures the proper functioning of the immune system, interacts in the biosynthesis of collagen, accelerates the process of wound healing and bone coalescence. In addition, it participates in the metabolism of fats, cholesterol and bile acids, regenerates vitamin E and other low-molecular antioxidants, such as glutathione.

Table 3. Comparison of the content of carotenoids in organic and conventional vegetables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Higher/lower/similar content in organic vegetable compared to conventional</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOMATO</strong></td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2008a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato juice</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Bender et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Rossi et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Toor et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2007b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2008a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Rickman Pieper and Barrett, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Juroszek et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Rembiałkowska et al., 2003a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CARROT</strong></td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Abele, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot</td>
<td>α- and β-carotene</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Stracke et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot</td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Warman and Havard, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PEPPER</strong></td>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Perez-Lopez et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2007a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Total carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2008b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Lycopene</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Lutein</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Lutein</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and has a stabilizing effect in relation to the flavonoids. Vitamin C is characteristic of bacteriostatic and even bactericidal properties against some pathogens. It facilitates the assimilation of non-haem iron and is involved in the production of red blood cells. Further, it inhibits the formation of carcinogenic nitrosamines in the body, thus reducing the negative impact of nitrates (Mirvish, 1993).

For the majority of plants, it has been demonstrated that organic raw mate-

### Table 4. Comparison of the content of vitamin C in organic and conventional raw materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>Higher/lower/similar content in organic produce compared to conventional</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOMATO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Chassy et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Rossi et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Barrett et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska et al., 2003b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POTATO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Schuphan, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Petterson, 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Fischer and Richter, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska and Rutkowska, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hajslova et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER VEGETABLES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Schuphan, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Vogtmann et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celery</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Schuphan, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celery</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Leclerc et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savoy cabbage</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Schuphan, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cabbage</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cabbage</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onion</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Hallmann and Rembiakowska, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Schuphan, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leek</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Lairon et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swiss chard (leaves)</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Moreira et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frozen corn (grain)</td>
<td>Lower in organic</td>
<td>Asami et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broccoli</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Wunderlich et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FRUITS AND BERRIED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rembiakowska et al., 2003b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Rapisarda et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow plum</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black currant</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Kahu et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Carbonaro et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pear</td>
<td>Higher in organic</td>
<td>Carbonaro et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberry</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Kahu et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rrial is characterized by a higher content of vitamin C (Schuphan, 1974; Petters-
son, 1978; Fischer and Richter, 1984; Lairon et al., 1984; Vogtmann et al., 1984;
Leclerc et al., 1991; Rembiałkowska and Rutkowska, 1996; Rembiałkowska, 1998;
Rembiałkowska, 2000; Carbonaro et al., 2002; Lombardi-Boccia et al., 2002;
Moreira et al., 2003; Rembiałkowska et al., 2003b; Caris-Veyrat et al., 2004; Haj-
slowa et al., 2005; Rapisarda et al., 2005; Rembiałkowska et al., 2005; Chassy et
al., 2006; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2006; Hallmann et al., 2007; Kahu et
al., 2009) (table 4). There are several studies though, showing lower or similar
levels of vitamin C in organic plant produce, mainly in organic tomatoes (Asami
et al., 2003; Rembiałkowska et al., 2003a; Barrett et al., 2007; Rossi et al., 2008;
Wunderlich et al., 2008; Kahu et al., 2010).

According to the studies listed in the table 4, vitamin C content is on aver-
age is higher for organic raw materials, with the biggest difference demonstrated
for onion.

A comparative review by Worthington (2001) indicates a higher content of
mineral components (iron, magnesium and phosphorus) in organic vegetables
than in conventional ones (table 5). She analysed 41 studies and considered a
possible cause of the higher content of mineral elements in organic raw materials
to be related to the higher content of microorganisms in organically cultivated
soil. These microorganisms produce many compounds that help plants by in-
troducing substances, such as citrate, which binds with the soil minerals, thus
becoming more readily available to plant roots.

No differences were observed in the content of minerals and trace elements
between organic and conventional vegetables from the 8 studies reviewed by
Woëse et al. (1997). The only exception was iron: in half of the studies, the con-
tent of iron was similar in organic and conventional produce, in the other half,
organically produced vegetables had higher contents. In a recent study by Bender
et al. (2009) the concentration of magnesium, phosphorous, potassium and cal-
cium in organic and conventional carrots did not show any differences.

Studies of fruits have not given any clear conclusion. Some have reported
no differences between mineral contents in fruits (Woëse et al., 1997; Bourn and
Prescott, 2002), although Smith et al. (1993) found significantly higher micronu-
trient concentrations in organically cultivated apples, pears and pineapples than
in conventional ones.

### Table 5. Differences in the content of mineral components in organic and convention-
al vegetables (Worthington, 2001)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of vegetable</th>
<th>Nutrient *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td>+17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>+25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot</td>
<td>+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>+21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>+41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* – ‘Plus’ and ‘minus’ signs mean a difference in the content of a given component in
organic vegetables in relation to conventional ones. For example, the level of Iron is 17%
higher in organic lettuce (conventional 100%, organic 117%).
The content of sugars has been examined in a few studies. Several have reported a higher content of total sugars in organic fruit and vegetables than in conventional ones (Zadoks, 1989; Rembiałkowska, 1998; Rembiałkowska et al., 2004; Rembiałkowska et al., 2005; Stertz et al., 2005; Hallmann and Rembiałkowska, 2006; Hallmann et al., 2007) (table 6). Woëse et al. (1997) reported in their review no clear trend in the level of total sugar content in organic vegetables compared with conventional ones.

Higher sugar content means not only better technological quality, as in sugar beet, but also better tasting fruits and vegetables, i.e. higher sensory value. In tests performed with the participation of both consumers and a trained panel, vegetables and fruit from organic production are often rated better in terms of their sensory properties (Rembiałkowska, 2000).

The higher technological value of organic plant products is determined, e.g. by higher dry matter content, thanks to which organic products have better storage quality (Bulling, 1987; Rembiałkowska, 2000). Tõnutare et al. (2009) found higher dry matter content in organic strawberries. Samaras (1978) showed in his studies that the main influence on the amount of mass lost during storage, is the type of material the plants were fertilized with. All root vegetables (carrots, kohlrabi, beets and potatoes), tested by Samaras (1978), which had been fertilised with organic manure, were characterized by much lower storage losses. The higher storage losses of vegetables grown with mineral fertilizers may be associated with a higher content of water absorbed by the plant together with readily soluble mineral compounds. When mineral fertilizers were used, the average storage losses amounted to 46.4% of the initial mass, and with the application of organic manure – 28.9% of the initial mass. Potatoes turned out to be the least sensitive to storage losses (Samaras, 1978).

Bulling (1987) listed studies comparing storage losses of organic and conventional vegetables and fruits. Mean values for raw materials tested in 53 studies showed 10% lower losses for the benefit of organic farming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6. Content of total sugars in organic and conventional raw materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Raw material</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GRAINS

Cereals play an important part in human nutrition, and because of the large scale of consumption, they may provide a wide range of nutrients and biologically active compounds. According to epidemiological data, regular consumption of whole-grain and bran products may contribute to the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and certain forms of cancer, especially of the digestive system (Jacobs et al., 1995; Meyer et al., 2000; Moore et al., 2005). Beneficial health effects are usually attributed to the presence of dietary fibre, micronutrients, and bioactive secondary metabolites.

The level of different phenolic acids and flavonoids in a plant species depends on the stage of development, tissue, variety and environmental stresses such as UV radiation, drought, irrigation, soil conditions, tillage, pest infestation, use of pesticides and fertilisers (Dixon and Paiva, 1995). Therefore, different food production methods may result in differences in the content of secondary metabolites.

Phenolic acid concentration in organically and conventionally cultivated spring and winter wheat was investigated by Zuchowski et al. (2010). Organically produced spring and winter wheat had significantly higher concentrations of the total phenolic acid content than conventional wheat, however, the differences were not large. To the contrary, the experiment conducted by Dimberg et al. (2005) with organic and conventional oats did not show any differences in phenolic compound concentration.

Kalinova and Vrchotova (2011) measured the level of flavonoids in common buckwheat groats. The results showed that differences in agricultural practices will not affect all plants and all secondary metabolites equally - two of four types of flavonoid content were slightly higher in organic production, other concentrations were similar. The differences varied with variety.

Scientists in Germany measured a metabolite profile of grains grown under comparable organic and conventional conditions. Relative levels of a set of 52 different metabolites were determined including amino acids, organic acids, sugars, sugar alcohols, sugar phosphates, and nucleotides from wheat grains. The statistical analysis of the data showed that the metabolite status of the wheat grain from organic and conventional farming did not differ in concentrations of 44 metabolites. This result indicates no impact or a small impact of the different farming systems; in conclusion no large differences in metabolite composition and quality of wheat grains were detected (Zörb et al., 2006).

Magkos et al. (2003) showed in their review that organic wheat, rye and corn tend to contain a lower amount of amino acids, but at the same time a higher proportion of essential amino acids. These results showed eight analysed studies, however, one study failed to reach such a conclusion.

In a 21 year field experiment of Mäder et al. (2007) no statistically significant differences were found in wheat between the farming systems in the content of amino acids (of the 18 amino acids), phosphorus, potassium, calcium, zinc, molybdenum and cobalt. The authors concluded that organic farming can produce reasonable wheat yields of high quality in the long term, using far fewer external inputs in the form of biological fertilizers and plant protection agents. The legume
in the rotation is important for stable wheat yields of high quality in organic farming. The present results of that experiment have shown better soil fertility, higher biodiversity, energy and nutrient efficiency in the organic system.

Grain mineral concentrations under organic and conventional management were examined by Ryan et al. (2004). Conventional management greatly increased yields but reduced colonisation by mycorrhizal fungi. While only minor variations occurred in grain N, K, Mg, Ca, S and Fe concentrations, organic grain had higher Zn and Cu but lower Mn and P than conventional grain. In conventional farming, soluble P fertilizer was used which increased P uptake but reduced mycorrhizal colonisation and thereby reduced Zn uptake but enhanced Mn uptake. Dilution of Cu in heavier crops and past lime applications on the organic farm decreased Mn availability. These variations in grain minerals had nutritional implications primarily favouring the organic grain, however, organic management did not induce dramatic increases in grain mineral concentrations. A study conducted by Alföldi et al. (1996) showed similar results: no marked differences but a trend for higher levels of Ca, Cu and Zn in organic barley.

Camerini et al. (2011) found no differences between 8 cultivars of organic and conventional durum wheat either, where several quality parameters such as ashes, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), yellow index, total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and DON (mycotoxin) content were analysed in two locations of Italy.

The majority of studies (Mäder et al., 2007; Mazzoncini et al., 2007; Tamm et al., 2009) and reviews (Woëse et al., 1997; Heaton, 2001, Worthington, 2001; Magkos et al., 2003) show a decreased protein content in organic cereals, whereas protein quality was found to be higher in organic than conventional cereals (Woëse et al., 1997; Heaton, 2001; Worthington, 2001; Magkos et al., 2003; Mäder et al., 2007; Tamm et al., 2009). However, a recent study doesn’t confirm this theory (Camerini et al., 2011). Increased protein level in conventionally grown crops is probably a result of higher nitrogen fertilization, prohibited in the organic farm system. A further trend indicates that the quantity of protein may be less but the quality may be better in organic than in conventional crops.

Several researches have indicated better baking quality in non-organically grown cereals (Heaton, 2001; Mazzoncini et al., 2007), whereas Mäder et al. (2007) detected that the quality of baked products obtained from conventionally and organically grown wheat was equally good. Ingver et al. (2009) reported that wheat which had used nitrogen of organic origin behaved differently in the baking process and the differences between varieties in dough development time and stability were more stable in organic wheat. Organoleptic evaluation has been in favour of organic production (Mazzoncini et al., 2007).

The summarized results of the studies showing the quality parameters in organic compared to conventional grain have been placed in table 7.

Conclusions
The nutritional value of food depends largely on its composition being appropriate for the proper functioning of the human body. According to the studies analyzed in this chapter, organic plant products contain generally more vitamin C and phenolic compounds. However, the level of carotenoids is often higher in conventional plant products. Studies show also higher content of dry matter,
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It can be concluded that organic grains have a lower amount, but a higher quality of proteins compared to conventional grains. The limited number of studies and different results prevent any general conclusions regarding the phenolic compounds, amino acids and mineral concentration of organically and conventionally grown cereals.

Due to increasing anthropogenic environmental pollution, more and more...
people suffer from so called lifestyle diseases, which include, e.g. allergies, diabetes, obesity, atherosclerosis, cancer. However, one of the reasons for the development of lifestyle diseases is also improper lifestyle and unhealthy eating habits. In addition, the nutritional value of food continues to deteriorate. For best results, adherence to a healthy diet should be linked with the consumption of food with the highest quality. Most of the studies show certain higher quality parameters of organic products compared to conventional ones and this indicates that organic produce may significantly contribute to the promotion of health.
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MILK

Milk and dairy products, due to their high dietary value, play a very important role in human nutrition. Unfortunately, their consumption by residents of developed countries has decreased over the last few years (Haug et al., 2004), mainly due to the numerous reports on the harmful effects of milk on human health. This is because it contains large amounts of saturated fatty acids, contributing to obesity and heart diseases (Pfeuffer and Schrezenmeir, 2000; Michalski, 2007). The reference to these publications should be made with caution, however, because milk is also a valuable source of bioactive substances that play a beneficial role in the fight against atherosclerosis, hypertension and diabetes (Severin and Wenshui, 2005; Palmquist et al., 2006). Consumption of milk and its products is therefore a prophylactic measure against lifestyle diseases. Differences in researchers’ opinions on its impact on the human body primarily result from differentiation of the market milk quality in terms of the content of biologically active compounds. These are their amounts and proportions which determine the value of the raw material.

The quality and composition of milk are influenced by several factors (Fennema, 1996). First of all, there is genetic predisposition, such as animal species and their breeds. Currently, different breeds of cattle are mainly the result of selection made by human, aiming at the target use of such an animal as well as adaptation to local conditions. This has led to a very wide diversity in terms of milk yield and composition. However, for the last 100 years the selection has led to a reduction of variation between the characteristics of milk from different breeds of cattle. Individual deviations may be also greater within one breed than between individuals of different breeds.

The second group of factors is physiological aspects. The most important among them is the stage of lactation as well as the age of the animal. The content of many components of milk slightly decreases along with the cow’s age. Factors, such as oestrous or pregnancy, do not affect the composition of milk; what depends on them is only milk yield of a cow in that state.

Properties of milk are mainly conditioned by environmental factors
Quality of Organic vs. Conventional Food and Effects on Health (Ng-Kwai-Hang et al., 1984). Among them, a nutrition method is essential, since it affects the content of fat, especially its composition. Climatic conditions are of little impact unless they are extreme and may cause animal stress. In addition, milking practice may involve changes in many ways in the composition of milk. The shorter the milking interval, the lower the cow’s productivity, and the milk contains more fat (Weiss et al., 2002). Therefore, milk from the evening milking is fattier than from the morning one (Quist et al., 2008).

Organic milk has an advantage over conventional milk in terms of nutrient content during the season of pasture grazing, and throughout the year it has a greater security for consumers (Butler et al., 2008). One of the reasons is the ban of the use of synthetic pesticides in organic farming. In conventional milk production the use of over a thousand different pesticides is permitted for the plant protection. Research conducted by the USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) has detected the presence of pyrethroid pesticides in 27% of conventional milk samples and among organic samples, only one sample has a low content of these substances (Benbrook, 2005). In conventional farms the antibiotics are often routinely and prophylactically given to animals. The question of the impact of antibiotic use in conventional cows’ husbandry on the increase in the resistance of the human body to these measures is being discussed. In certified organic farms such practices are prohibited. The use of synthetic hormones and genetically modified ingredients in feed is also not allowed in the organic system. The analysis of heavy metals in both types of milk showed no differences between them, detecting low contamination of all samples (Gabryszuk et al., 2008).

The chemical composition of milk is an indicator of quality, not only for its consumers, but also for processors. High protein content is important in the production of cheese, while high fat content is essential in butter manufacturing. Beta-carotene increases the intensity of butter colour, so it is also considered in the assessment of technological quality. For many dairy products, an important role is played by the antioxidant level, which avoids undesirable losses caused by excessive oxidation of fats (Abrahamsen et al., 2008). The degree of saturation of fatty acids, in turn, affects the hardness, texture and flavour of dairy products, especially cheese and butter (Chen et al., 2004). The presence of long chain saturated fatty acids increases the hardness of butter, while the milk with a high content of unsaturated fatty acids gives softer products (e.g., better spreadability of butter) (Butler et al., 2008).

Milk obtained from organic farms represents about 2% of total milk production in the EU (Agra Europe, 2007). A strong demand for such milk is also observed in the United States (Hale, 2006).

**Dry matter and proteins**

The dry matter of milk mainly consists of proteins and peptides. The largest share (80%) among these compounds belongs to casein, which is responsible for clot formation, making it possible to digest milk in the stomach and to transfer phosphorus and calcium (Haug et al., 2007). They also regulate blood pressure, have anticoagulation, hypnotic, immunomodulatory and anti-bacterial effects (Kitts, 2005). Whey proteins are considered to be the second largest fraction of pro-
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Proteins, important in a human diet due to the immunostimulatory (Korhonen et al., 2000), anticancer (Parodi, 2007), antioxidant (Pihlanto, 2006) and bacteriostatic activities (Kitts, 2005).

So far, several studies have compared the quality of milk from different production systems (table 8, pp. 56–57). Zadoks (1989) and Lund (1991) found in their studies that organic milk contains more dry matter, while Guinot-Thomas et al. (1991) reported this concentration comparable in both systems. For protein content, results of the studies have been also variable – the majority of the studies have shown the decreased content of protein in organic milk compared with conventional (Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991; Luukkonen et al., 2005; Roesch et al., 2005; Sloniewski et al., 2005). However, Lund (1991) showed higher content of proteins in organic milk and Zadoks (1989) found no differences between the systems.

**Fatty acids**

Dietary milk fats, on account of their higher content of saturated fatty acids (SFA), have long been associated with a variety of human diseases (Parodi, 1977), such as atherosclerosis and increased levels of blood cholesterol, which contributes to cardiovascular diseases (Pfeuffer and Schrezenmeir, 2000). However, recent studies have focussed on the healthy components of milk fats (Parodi, 1977). In terms of fat content, cow’s milk is very variable. The fraction is made up of approximately 95% of triacylglycerols, composed of fatty acids, whose chain length and saturation degree condition the nutritional value of milk fat. Among the unsaturated fatty acids, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) have beneficial effects on the human body. They positively impact the nervous system as well as reduce the risk of diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (Horrobin, 1993; Hu et al., 1999). The proportion between the amount of omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids is also important. If the acid content of the latter group is too high, it causes an increase in the risk of inflammation, thrombosis and autoimmune symptoms.

Among the n-3 (omega-3) fatty acids, the most important is alpha-linolenic acid (LNA), whereas among the n-6 (omega-6) fatty acids linoleic acid (LA) occurs in the largest quantities. As for monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), there should be mentioned oleic acid, which is about one quarter of the total mass of fatty acids. It acts protectively towards the omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids, preventing them from oxidation, as well as lowering cholesterol level and has anticancer effects (Ip, 1997; Kris-Etherton et al., 1999; Mensink et al., 2003).

An important part in the composition of cow’s milk is occupied by conjugated linoleic acid (CLA). The cow’s milk is the main source of the compound isomers in the human diet (Haug et al., 2004). The most important of them (representing approximately 90% CLA) is cis-9 trans-11 isomer, because it prevents the development of tumours, heart diseases, and stimulates the immune system (Whigham et al., 2000). It is called rumenic acid, since the rumen is where it is synthesised from linoleic acid. Other CLA isomers (trans-7 cis-9, trans-10 cis-12 and trans-9 cis-11) counteract obesity (by reducing fat and increasing muscle mass) and help to treat diabetes (Taylor and Zahradka, 2004). The content of CLA in milk fat is affected by a number of factors. First of all, it is the feed animals
are fed with (Parodi, 1999), then seasonal variations (Parodi, 1977), endogenous synthesis from trans-vaccenic acid (TVA) (Griinari et al., 2000) and free-radical oxidation of linoleic acid (LA) during processing (Ha et al., 1989).

The differences in the composition of fatty acids have been analysed by several authors. In accordance with the results of the studies by Ellis et al. (2006), organic milk was characterized by a significantly higher content of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), including omega-3 acids (the difference with respect to conventional milk was over 60%). The ratio of omega-6:omega-3 acids was therefore lower, i.e. more beneficial from a health point of view; there was also a greater amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids compared to monounsaturated ones. Butler and Leifert (2009) also arrived at such conclusions, on the basis of the studies conducted in Western Europe. In addition to the conclusions mentioned above, they stated that the value of the omega-6:omega-3 ratio in organic milk does not exceed 1.25, while in conventional milk it is not less than 2.5.

Factors associated with the feeding of cattle, and particularly the content of pasture green forage in the feed, are most likely responsible for differences in the proportions of fatty acids contained in milk. Dewhurst et al. (2003) demonstrated that the content of desirable unsaturated fatty acids in organic milk was higher by ⅔ compared to its conventional counterpart.

Collomb et al. (2008) compared the quality of the milk of cows from organic and integrated husbandry in Switzerland. Samples from the two production systems did not differ significantly in terms of content of SFA and trans fats. The organic milk, however, contained higher levels of PUFA (including omega-3 acids), branched chain fatty acids and CLA. The higher contents of MUFA and omega-6 acids were found in the conventional milk. These differences are thought to result from the increased share of grass and lower content of cereal mixtures in organic feed.

Qualitative changes in milk, resulting from the use of the organic production system, are also manifest in the concentration of CLA (Bergamo et al., 2003; Szente et al., 2006). The studies by Butler et al. (2008) showed that its amount may be higher by up to 60% compared to its content in non-organic milk. Several other studies have confirmed an increased level of CLA in organic cow’s and buffalo’s milk and dairy products (Chin et al., 1992; Lin et al., 1995; Jahreis et al., 1997; Prandini et al., 2001; Sloniewski et al., 2005). As in previous studies, this difference is explained by the greater share of grazing and roughage in the feed ration (Collomb et al., 2008). However, there are studies that have not shown any differences in CLA between milk from different production systems (Toledo et al., 2002; Nielsen et al., 2004; Ellis et al., 2006), and therefore it is important to conduct further and more accurate studies, consistent with the needs of the consumer.

The fatty acid profile in organic and conventional cow’s milk was compared in Estonia (Skvortsova et al., 2008). The results of the study showed that composition of fatty acids varied between production systems. Organic milk contained more omega-3 acid and CLA, but less omega-6 acids than conventional milk. The ratio of omega-6:omega-3 was in favour of organic milk. The authors concluded that the composition of fatty acids in milk depends greatly on the nutrition of animals, as well as on season and breed.
Vitamins

Milk contains also other healthy components for the human body, such as beta-carotene, vitamins A, D (Parodi, 1999), and vitamins E and C (Lindmark-Mansson and Akesson, 2000). The presence of vitamins A, D and beta-carotene is significant for a consumer, while they have an anticancer potential (Van Poppel, 1993; Parodi, 1999). Vitamins C and E act as antioxidants (Lindmark-Mansson and Akesson, 2000), vitamin E prevents the development of tumours and cardiovascular diseases (Meydani, 2000).

Bergamo et al. (2003) was first to investigate the differences between the contents of fat-soluble vitamins in dairy products from organic and conventional production. These studies showed higher contents of alpha-tocopherol (TH; vitamin E form), CLA, linolenic acid (omega-3 acid), beta-carotene in organic buffalo milk and mozzarella cheese (figure 12). The ratio of CLA/LA and the content of trans-vaccenic acid (TVA) were about twice as high in organic samples. However, in the milk and cheese from conventional production, higher levels of retinol (vitamin A form) and linoleic acid (omega-6 acid) were found. Total fat content was similar in both systems.

This study reveals that changes in the production of milk and its products do not change its total fat content, but substantially affect the proportions of its components. An important factor, which enables distinguishing products from different systems, is the ratio of CLA/LA whose values turned out to be radically different depending on the product’s origin. It can therefore serve as a reliable indicator for the identification of milk and its products from the organic production system.

Antioxidants, especially vitamin E and carotenoids, represent another argument for the consumption of milk from organic production. Their contents, found in organic cows’ milk, were higher, which again results from the fact that

![Figure 12. Mean percent of fatty acid, CLA/LA ratio and fat-soluble vitamin content in organic compared to conventional products. The percent differences of individual fatty acids (TVA, LA, CLA, C18:3), fat-soluble vitamin concentrations (TH, retinol, β-carotene) and CLA/LA level between organic and conventional products were computed (organic value−conventional value/conventional value×100) and used to evaluate which of the measured parameters best characterised organic milk fat (Bergamo et al., 2003).](image-url)
feeding of these cows is based on green pasture forage (Nielsen et al., 2004; Butler et al., 2008). This is confirmed by the results of research conducted under the QLIF program (Quality Low Input Food), according to which the level of antioxidants in organic milk was almost double compared to conventional milk (QLIF, 2008). As far as vitamin C is concerned, Lund (1991) found its higher level in organic milk compared to conventional.

Differences in the contents of individual vitamins have not been clearly ascertained. Swedish studies (Emanuelson and Fall, 2007), which included winter feeding of organic and conventional herds, showed no significant differences in the amount of vitamins contained in cow's milk. The experiment, however, was based on very similar feed rations (a mixture of clover and grass with large quantities of concentrated feed), which resulted in similar performance in both groups. Bergamo et al. (2003) and Butler et al. (2008) found differences in the amount of fat-soluble vitamins, but they resulted from the use of grazing pasture, which took place in organic and extensive farming, in contrast to the conventional system. This comparison does not concern as much farming systems as the method of feeding the animals.

Minerals
Milk is the main source of calcium in the human diet. This element is an essential factor for the proper functioning of the skeletal system, prevention of hypertension and formation of kidney stones and breast and colon tumours (McDowell, 2003). In addition, milk contains large quantities of magnesium, which prevents arteriosclerosis, oxidative stress and renal diseases. Milk is also an important source of the microelements, zinc, iodine and selenium.

Organic production prohibits the use of conventional mineral supplements, making the content of these components generally higher in conventional milk. The studies by Coonan et al. (2002), indicating a shortage of copper, selenium, zinc, iodine and molybdenum in organic milk. Hermansen et al., (2005) reported lower levels of Ba, Eu, Mn and Zn in organic than conventional milk. Guinot-Thomas et al. (1991) found in their study that content of Ca, K, Fe, Cu is comparable in both systems and content of Zn, N is lower in organic than in conventional milk. However, some studies found higher calcium content (Zadoks, 1989; Lund, 1991) and higher molybdenum content (Hermansen et al., 2005) in organic milk.

Somatic cells and microbiological quality
A good indicator of the quality of milk, as well as an indicator of health of cows, is somatic cell count – SCC. A high count indicates the presence of udder inflammation and lower milk quality. The study results in terms of somatic cell count in milk from organic production are varied. Studies conducted in Denmark showed no differences in this respect between conventional and organic milk whereby the longer the cows were reared in an organic system the lower the SCC indicator was (Bennedsgaard et al., 2003). Norwegian studies (Hardeng and Edge, 2001) also showed no differences in the SCC, but in the organic herd there were less frequent udder inflammations. In turn, the studies by Tikofsky et al. (2003), conducted in New York, by Toledo et al. (2002) and Sato et al. (2005) showed that
milk from organic cows contained fewer somatic cells compared to conventional herds. It is believed that lower milk productivity of organic cows can result in less frequent occurrence of mastitis, because the frequency of metabolic disorders, such as ketosis and milk fever, is much lower in organic herds (Vaarst and Enevoldsen, 1997; Hardeng and Edge, 2001). In contrast, the results of the studies of Luukkonen et al. (2005), Roesch et al. (2005), Ellis et al. (2006) and Nauta et al. (2006) showed a higher somatic cell count in organic milk.

Karwowska (1999) conducted a study of microbiological quality of milk from Polish organic and conventional farms. She recorded the number of bacteria of greatest concern to consumers. The experience showed that the most pathogenic groups of bacteria: Streptococcus agalactiae (causing loss of cows’ milk) and Streptococcus aureus (responsible for milk coagulation) occurred in greater amounts in conventional milk. However, conventional milk contained the lower number of E. coli and Neisseria sp. (Karwowska, 1999).

The comparisons of microbiological indicators in milk samples from both production systems were also made in the Netherlands (Zadoks, 1989). The milk of cows from conventional husbandry contained significantly higher amounts of the bacteria resistant to high temperatures, butyric acid bacteria, aerobic bacteria and the bacteria responsible for mastitis (Mastitis streptococci). However, organic milk contained significantly higher amounts of coli group bacteria, which may indicate the risk of other pathogenic bacteria.

**Sensory quality**

Studies of the sensory quality of milk clearly show the advantage of conventional milk. The research by Zadoks (1989) confirms this fact, showing a greater raw material acceptability among consumers. A specific odour of cow’s milk is primarily responsible for this assessment – it is much more intense in the case of organic milk. Modern consumers are not accustomed to such properties, preferring ‘carton’ milk of neutral smell, commonly available on the market. Currently, there is also a tendency to consume milk with reduced fat content. Some studies show that organic milk tends to have a higher fat percentage (Zadoks, 1989; Lund, 1991; Ellis et al., 2006), which considering the fact that fat is the main carrier of flavour significantly affects its organoleptic characteristics. However, some studies have demonstrated a decreased fat content in organic milk (Luukkonen et al., 2005; Roesch et al., 2005; Słoniewski et al., 2005) or no differences between production systems (Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991; Bergamo et al., 2003).

**Conclusions**

Organic milk has a beneficial fatty acid composition (including a high content of CLA and omega-3 acids), high levels of vitamins and antioxidants, acting as an important part of human health prophylaxis. In most studies, organic milk has a better ratio of omega-6:omega-3 and the ratio of CLA/LA, which may serve as a reliable indicator, allowing the identification of the raw material origin.

Due to the ban on the use of mineral supplements and fertilizers in organic farming, milk from such production may be deficient in specific macro-and microelements. The milk of animals from the organic system is worse assessed by consumers due to the specific organoleptic characteristics, especially the odour.
Table 8. The comparison of selected quality indicators of organic and conventional milk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dry matter</strong></td>
<td>Higher in ORG milk</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher in ORG milk</td>
<td>Lund, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protein</strong></td>
<td>Higher in ORG milk</td>
<td>Lund, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Luukkonen et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Roesch et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Sloniewski et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total fat</strong></td>
<td>Higher in ORG milk</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher in ORG milk</td>
<td>Lund, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher in ORG milk</td>
<td>Ellis et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Luukkonen et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Roesch et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Sloniewski et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fatty acids</strong></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Jahreis et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Sloniewski et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Butler et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Lin et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Chin et al., 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Prandini et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of CLA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Skvortsova et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content of CLA</td>
<td>Nielsen et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content of CLA</td>
<td>Ellis et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content of CLA</td>
<td>Toledo et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher CLA/LA ration in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Dewhurst et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Sloniewski et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Ellis et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 acid in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 acid in ORG milk</td>
<td>Skvortsova et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 acid in ORG milk</td>
<td>Butler and Leifert, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio n-6:n-3 lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Butler and Leifert, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio n-6:n-3 lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Ellis et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio n-6:n-3 lower in ORG milk</td>
<td>Skvortsova et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of SFA and MUFA, in ORG milk</td>
<td>Sloniewski et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of MUFA in ORG milk</td>
<td>Ellis et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of n-6 acid in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of n-6 in ORG milk</td>
<td>Skvortsova et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of trans-vaccenic acid in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minerals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Lund, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca, K, Fe, Cu</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Hermansen et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, N</td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Guinot-Thomas et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu, Se, Zn, I, Mo</td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Coonan et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba, Eu, Mn, Zn</td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Hermansen et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vitamins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E form)</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E form)</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Butler et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk in case of natural isomers, lower level in case of synthetic isomers</td>
<td>Nielsen et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Lund, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (vitamin A form)</td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Nielsen et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotenoids</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Butler et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-carotene</td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Bergamo et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of vitamins</td>
<td>Similar content in winter season</td>
<td>Emanuelson and Fall, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Somatic cells</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Tikofsky et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Toledo et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Sato et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Ellis et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Nauta et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Luukkonen et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level in ORG milk</td>
<td>Roesch et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Bennedsgaard et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Hardeng and Edge, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbiological quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower counts of bacteria in ORG milk</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher content of Coli bacteria in ORG milk</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower number of <em>Streptococcus agalactiae</em> and <em>Streptococcus aureus</em> in ORG milk</td>
<td>Karwowska, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher number of <em>E. coli</em> and <em>Neisseria</em> sp. in ORG milk</td>
<td>Karwowska, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensory profile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower acceptability of ORG milk</td>
<td>Zadoks, 1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORG – organic milk; PUFA – polyunsaturated fatty acids; CLA – conjugated linoleic acid; n-6 – omega-6 fatty acids; MUFA – monounsaturated fatty acids; SFA – saturated fatty acids; n-3 – omega-3 fatty acids;
Analyzing the quoted results, it can be concluded that the biological value of milk to the greatest extent is conditioned by feeding the animals. Better properties – from a dietary point of view – are typical of the milk of animals grazed on pasture and fed with fresh green feed. The use of preserved roughage and concentrated feed results in lower levels of ingredients beneficial to consumers’ health. Therefore, there should be emphasised a distinct advantage of organic and extensive farms, which promote pastoral grazing and less reduced feeding of concentrate. This conclusion is confirmed by the fact that the differences in the nutritional quality of milk from different production systems are much less pronounced during winter (Butler et al., 2008), when cows do not graze in any system and feed rations are similar. This has been shown by Butler and Leifert (2009), and Rosati and Aumaitre (2004), who point out that reliable comparative studies of the quality of milk from different production systems should take into account the feeding season (grazing or cowshed feeding) and the composition of the feed ration. Ignoring these circumstances, the authors of studies conducted in different countries and different periods can achieve contradictory results.

**MEAT**

Standards for organic livestock husbandry and its control method are of the legal provision rank. Currently, within this scope, in the European Union there are regulations included in the overall Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 as of 28 June 2007 on organic production, labelling of organic products, and in the detailed Commission Regulation (EC) No. 889/2008 as of 5 September 2008 laying down detailed principles for implementing Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products with regard to organic production, labelling and control. Principles of organic livestock husbandry relate to their welfare (density in a farm unit and access to an outdoor run, the presence of natural bedding, possibility of movement), feeding (use of organic feed, ban on synthetic additives), animal breeding and maintenance conditions (selection of breed, compliance with the age of weaning and slaughter). In addition, organic livestock production is carried out without the use of antibiotics (except to save the animal’s life and the absence of other therapeutic agents), hormones, genetically modified organisms and their products. Feed is produced according to standards for organic crop production, in which, instead of pesticides and synthetic fertilizers, natural organic fertilizers (compost, manure), green manures and biological plant protection products are used. Processing of organic raw materials is carried out by using methods that protect their nutritional value, without the use of artificial preservatives, colourings and other additives.

According to data collected by Napolitano et al. (2009), the share of beef cattle from organic farming in the total stock within the European Union is 1.7% and amounts to approximately 1 million units (the most abundant stocks are in Austria and Italy). In the case of pigs, this share is much lower (0.4%), ca. 450 thousand, with the highest occurrence in Germany and France. Goats and sheep
are the most represented group of animals in organic livestock production, as their share in the total stock within the EU is 2.4% with 2.4 million units.

Demand for organic meat among inhabitants of the European Union still exceeds supply. This situation is borne by high legal requirements placed on organic farms, which farmers have to adapt to. These requirements entail high financial outlays and difficulties that are not quite as onerous as in the case of crop production. The other reason is the small number of certified slaughterhouses, and therefore the processing of organic meat is limited.

For a modern consumer, who has developed organic awareness, a basic discriminant of valuable food products is quality. This term has a complex nature as a sum of many factors. First of all, it is health safety specified by hygienic and toxicological indicators (content of environmental impurities, mycotoxins, bacteria and parasites). This is especially important for consumers, whose confidence in the sector of the food industry in recent years has been strained by crises. There are also other aspects like sensory discriminants (taste, smell, colour, and tenderness), physiological and nutritional parameters, and technological features of meat (Andersen, 2000; Cooper et al., 2007). The meat quality is conditioned by such factors as type of feed for animals, animal husbandry system (including a physical activity), breed, age and sex of animals as well as the course of post-slaughter activities (bleeding out, steaming and cooling of carcasses) (Troy, 1995; Kerry et al., 2000).

**Beef**

Ruminant meat is especially valuable, since numerous studies have shown that the ratio of polyunsaturated fatty acids n-6:n-3 (omega-6:omega-3) is much lower compared to other kinds of meat. The composition of fatty acids is a very important factor conditioning a nutritional value. Originally, meat consumed by man was naturally rich in n-3 acids, which are beneficial in terms of anti-inflammatory properties, reducing the risk of heart attack (Bucher et al., 2002), breast, prostate and colorectal cancer (Deckere, 1999; Augustsson et al., 2003).

However, in the past, the content of n-6 acids (increasing the risk of atherosclerosis lesions and development of cancerous lesions) was lower than in currently available meat of breeding animals. In a human diet, the proportion of n-6:n-3 acids amounted to approx. 1:1. Nowadays, due to industrial production of animal feed (based on numerous grains including n-6 acids), the proportion may come to 1:30 (Berrisch-Hempen, 1995). N-6 fatty acids appear in quantities significantly higher than n-3 acids (Enser et al., 1998).

Studies by Pastushenko et al. (2000) showed a lower concentration of saturated fatty acids (22.4%) in organic beef compared to conventional beef (40%). In the meat of animals fed with their mother’s milk, and then pastured and hay-fed in winter, there was found a lower content of saturated fatty acids compared to the meat of heifers fed with a milk-substitute mix, feed concentrates and small amounts of hay.

In ruminant meat from organic farms the proportion of n-6:n-3, however, is much more favourable. It results from a high concentration of linolenic acid (omega-3), the high content of which can be found in grass (Wood et al., 1999 and 2003). This has been confirmed by the results of the studies by Marmer et
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al. (1984) and Matthesa and Pastushenko (1999), when a diet of animals was switched from a pasture system into grain mix. These changes caused a decrease in the content of polyunsaturated fatty acids (in particular linolenic acid) and consequently increase in the ratio of acids n-6:n-3.

Walshe et al. (2006) compared bull meat from organic and conventional farming. Organic meat samples were characterised by a considerably higher content of fat and consequently lower moisture than conventional ones. However, there were no significant differences observed between both kinds of meat in terms of the content of proteins, ash, beta-carotene, alpha-tocopherol, retinol as well as the content of fatty acids. However, the conventional meat showed a better storage quality, since its samples had better colour and lipid stability during storage compared to the organic meat samples. It probably results from the fact that organic bull meat included more fat, which caused more intensive oxidation of the samples.

Fat is the main carrier of flavour, so that differences in sensory evaluation of organic and conventional beef are mainly caused by different fatty acid composition. This evaluation is also influenced by the content of intramuscular fat (so called marbling of meat) and total fat which was higher in organic beef in the study of Woodward and Fernandez (1999). However, Fisher et al. (2000) and Hansson et al. (2000) found lower content of total fat in organic beef.

Pork

Recently the interest in pork from organic farms has been growing – especially in England, Germany and Denmark (Hamm and Gronefeld, 2004). However, there has been stated a great discrepancy within the quality of the meat obtained, the responsibility of which was borne by differentiation of feed admitted within the organic system, species changeability and slaughter methods. In this connection there were undertaken some efforts to define optimum husbandry conditions (under the guidelines by the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements), which would allow increasing capacity and maintenance of a high meat quality (Guy and Edwards, 2002).

An organoleptic assessment of pork – as in beef – is largely influenced by the content of intramuscular fat (Fernandez et al., 1999). However, the results of the studies, comparing swine carcasses from organic and conventional farms, are ambiguous. Hansen et al. (2006) showed that animals from both systems reached a similar mass, presented a similar fat-free body mass and content of intramuscular fat. Bee et al. (2004) reported different results, according to which fat-free body mass was higher in organic meat. Similarly, to Bee et al. (2004), in the studies of Sundrum et al. (2000; 2003) and Millet et al. (2004), fat-free body mass and marbling level of organic meat was higher. However, drawing conclusions is hindered by the fact that the animals in the above studies were fed differently. The experiment by Hansen et al. (2006) was based on organic feed, including 70% of concentrates and 30% of silage. In the experiments by Sundrum et al. (2000 and 2003) and Millet et al. (2004) the diet of animals from organic farms was more diverse, since it contained grains of wheat, barley, broad bean, pea and lupine, the share of concentrates in feed was small. It allows us to believe that a diet composition is responsible for the content of intramuscular fat of pork. However,
the study by Olsson et al. (2003) found a lower content of intramuscular fat and lower fat-free body mass and higher content of total fat in the case of organic animals. It is believed that appropriate selection of animal breeds, adapted to local conditions, should sort out the problem of lower daily mass gains, which is being observed in the case of organic pigs.

The results of the studies indicate an advantage of organic pork in terms of a high level of polyunsaturated fatty acids and a lower content of saturated ones (Hansen et al., 2000; Nilzen et al., 2001; Hansen et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2009).

In terms of the technological quality of organic pork, the research findings are not consistent. According to Olsson et al. (2003), organic pork has a lower water holding capacity and a greater shear strength, which makes it more difficult to process. However, completely different results were obtained by Kim et al. (2009) whose studies showed organic pork to have a higher water holding capacity and lower shear strength.

**Poultry**

Castellini et al. (2002) and Combes et al. (2003a) analysed quality parameters of poultry from organic and conventional farms. The first study concerned a comparison of chicken meat. In organic farming, broilers had access to the outside, whereas in the conventional system they were raised in cages. Feed for organic chickens consisted of organic raw materials. Lower mass gains and lower post-slaughter mass from the breast and thigh meat were found with respect to organic chickens. But their meat was characterized by lower abdominal fat and higher levels of iron. In the researchers’ opinion, the lower total body mass and lower mass gains of the organic chickens were caused by their greater activity (confirmed by the behavioural observations) and larger energy expenditures related to thermoregulation. Analyses of the chemical composition of poultry from both production systems showed a higher content of saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids as well as lower content of monounsaturated ones in the organic meat. Significant differences were found especially in the amount of n-3 acids, including docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), the level of which was twice higher in organic than in conventional chicken meat. Most likely, this is caused by the grass present in the animals’ diet.

Lower pH values and poorer organic poultry capacity for water-binding were linked to greater losses while cooking. The above mentioned dependence between a farming method and meat pH was not confirmed by the studies of Combes et al. (2003a). However, in both experiments a lower content of total fat in organic was found, which attests its higher nutritional value (Castellini et al., 2002; Combes et al., 2003a).

A study where a sensory panel had taken part revealed that the organic chicken breast meat was juicier, and generally tasted better than the meat from the same part of conventional chickens.

**Mutton and lamb**

Fisher et al. (2000) stated that both organic and conventional farming can give a similar slaughter yield, but that organic mutton has better quality properties. Mutton showed similar proportions of fatty acids as beef, in favour of organic
meat; higher content of intramuscular fat and lower content of total fat. Three sheep breeds were submitted to the study (Welsh Mountain, Sojas and Suffolk), which showed that appropriate breed selection is responsible for gaining desirable yield.

Angood et al. (2008) compared the composition of fatty acids and a nutritional quality of organic and conventional lamb, offered on the British market. The study presented significant differences, i.e., organic meat had a higher content of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and showed a better nutritional quality in terms of juiciness, tastiness and general acceptability compared to the lamb available on the market. A greater juiciness resulted from a higher content of intramuscular fat in organic lamb chops. A greater tastiness, preferred by the British consumers, can be attributed to the difference in the fatty acids composition, in particular a higher content of linolenic acid (18:3) and total content of n-3 acids in organic meat chops (as a result of pasture feeding). Conventional meat contained more linoleic acid (18:2) (n-6 acid), which probably resulted from concentrate predominance in the diet. Both kinds of meat, however, showed a favourable proportion of n-6:n-3 acids (Angood et al., 2008).

**Rabbit meat**

The quality of rabbit meat is quite rarely brought up in analytical and sensory studies. Nevertheless, it is hard to understand, since – according to many authors (Combes, 2004; Dalle Zotte, 2004; Combes and Dalle Zotte, 2005; Hernández and Gondret, 2006) – rabbit meat shows great nutritional value than other kinds of meat. Due to the fact that there are many rabbit breeds as well as breeding methods, a reliable comparison of the meat quality of rabbits from organic and conventional farms is really complicated. That is why there are very few publications on this topic.

In the studies by Lebas et al. (2002), rabbits of the same age from both systems were slaughtered. The analysis showed a slightly higher ratio of the cooled carcass weight to the pre-slaughter weight, a more alkaline reaction of the muscles and a higher fat content of organic rabbits.

Combes et al. (2003a) compared organic and conventional meat of the rabbit of similar weight but different age. Carcass weight ratio to the weight of the rear carcass was higher, and the fat content was lower in organic rabbits; cooking losses were lowest in the limbs of the organic doe-rabbit, and highest in conventional rabbits; the amount of inter-tissue meat in the organic meat was lower. In further studies, Combes et al. (2003b) compared organic and conventional rabbits of similar weight but different age in terms of sensory quality. A trained sensory panel was able to distinguish, using the triangle test, organic meat from conventional one (83.3% of correct answers). Evaluation of the central part of the meat, conducted by the trained sensory panel, showed that organic rabbit meat was more tender than conventional meat. Raw meat of the hind limbs of organic rabbits had a higher caloric content, toughness and shear strength.

Pla et al. (2007) analysed a composition of fatty acids in rabbit meat from organic and conventional husbandry systems. Hind leg meat of organic rabbits contained a higher content of polyunsaturated fatty acids and a lower level of monounsaturated ones compared to the same parts of conventional animals. The
concentration of saturated fatty acids turned out to be similar for both types of meat. From the nutritional point of view, the advantage belonged to organic rabbit meat, since the ratio of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acids was higher. The above differences in chemical composition are explained by dissimilar production systems where a crucial role is most likely played by diet and age of slaughter (Pla et al., 2007), because the animal breeds were the same in both groups.

In following research, Pla (2008) compared organic and conventional rabbits of different slaughter age and weight, raised under the national standard in Spain. According to the author, the age differentiation did not have any impact on differences found in the case of organic vs. conventional carcasses for the following parameters: organic meat contained more polyunsaturated and n-6 and n-3 fatty acids, but less saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids. The indicator of polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acids was higher in organic meat which is preferable from a nutritional point of view. However, the proportion of n-6:n-3 fatty acids was higher in organic meat, and therefore it was less favourable considering the nutritional value. Organic rabbit meat included less protein and a lower content of total fat. Sensory studies showed lower intensity of anise and grassy flavours in organic meat, but higher intensity of hepatic flavour (which in the light of consumer preferences can be detrimental – but so far studies have shown that the taste of meat can be modified by proper selection of feed).

Table 9 shows summarized results of the studies comparing organic and conventional meat.

Conclusions
Meat from organic farms is characterized by positive quality properties, such as the favourable ratio of fatty acids – higher content of omega-3 and lower content of saturated fatty acids. Better sensory evaluation of organic meat is conditioned by a higher intramuscular fat content than in conventional meat.

A key factor influencing the content of bioactive substances in meat is animal feeding – the nutritional value of meat is much higher if fresh green pasture forage dominates the feed rations.
### Table 9. The comparison of selected quality indicators of organic and conventional meat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of meat</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beef</strong></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 in ORG meat Lower level of n-6 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Pastushenko et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 in ORG meat Lower level of n-6 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Enser et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Marmer et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Matthesa and Pastushenko, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Waishe et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta-carotene</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha-tocopherol</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retinol</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Storage quality</td>
<td>Worse in case of ORG meat due to worse colour and lipid stability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Hansson et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td>Woodward and Fernandez, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carcass mass</td>
<td>Lower in ORG system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pork</strong></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td>Hansen et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td>Hansen et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG meat Lower level of SFA in ORG meat</td>
<td>Nilzen et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG meat Lower level of SFA in ORG meat</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fat-free body mass</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Sundrum and Acosta, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fat-free body mass</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Sundrum et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fat-free body mass</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Bee et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fat-free body mass</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Hansen et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fat-free body mass</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td>Olsson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Olsson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Sundrum and Acosta, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Sundrum et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Millet et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Similar content</td>
<td>Hansen et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td>Olsson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water holding capacity</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td>Olsson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water holding capacity</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Kim et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carcass mass</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td>Olsson et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of meat</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of SFA in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of MUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 acid (in particular DHA) in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal fat</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meat pH</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass gains</td>
<td>Lower in ORG system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-slaughter mass</td>
<td>Lower in ORG system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutton and lamb</td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Fisher et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of n-6 in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-3 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Angood et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No differences in n-6:n-3 ratio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramuscular fat</td>
<td>Higher in ORG meat</td>
<td>Fisher et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Lower in ORG meat</td>
<td>Fisher et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensory profile</td>
<td>ORG meat preferred</td>
<td>Fisher et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensory profile</td>
<td>Greater juiciness, flavour and general acceptability of ORG meat</td>
<td>Angood et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit meat</td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of PUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td>Pla et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of MUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comparable SFA concentration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher PUFA:SFA ratio in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Higher level of n-6 and n-3 in ORG meat</td>
<td>Pla, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher PUFA:SFA ratio in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher n-6:n-3 ratio in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of SFA in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower level of MUFA in ORG meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Lower for ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Pla, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Lower for ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Combes et al., 2003ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total fat</td>
<td>Higher for ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Lebas et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Lower for ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Pla, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More Met and Cys in ORG rabbit meat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meat pH</td>
<td>Higher for ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Lebas et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carcass mass</td>
<td>Higher for ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Combes et al., 2003ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sensory profile</td>
<td>More hepatic flavour and less anise and grassy flavours of ORG meat</td>
<td>Pla, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensory profile</td>
<td>More tender meat of ORG rabbits</td>
<td>Combes et al., 2003ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORG – organic meat; PUFA – polyunsaturated fatty acids; MUFA – monounsaturated fatty acids; SFA – saturated fatty acids; n-3 – omega-3 fatty acid; n-6 – omega-6 fatty acid.
EGGS

Eggs have been important in the human diet for thousands of years. Over the years, eggs have become an essential ingredient in many cuisines, owning many functional properties, such as water holding, emulsifying, foaming. It can be stated that egg is an ideal source of nutrients containing many high quality functional nutrients, such fatty acids, essential amino acids, proteins, cholesterol, vitamins, minerals etc., for the human body (McNamara and Thesmar, 2005). The primary aim of the laying hen is not to produce high-value food for human but to give rise to new life. Therefore, avian eggs contain the basic elements for life, and many of the egg compounds have so-called biological activity. Consequently, hen eggs are very good potential sources of raw materials for health-promoting, so-called functional foods, as well as for the traditional food and pharmaceutical industries (Huopalahti et al., 2007).

Layers can be kept in different systems. The majority of egg production is carried out using a battery cage system, where layers live in cages and cannot move freely. In barn systems, the hens are not kept in cages, but have freedom and space to move around, stretch and exercise within a building. Free-range hens live in buildings similar to the barn system, but hens must always have access to an outside area with adequate vegetation during the daytime.

According to the Commission Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 of 5 September 2008 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products with regard to organic production, labelling and control, organic poultry has specific housing requirements. Organic poultry must be kept in a free range system and fed only organic feed. Laying hens must have free access to the outdoors. Organic egg producers cannot use antibiotics except during an infectious outbreak.

Different systems vary in how the birds are housed, fed and managed. Many studies have investigated how different housing systems affect the quality of eggs. Some studies have shown that the nutrition and husbandry system of the hens may significantly affect the quality of eggs.

Fatty acids

The content of essential fatty acids (FA) in eggs is not genetically encoded but rather reflects the hen’s fatty acid diet. About 20% of total fat is comprised of essential fatty acids. This ratio depends significantly on the composition of the feed. A study by Sindelar et al. (2004) indicated that the consumption of one omega-3 enriched egg elevated the serum levels of linolenic acid and triglycerides in humans. Particularly, omega-3 fatty acids mainly found in phospholipids, are considered to be an essential nutrient for brain function and visual acuity in humans (Maki et al., 2003).

Poupoulis et al. (2009) determined the FA composition of egg yolks from farms using conventional, organic, free-range and omega-3 supplemented feeding. Results showed that the farming system significantly influenced the polyunsaturated fatty acid content, total omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids as well as the ratios of PUFA:SFA (polyunsaturated fatty acids:saturated fatty acids) and omega-6:omega-3 fatty acids. These researchers concluded that eggs produced
with omega-3 supplemented feed had the best FA profile, followed by organic farms.

Results on this issue are not, however, completely consistent. Fatty acid composition of commercially available conventional, organic and omega-3 eggs was compared by Samman et al. (2009). Organic egg yolk contained a higher percentage of palmitic and stearic acids (SFA) than did conventional yolk. No differences were observed in MUFA and PUFA compositions between organic and conventional systems. Compared with organic and conventional eggs, omega-3 egg yolk contained lower percentages of myristic and palmitic acids and higher omega-3 fatty acids. The researchers concluded that the small differences in SFAs observed in the study were unlikely to have any significant health effects on the consumer.

Hidalgo et al. (2008), however, saw no differences in fatty acid composition among conventional caged, free range, barn or eggs produced organically. Similarly, no significant differences in the content of fatty acids were found by Estonian (Matt et al., 2009) and Italian (Rizzi et al., 2006) researchers on the impact of production system (organic and conventional) on the quality of chicken eggs.

The content and ratio of fatty acids depend on the composition of the feed (Seuss-Baum, 2007). It is unclear whether the rearing system affects the fatty acid composition in eggs, but it is evident that enrichment of the diet with fatty acids, increases the content of fatty acids in eggs.

**Carotenoids**

Carotenoids are the natural pigments of hen egg yolk. They confer its yellow color, which can go from a very pale yellow to a dark brilliant orange. Carotenoids represent less than 1% of yolk lipids. They are mainly carotene and xanthophylls (lutein, cryptoxanthin, and zeaxanthin). Carotene is the main carotenoid found in common hen feeds such as corn and alfalfa (Anton, 2007). Economically the color is important because it represents a quality criterion. Conventional egg producers make an effort to produce eggs whose yolk have rich yellow colour and therefore use feed supplementation (for example using synthetic xanthophylls).

Organic feed differs from conventional feed. Furthermore, inherent to the production system, free range and organic hens have the opportunity to forage in soils, picking up grubs, beetles, worms, grasses, and seeds as well as their commercial ration in mash or pellet form. Enhancement of feed with particular carotenoids in certain production systems may also affect egg composition.

In a recent study by Ruth et al. (2011) the carotenoid profiling in organic and conventional eggs was compared. Statistical tests revealed insufficient variation in concentration of carotenoids to separate the organic, free range, and barn eggs into three groups. Organic eggs differed significantly from the other two for a number of carotenoids. Significantly higher concentrations of lutein, zeaxanthin, and significant lower relative concentrations of canthaxanthin were determined in organic eggs in comparison with non-organic eggs. The results are in agreement with the study of Schlatterer and Breithaupt (2006) which revealed increased lutein concentrations in organic eggs compared to conventional eggs. When comparing free range and barn only, without considering the organic
eggs, these two types of eggs showed significant differences for b-cryptoxanthin and citranaxanthin.

A very clear effect of the housing system on yolk colour was found by Vandenberg et al. (2004) and Dvorak et al. (2010) in their study. A darker yolk colour in the free range system compared with the cage system was determined, because of the possibility of consuming carotenoids rich grass or herbs. Castellini et al. (2006) and Rizzi et al. (2006) reported similar results. Egg yolk colour was darker in the organic free range system compared with the eggs of conventional cage birds. Mugnai et al. (2009) and Karadas et al. (2005) found statistically higher content of carotenoids and hence darker yolk colour in organically produced and free range eggs than in eggs from caged hens. The more outdoor spaces hens had, the better the results were.

To the contrary, Hidalgo et al. (2008) observed the tendency for lower value of egg yolk colour and the content of beta-carotene in organic eggs than in cage, barn and free range hen eggs. The same concluded Minelli et al. (2007) when they found that organic yolks are paler and conventional ones had more redness.

Statistically no difference or slightly more intensive egg yolk colour was found in eggs produced in the cage system than in free range systems (Dukic-Stojcic et al., 2009).

Dietary factors affect yolk pigmentation considerably. Dose and type of product applied are important. Most of the studies show that eggs from organically-fed (and free-range) have more carotenoids in the yolk. This is because of access to grass and other substances rich in carotenoid pigments.

**Cholesterol**

Cholesterol is a naturally occurring sterol and is considered to be one of the most important sterols in the body (Akoh and Min, 1998). Cholesterol in eggs plays an important role in the development of the embryo. It is a structural component of cell membranes and precursor for hormones, vitamin D, and bile acids (Anton, 2007). The amount of cholesterol in eggs and its contribution to the total human uptake of cholesterol is still a matter of contention among nutritionists. Recent studies, however, indicate that cholesterol from eggs does not have a negative effect on serum cholesterol levels (Hu et al., 1999, 2001) and that there is no significant relationship between dietary cholesterol intake from eggs and coronary heart disease incidence. McNamara (2000) reached the conclusion that the increased risk associated with an extremely high dietary cholesterol intake, as determined by epidemiological observations, may not be due to the dietary cholesterol but rather to the absence of multiple nutrients (e.g., fiber, antioxidant vitamins, and polyunsaturated fatty acids) in diets high in animal products.

The mean content of cholesterol was 30% greater in organic eggs compared with conventional eggs (Matt et al., 2009). This result was in agreement with Minelli et al. (2007) study where cholesterol content was significantly higher in organic eggs.

Rizzi et al. (2006) compared outdoor, cage and organic systems and found no differences in cholesterol content of egg yolks. Similar results were indicated by Milinsk et al. (2003).

The cholesterol concentration in eggs depends on the breed and age of lay-
ers, on management and on nutrition (Föster and Flock, 1997) and partly on synthesis in the liver during the synthesis of lipoproteins. Due to the lack of information and different results of studies, it is difficult to conclude that the content of cholesterol in eggs is affected considerably by the production system.

**Proteins**
The high content of highly bioavailable protein in eggs is of great benefit to human nutrition (Anton, 2007). Hidalgo et al. (2008) reported the higher concentration of proteins in organic, free range and barn eggs compared with the cage system. Minelli et al. (2007) compared organic and cage rearing systems and found similarly to Hidalgo et al. (2008) significantly higher content on protein in organic eggs. By contrast, Matt et al. (2009) showed lower protein concentration in organically produced eggs.

**Vitamins**
Eggs are recognized as a major source of vitamins in the diet. The fat-soluble vitamins are found almost exclusively in the egg yolk and most of the water soluble vitamins as well. The concentration of vitamins is influenced by genetics, rate of egg production and, as is the case with fatty acids, with the composition of the hen's diet (Naber, 1993; Leeson and Caston, 2003). As the concentration of fat-soluble vitamins in the feed increases, so does the content of vitamins in the egg yolk (Sirri and Barroeta, 2007). Numerous publications have shown successful ways of manipulating the concentration of these substances in eggs, e.g., of vitamin E (Flachowsky et al., 2000; Galobart et al., 2002; Jeroch et al., 2002) and of vitamin D (Mattila et al., 2003). Egg yolk is one of the few foods that naturally contains vitamin D (Holick, 2002). According to several studies by Mattila et al. (1999, 2003, 2004) the vitamin D₃ content of eggs is proportional to the level of added vitamin D₃ in hen feed. However, for some vitamins, such as vitamin A, the liver acts as a reservoir so that the concentration in the yolk is buffered against large changes in the diet (Naber, 1979).

Tocopherols are bioactive compounds that have a relevant effect on human health (Mugnai et al., 2008). Lopez-Bote et al. (1998) reported that eggs from free-range hens have a higher alpha-tocopherol content than eggs from hens fed a commercial mixed diet. Mugnai et al. (2008) found higher alpha-tocopherol content in organically (with extra outdoor space) produced and cage eggs than in normal organic eggs. This was due to the large intake of grass rich in alpha-tocopherol (Lopez-Bote et al., 1998) in organic-extra space system and in the cage system due to the dietary supplementation of D-L-alpha-tocopheryl acetate (Meluzzi et al, 2000). Hens have the capacity to transfer alpha-tocopherol from feed to the egg which has a strong antioxidant effect (Cherian et al., 1996ab).

In the Matt et al. (2009) study the vitamin content of egg yolk showed significant differences between housing systems. Compared with organic, the concentration of retinol (vitamin A) was higher in conventional egg yolk. The contents of alpha-tocopherol, gamma-tocopherol and vitamin D₃ in the yolk were significantly greater in conventional than in organic eggs. A significantly higher level of beta-tocopherol was found in the organic system eggs. No differences between farming systems were found in the content of delta-tocopherol.
Several studies have found that egg content significantly depends on layers’ nutrition. Also, fodder ingredients are a very important reason why the chemical composition of eggs may vary. Therefore, because the organic and conventional farming systems are grounded on different feeding systems, it can be concluded that chemical composition of hen eggs might be affected by the housing system.

Overall, there is no general consensus demonstrating the clear superiority of organic rearing system over another systems regarding egg quality.

**Conclusions**

Egg composition is strongly dependent on the hens’ feeding but also on the housing system (free-range or indoors). There are indications of different carotenoids’ profile in the organic eggs compared to the conventional ones; the result is that organic yolk is much darker. The profile of the fatty acids, also the vitamins and cholesterol contents have a tendency to differ in the organic vs. conventional eggs, however the results are inconsistent. Further studies are necessary in order to clarify which factors have the strongest impact on egg quality and whether organic eggs have the superior quality compared to the conventional ones.
5. ANIMAL EXPERIMENTS

Research on the impact of a compound feed on animal health is usually based on experiments that investigate the effects of a single component of the feed whereas feeding evaluation of organically produced crops investigates the influence of several factors connected with the farming system. Therefore, results can be diverse and hard to predict. Animal experiments use laboratory organisms as models for humans. Because research on humans is expensive and even more difficult to perform, animal experiments are the most appropriate way to compare the impact of different types of agricultural production on the animal organism. Laboratory tests provide a high level of control, as well as greater ease in choosing a homogenous population of the test organism. The life cycle of a laboratory animal is short, enabling the study of impact to be continued to subsequent generations. Although direct indications of impact on human health cannot be concluded from experiments, they can be useful for the formulation of valuable hypotheses.

This kind of research should be based on precisely controlled conditions. The feed has to be the only factor differing between experimental groups. The crops used should originate from strictly defined farming systems, with similar climatic and soil conditions. Only carefully planned comparative studies will enable sound conclusions to be drawn.

Several parameters and indicators should be measured when comparing the results of animal experiments. The most frequent ones are fertility and reproduction rates (number of pregnant females, condition of female reproductive organs, etc.), as well as condition of the newborn (birth mortality, body weight gain, survival rate) and physiological parameters (biomarkers analysis in blood and tissues). Comparative studies are usually performed on rats, rabbits, mice and chickens.

Relation between feed and fertility rates

Many animal traits result from their genetic background, whereas others are connected with environmental factors. Animal fertility belongs to the latter group of parameters.

A few studies conducted so far have shown an impact of pesticide residues in plant foods on animal fertility – Bhunya and Pati (1988) confirmed a genotoxic activity of pyrethroid consumed by mice, while Elbetieha et al. (2001) showed negative effects of cypermethrin consumed by rats on their fertility parameters.
However, these studies were based on a much higher dose of chemical substances than permissible. Products from organically grown plants, without the use of pesticides, exhibit a much lower exposure to chemical active substances.

The first investigations on the ability of mice to become pregnant confirmed a significantly higher number of pregnant females when reared on organic feed (Scott et al., 1960); moreover, they exhibited less degenerative changes in their ovaries. The same parameters were analysed by McSheehy (1977), but no differences between animals fed differently were observed. However, both studies involved only one generation of mice and feed was not analysed from a chemical point of view.

The relationship between feed origin and fertility of rabbits has been investigated in a few comparative studies. Aehnelt et al. (1973) and Staiger (1986) confirmed enhanced fertility rates as a result of organic crop consumption (positive changes on the reproductive organs were observed). However, Alter (1978) and Meinecke (1982) performed similar research, which showed no significant differences in fertility or state of generative organs between analysed groups of rabbits. Among the four studies mentioned above only that conducted by Staiger (1986) involved more than one generation of animals. This research confirmed decreasing fertility in rabbits fed conventionally, whereas no changes in the organic group were observed.

Velimirov et al. (1992) investigated reproduction and the condition of newborn rats fed differently. No impact of feed on the ability to become pregnant was observed but more young were born alive when rats were fed organically. Moreover, during and after lactation, females fed organically exhibited an increased body weight gain compared to ones fed conventionally. Similar results were obtained by Gottschewski (1975) – a significantly lower number of perinatally dead rabbits and more weaned pups in the case of animals fed organically than conventionally (figure 13).

Another experiment concerning fertility of rats has been conducted by

![Figure 13. Mortality of the young rabbits (Gottschewski, 1975).](#)
Jensen (2004). This Danish comparative study included analyses of the epididymis (part of the male reproductive system) weight, sperm density and testicle (male organ for producing sperm) histopathology. The results showed no differences between parameters of rats fed organically and conventionally (Jensen, 2004).

Italian research conducted by Paci et al. (2003) regarded the reproductive performance of local rabbit breeds from conventional and organic husbandry. They reported that the rearing system significantly affected birth mortality and the length of gestation. The does reared organically with access to open air exhibited a shorter gestation length than those housed in a conventional system. The organic system reduced prolificacy as well. The does reared under organic conditions produced fewer per litter than females from conventional farms. Moreover, the rearing system and delivery-season (and probably the interaction between these two factors) influenced birth mortality. Litters of females reared organically in the open air exhibited less mortality than those housed in a rabbitry (figure 14).

As far as chickens are concerned, Plochberger (1989) confirmed lower mortality of chickens fed on organic fodder. Furthermore, the eggs derived from organic birds exhibited a greater weight (and higher yolk weight) comparing to ones derived from conventionally fed chickens (Plochberger, 1989).

**Impact of feed on the immune system parameters**

Finamore et al. (2004) investigated the relation between the origin of feed given to rats and selected immunological rates. When animals were fed ad libitum, no significant differences in proliferation of lymphocytes were observed. This process was stimulated both in the blood of rats fed on conventional and organic feed. The differences occurred when the protein content in feed was reduced. Protein shortage resulted in enhanced proliferation of lymphocytes in the case of rats fed organically. The study included acute-phase reaction proteins, but no differences between analysed groups of animals were observed.

![Figure 14. Effect of rearing system on rabbit reproductive performance (Paci et al., 2003).](image-url)
Research carried out in Denmark by Lauridsen et al. (2005) gave more precise conclusions. They found that organic feed stimulates the immunological reactivity caused by an antigen as the secondary immune response. Rats fed organically had an elevated level of Immunoglobulins G and alpha-tocopherol in their blood serum. Furthermore, they had decreased total body fat content and much more relaxed behavior. On the other hand, Millet et al. (2005) concluded from their study with pigs that the immune responses following either a conventional or an organic diet were comparable, whereas organic housing can increase stress resistance at slaughter compared to conventional housing.

Similar research regarding the immune system of rats has been conducted by Barańska et al. (2007) within the European Union project named Quality Low Input Food (QLIF). The study included four types of feed: organic (organic fertilization and no herbicides), conventional (mineral fertilization and use of herbicides), and two ‘low-input’ diets – organic fertilization with use of herbicides and mineral fertilization without the use of herbicides. A pilot study showed an increased proliferation of splenocytes in males, but lower in females fed organically than in those fed other diets. This process, being a sensitive biomarker of immunological status, appeared to be suppressed by mineral fertilizers. Rats fed on feed made without the use of herbicides exhibited significantly higher antioxidative properties of their blood plasma. These preliminary results suggested that feed based on organically fertilized crops contributes to the better health status of animals, because they are better prepared to overcome infections.

Research regarding the immune status of chickens was conducted by Huber et al. (2009). The study involved two generations of animals fed on identically composed fodder from organic and conventional sources. The chickens fed organically exhibited an increased immune responsivity, as well as a stronger ‘catch-up growth’ after a challenge. However, chickens fed on conventional feed showed a higher weight gain compared to another group.

It is hard to assess the long term effect of pesticide residues abundant in conventional vegetables and fruits. So far, little information about these consequences is available. Lim et al., (2009) have shown in their study that long-term feeding with low concentrations of the herbicide atrazine affected insulin signaling, induced insulin resistance and weight gain in laboratory rats. It is important to bear in mind the fact, that even a small amount of such substances can contribute to distraction of body balance and negative health responses (Howard, 2005). Table 10 summarises the studies comparing parameters of animals fed organically and conventionally.

**Food preference tests**

So far, most food preference experiments have been performed on rats, mice, rabbits and hens. Several have shown that animals are able to discriminate between organic and conventional produce.

In 1969, Pfeiffer showed that laboratory mice prefer organically grown crops. Plochberger (1989) and Plochberger et al. (1992) showed that rats and chickens were able to distinguish between differently produced food and significantly preferred organic feed. They ate organic cereals, potatoes and common beets much more often than their conventional equivalents.
An experiment carried out in Austria (Velimirov, 2005) confirmed the ability of rats to select a feed appropriate to their needs. Five harvests of organic and conventional carrots were used to estimate the food preference of male laboratory rats. In each harvest year, the rats’ preference for organic carrots exceeded 50% and the differences compared to conventional carrots were statistically significant. Similar results were also observed in previous Velimirov (2001, 2002) studies and in the study of Mäder et al. (2007) in favour of organic production.

Woëse et al. (1997) reported in their review that, in five out of six studies,
laboratory animals clearly preferred organically produced products over conventional ones. They concluded that animals can distinguish between the foods on offer from various agricultural systems and almost exclusively prefer organic produce.

Conclusions
It is difficult to draw precise conclusions regarding the impact of organic feed on animal health status. Few studies have been conducted so far and there is a need to undertake research of a higher and more specific level. An exhaustive research requires investigation of more than one generation of laboratory animals. Analysis of the chemical composition of feed from different production system is necessary as well. However, initial conclusions can be drawn. Studies conducted so far confirm a positive impact of organic crops on parameters such as immune status, fertility rates and the survival rate of the young. However, most of the research is based on intervention analyses, which cannot confirm the long-term effect of such food on overall health status. Food preference studies performed on animals have confirmed their preference for organically produced food.
6. THE IMPACT OF ORGANIC FOOD ON HUMAN HEALTH

During recent decades, consumers have started to look for safer and better controlled foods, produced in more environmentally friendly, authentic and local systems. Organically produced foods are widely believed to satisfy the above demands. The overall number of studies analyzing the quality and safety of organic vs. conventional foods is growing rapidly.

Studies concerning the long term impact of organic food products on human health are very difficult to establish. There are several factors obstructing the conclusions. The predominant complication is bioavailability – the way a compound becomes absorbed by the human body. Moreover, every single organism reacts differently to a food product and it is impossible to predict this reaction. Thus, little information on correlation between organic diet and consumers’ health status is available. However, a few efforts have been made so far.

There are several types of comparative studies conducted on humans. All of them must provide as much control as possible, in order to exclude any distorting factors. ‘Intervention studies’ include a group of people, where the only diversified element is the diet. The set of other factors should be stable, therefore people performing the same lifestyle under the same conditions are especially preferred (e.g. in prisons, orphanages or convents). Moreover, it should be a blind study, where a volunteer doesn’t know the kind of applied diet. Besides, a ‘cross-over’ study is possible, when different test phases of the experiment are performed consecutively. The results are obtained by analyzing the biomarkers, showing the potential health responses. The ‘observational’ study includes a larger group of people reviewed with questionnaires. The volunteers report themselves, so the study is not fully controlled and diet is only one of a number of factors taken into account.

Fuchs et al. (2005) analysed the physical and mental status of two groups of nuns. They were on different diets, conventional and biodynamic (similar to organic), for one month. Nuns from the latter group showed a lower blood pressure and performed better immune parameters than those on the conventional diet. Moreover, they assessed their overall well-being, physical fitness and mental activity as being significantly better during the experiment. Nuns eating organic food suffered from headaches less often than before and performed an increased ability to cope under stress. However, all the nuns were aware of the kind of diet they were on so the results cannot be certain because of the potential ‘placebo’ effect.
Another two intervention comparative studies concerning the health effect of consumed food regarded the reactions of biomarkers for redox-processes after consumption of apples and red-wine from different production systems (Akçay et al., 2004; Briviba et al., 2007). No significant differences were observed.

The experiments mentioned above were single meal studies. A more developed comparative research study conducted by Stracke et al. (2008) included the addition of organic and conventional carrots to the usual diet of two groups of volunteers for a period of 2–3 weeks. The measured parameters were vitamin C and E levels in plasma, basic haematological rates, LDL oxidation, as well as antioxidative activity of blood plasma. In terms of the above-mentioned parameters no significant differences were observed, but plasma lutein level was much higher in the blood of people eating organic carrots.

Caris-Veyrat et al. (2004) carried out similar research on people consuming organically and conventionally produced tomato puree for 3 weeks. The study showed no significant differences in bioavailability of vitamin C, beta-carotene and lycopene between analysed groups.

The described experiments were not fully controlled dietary studies because the organic and conventional food products were only an addition to the usual diet. Therefore, the final effect should not be considered as authoritative. Only a couple of studies were conducted with effort made to avoid such distortion.

Research performed in Italy involved 10 healthy men consuming either organic or conventional products for a period of 2 weeks (Di Renzo et al., 2007). After the organic diet, an increased plasma antioxidative activity was observed. However, statistical analysis was incomplete and no conclusion on significant differences could be made. Moreover, the second phase of experiment was based on more mature crops, because they were harvested later. Nonetheless, the analysis of antioxidative properties of vegetables, fruits, wine and milk used confirmed the better nutritional quality of organic samples (Di Renzo et al., 2007).

Another study included a controlled cross-over dietary intervention on a group of 16 people fed organically and conventionally in a design for 2 × 3 weeks (Grinder-Pedersen et al., 2003). The measured parameters were excreted flavonoid levels, as well as a content of selected oxidative defence markers in blood plasma. Significant differences occurred in urinary excretion of kaempferol and quercetin; they were much higher after a period on the organic diet. The content of analysed markers in plasma was similar during the whole experiment – nonetheless, protein oxidation and plasma antioxidant capacity were higher after organic food consumption. The vegetables and fruits used in both types of diet were from similar geographical regions, but there were variations in some of the plant products given during the different periods. Therefore, it is not clear, whether the final result was an effect of different production methods or different varieties of plant foods (Grinder-Pedersen et al., 2003).

A study named PARSIFAL involved about 14,000 children from 5 European countries. The experiment compared the health status of a group of children eating either biodynamic or organic food (according to their anthroposophic lifestyle) and a group consuming mass-produced food, commonly available on the conventional food market (Alfven et al., 2006). Children from the anthroposophic group exhibited fewer allergies and lower body weight than those from the other group.
A study conducted in the Netherlands (KOALA Birth Cohort Study) involved 2,700 newborns and their mothers. As a result of the intake of organic dairy products there was a diminished eczema risk in children (Kummeling et al., 2008). According to Rist et al. (2007), consumption of such products was also associated with an elevated CLA content in the breast milk of mothers.

People eating organic food usually have a different lifestyle to conventional consumers. Factors such as living conditions, nutritional pattern, eating habits and sport are as important for human health as the quality of consumed food products. Therefore, true comparative assessment of the condition of people eating food from different production systems is very difficult to conduct. There are several observational studies showing that people on an organic diet evaluate their health status better than others (Rembiałkowska et al., 2008), but this result cannot be separated from the above-mentioned aspects of lifestyle.

Similarly, as was the case with laboratory animals, consumption of organic vegetables and fruits can diminish exposure to pesticide residues. Various chemical substances, commonly used by farmers to protect their crops from pests and diseases, are considered dangerous to human health due to genotoxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic activity. Curl et al. (2003) and Lu et al. (2006) showed that children eating organic raw materials consume significantly less organophosphorus pesticides than others. The conclusion was based on the content of pesticide metabolites in their urine. Therefore, not only is nutritional value an advantage of organic foodstuffs, but food safety as well.

Conclusions
The effects of organic foods on human health are still not well known. Apart from the diet, there are too many factors affecting health status and it is probably impossible to separate them from each other. Based on research conducted so far, it is possible to build a hypothesis that organic food can have a beneficial impact on human health, but the data is insufficient for formulating clear conclusions. It is proved that regular consumption of organic milk and milk products by mothers decreases the frequency of skin allergy in the breast-fed infants and small babies. The overall number of studies comparing the quality and safety of organic vs. conventional foods is growing rapidly. It is also possible to observe increasing interest in investigating the health effects of organic food consumption. Analysing the results of the studies discussed above, more effort needs to be exerted to evaluate the relationship between the nutritional quality and safety of organic food products and the health status of their consumers. The first experiments investigating the health impact of organic foods on humans brought contradictory results; they are still insufficient to formulate clear conclusions. Therefore, more comparative studies are needed, in order to provide unequivocal conclusions.
SUMMARY

Results of the studies analysed in this report indicate certain advantages in nutritional quality of organic food compared with conventional. It can be stated that organic plant products contain generally more phenolic compounds and vitamin C. However, the level of carotenoids is often higher in conventional plant products. Studies show higher content of dry matter, total sugars and mineral components, but due to the limited number and variable results of the studies it is difficult to make general conclusions. An elevated content of bioactive substances, desirable from a health point of view, in organic raw materials allows the conclusion that such food can contribute to better health. There are several studies confirming this thesis, based on analyses performed on animals. In the case of cereals, it can be concluded that organic grains contain less but a higher quality of protein than conventional grains.

The superiority of organic food is more probable if the producing and processing are in accordance with regulations. There is a common understanding that all fertilizers and pesticides are forbidden in producing organic products. The fact is that in organic food production it is necessary to keep the soil fertile and to feed the plants as well, only by the use of natural fertilizers. There are biopesticides allowed to use for plant protection in organic agriculture. They are environmentally friendly, they do not leave harmful residues in plants and their long-term use can be as effective as chemical pesticides. It is important for farmers to be informed about novel methods and products allowed in organic agriculture to reduce food producing and processing costs.

Organic milk, in comparison with conventional milk, has a higher content of CLA and omega-3 acid, a better ratio of omega-6:omega-3 acids, high levels of vitamins (vitamin E) and antioxidants, acting as an important part of human health prophylaxis. Due to the ban of the high supplementation of mineral fertilizers, organic milk may have the deficiency of specific micro- and macronutrients. Also, it has been assessed with lower points in sensory evaluation by consumers compared with conventional milk. Meat from organic production is characterised as with higher intramuscular fat content, favourable profile of fatty acids – higher content of omega-3 and lower content of saturated fatty acids. Organic eggs tend to have a higher amount of carotenoids in their yolk due to the birds having outdoor access and consuming fresh grass.

The presence of pesticide residues in conventional food is the main difference between organic and conventional food. Many monitorings and studies
have demonstrated that due to use of synthetic pesticides, conventional food may contain pesticide residues, even of several substances together and over the permitted level (over MRL). Even small amounts of chemical residues may be hazardous to human health and how the multiple compounds might interact is not clear. Pesticide intake with food have been linked to causes many disturbances, malformations and diseases (including cancers) in humans. There are evidences that conversion into organic food decreases significantly a level of pesticide residues in human breast milk and children urine. It is well proven that the content of nitrates is lower in organic crops compared with conventional. Excessive intake of nitrates is dangerous to human health – it may cause methemoglobinemia in small babies and cancers in adults. The content of mycotoxins is an important indicator of food quality. They can contaminate both organic and conventional food, and their concentration in crops depends not only on the production system (organic vs. conventional), but also on the field production and storage conditions.

In conventional food processing several hundreds of different synthetic additives are permitted for use, while in organic food processing only around 40 natural substances are allowed. Several artificial additives used in conventional food processing have been linked to many adverse health outcomes (incl. obesity, allergies, headaches, cancers etc.); however, their hazardousness is not yet sufficiently proven to ban them.

It is difficult to draw precise conclusions regarding the impact of organic food on animal and human health status. Few studies have been conducted so far and there is a need to undertake research of a higher and more specific level. However, initial conclusions can be drawn. Studies on animals conducted so far confirm a positive impact of organic crops on parameters such as immune status, fertility rates and the survival rate of young. Food preference studies performed on animals have confirmed their preference for organically produced food. Based on human studies conducted so far, it is possible to build a hypothesis that organic food can have a beneficial impact on human health. It is proved that regular consumption of organic milk and milk products by mothers decreases the frequency of skin allergy in the breast-fed infants and small babies. Other experiments investigating the health impact of organic foods on humans have brought contradictory results. The data is still insufficient to formulate clear conclusions.

Health condition depends essentially on food and its quality. Therefore, organic methods in farming and processing can significantly improve the quality of agricultural products compared with conventional methods, which are based on the intensification and use of chemicals. Planning a healthy diet or development of healthy/functional food must consider the use of organic raw materials because they contain more bioactive substances that are important for strengthening the human immune system and metabolism. Consuming organic food may also prevent health problems caused by poor nutrition or low-quality of food (occurrence of chemical residues and artificial additives).
RECOMMENDATIONS

Researchers
Longterm comparative high-quality studies are needed both on farms and plots in order to investigate the plant and animal raw materials’ composition and the factors shaping this composition. There is also a need to plan more integral comparative studies with well-advised methodology and methods, and to publish high-quality papers of conducted comparative studies.

It is necessary to conduct more studies in many fields:
• novel and holistic methods of food quality evaluation;
• looking for the relevant health & well-being indicators for plants, animals and humans;
• impact of the management and feed composition on the farm and lab animals’ health & well-being;
• in vitro studies on the impact of the organic vs. conventional plant and animal extracts at the molecular level;
• intervention and cohort studies on the impact of the organic vs. conventional food consumption on human health.

Teachers
• At kindergartens, elementary and primary schools: to establish small-scale school-gardens in order to introduce healthy food production;
• To prepare relevant teaching programs, to introduce the relevant study programs materials on the healthy lifestyle, healthy food, differences between organic and conventional food and farming at schools;
• To cooperate with competent researchers to create suitable teaching materials;
• To cooperate with medical schools to create common teaching programs;
• To participate in seminars and workshops organized for educationalists by medical schools and scientists;
• To organize educational meetings with parents to present matters connected with a healthy lifestyle for children and to increase awareness of organic food;
• To encourage school managers to establish school canteens serving organic food.
**Medical doctors**
- To keep informed about new scientific results regarding food quality and health aspects;
- To cooperate with higher and secondary schools in teaching about a healthy lifestyle and the impact of food on health;
- To inform patients about the importance of healthy and organic food as a part of the healthy diet to avoid health problems;
- To inform patients about possible adverse impacts of synthetic chemicals used in food producing and processing;
- To encourage patients to consume more healthy food and thereby to diminish the need for synthetic medicines and food supplements;
- To include an organic/well constructed diet to hospitals’ canteens and thereby avoid the side effects of chemicals used in food producing and processing.

**Enterprises (food producers, processors, caterers, traders)**
- To cooperate with researchers in order to produce high-quality food with a well-designed production system and with considerable and reasonable yields;
- To be informed about high-quality/organic food production and processing novel methods;
- To cooperate with researchers and other experts to develop novel healthy foods;
- To use local and organic raw materials in dishes of restaurants and cafes thereby creating alternative choices for customers;
- To label organic food with an organic label in menus (in cooperation with policy makers);
- To be flexible in establishing requirements for small and local food producers;
- To establish (in the cooperation with the policy makers) new enterprises – retail shops, wholesale stores, processing manufactures, local small restaurants, canteens at schools and institutions, educational tracks with the organic farms, etc;
- To invest more in the organic sector;
- To invest in the research activities in the organic sector.

**Policy makers**
- To support and create regional common platforms for different stakeholders in the organic sector (farmers, processors, traders, advisors, teachers, medical doctors, researchers) and to cooperate with them for policy making;
- To support new initiatives in the organic sector (in cooperation with enterprises) – retail shops, wholesale stores, processing small manufactures and bigger works, local small restaurants, canteens at schools and institutions, educational tracks on organic farms, etc;
- To create a “green light” for people starting new businesses in the organic sector, especially young people;
- To facilitate the development of the organic sector in the regions.
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